NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 21040, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, A1A 5B2

Hearing Transcript

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro 2017 General Rate Application

August 6, 2018

The Board:

Darlene Whalen, Chair and CEO Dwanda Newman, Vice-Chair James Oxford, Commissioner

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro:

Geoffrey Young, Counsel Alex Templeton, Counsel

Newfoundland Power Inc.:

Gerard Hayes, Counsel Liam O'Brien, Counsel

Consumer Advocate:

Dennis Browne, Q.C.

Island Industrial Customers

Paul Coxworthy, Counsel Denis Fleming, Counsel Dean Porter, Counsel

Labrador Interconnected Group*

Senwung Luk, Counsel

Iron Ore Company of Canada* Benoit Pepin, Counsel

*Note – These two parties will not be in attendance every day

Board Counsel/Staff:

Jacqueline Glynn, Board Counsel Maureen Greene, Q.C., Hearing Counsel Cheryl Blundon, Board Secretary

Witness/Witnesses

Mr. Kevin Fagan, Director of Regulatory Affairs, Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro

r ugu	30,2010		NE Hydro 2017 Oler
	Page 1		Page 3
1	(9:08 a.m.)	1	MR. FAGAN:
2	CHAIR:	2	A. Good morning.
3	Q. Good morning, welcome back, everybody. Any	3	MR. TEMPLETON:
4	preliminary matters, Ms. Glynn?	4	Q. Would you state your full name for the
5	MS. GLYNN:	5	record, please?
6	Q. There is one preliminary issue that	6	MR. FAGAN:
7	Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro would like	7	A. Kevin Fagan.
8	to speak to. MR. TEMPLETON:	8	MR. TEMPLETON:
9		9 10	Q. And your current position with Newfoundland
10 11	Q. Good morning, Madam Chair. CHAIR:	10 11	Hydro? MR. FAGAN:
11		11	A. Director of Regulatory Affairs.
12	Q. Good morning. MR. TEMPLETON:	12	MR. TEMPLETON:
13	Q. Just to give you an update on the various	13 14	Q. Thank you very much. Madam Chair, the
14	undertakings, on Friday Hydro filed	14	witness is prepared to be affirmed.
15	responses to a number of these undertakings	16	MR. FAGAN:
17	which you should have in front of you, and	17	A. Sworn.
18	have been circulated to counsel; U-43, U-47,	18	MR. TEMPLETON:
19	U-61, U-64, U-71, and U-74. Earlier this	19	Q. Sworn, thank you.
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$	morning we also circulated a response to U-	20	CHAIR:
$20 \\ 21$	79, and I've been advised that $-$ actually,	20	Q. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. Welcome back.
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$	the copies that were circulated only	22	MR. FAGAN:
23	captured pages 1 and 3. There's a page 2	23	A. Good morning. Thank you.
24	there that we're going to have to provide as	23	CHAIR:
25	well, so we'll rectify that during the	25	Q. Take the Bible in your right hand, please.
	Page 2		Page 4
1	break. The remainder of the undertakings	1	Do you swear that the evidence to be given
2	should be filed – we anticipate filing the	2	by you shall be the truth, the whole truth,
3	remainder by the end of the week with the	3	and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
4	exception of three, which we have given	4	MR. FAGAN:
5	notice this morning that Hydro intends to	5	A. I do.
6	bring a motion with respect to	6	MR. KEVIN FAGAN (SWORN)
7	confidentiality of three of the responses to	7	CHAIR:
8	the undertakings. These are Undertakings U-	8	Q. Back to you for direct, Mr. Templeton.
9	56, U-68, and U-73, and this morning it's	9	MR. TEMPLETON:
10	just a matter of giving – we're just	10	Q. Mr. Fagan, if you could, could you just
11	providing notice that that is coming. We	11	profile your previous work experience and
12	anticipate to file a written motion in	12	credentials as it relates to your current
13	respect of those within the next day or so.	13	role?
14	CHAIR:	14	MR. FAGAN:
15	Q. Okay, that will be a written motion?	15	A. Yes, in 1982, I graduated from Memorial
16	MR. TEMPLETON:	16	University with a Bachelor of Science and
17	Q. It will be a written motion, that's correct.	17	Mathematics, with a concentration in
18	CHAIR:	18	statistics. I also hold a Diploma in
19	Q. Does anyone have any comments or any other	19	Business Administration from MUN.
20	issues they need to raise? Okay, I guess,	20	After I graduated in 1982, I went to
21	we're back to you, Mr. Templeton, to	21	Alberta and worked at the Finance and Rates
22	introduce your witness.	22	Group at the City of Calgary Electric
23	MR. TEMPLETON:	23	System. I was there for about four and a
24	Q. Yes, thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning,	24	half years. While I was home visiting,
25	Mr. Fagan.	25	Newfoundland Power had a job opening, so I

	Page 5	1	Page 7
1	got a job with Newfoundland Power. Started	1	that changed your duties in any way?
2	in 1986 in the Rates Department. I held a	2	MR. FAGAN:
3	number of positions with Newfoundland Power,	3	A. It's broader. It's more of an overall
4	including Director of Rates, Rates and	4	regulatory focus within my group, not just
5	Regulatory Specialist, Customer Service	5	strictly on rate proposals, but really
6	Policy Specialist, Rates Analyst and	6	regulatory within – you know, initiatives
7	Statistical Analyst. I joined Hydro in	7	within Hydro to improve our regulatory
8	March, 2014, as Manager of Rates and	8	performance overall.
9	Regulation.	9	MR. O'BRIEN:
10	I previously testified before the Board	10	Q. And in terms of this particular rate case,
11	at the 2013 GRA. I've also previously	11	can you sort of give us an overview of what
12	provided presentations before the Board in	12	your responsibilities would be for
13	1995 on Newfoundland Power's weather	13	preparation of anything in that case?
14	normalization methodology. I've made	14	MR. FAGAN:
15	presentations at technical conferences on	15	A. This one was a bit of $a - I$ started thinking
16	contribution in aid of construction policy	16	it was going to be, we'll just have this
17	back in 2005, and Newfoundland Power's rate	17	short one and get ready for the next, the
18	review in 2009, and rewroundhand rower state	18	big one, with respect to Muskrat Falls, but
19	title is Director of Regulatory Affairs.	19	with this case being really within the
20	MR. TEMPLETON:	20	transition stage before Muskrat Falls, so I
$\frac{20}{21}$	Q. Thank you very much. Madam Chair, that's	20	was involved a fair bit in trying to
$21 \\ 22$	our direct.	21	determine what approach we'd take to test
	CHAIR:	22	
23			year development, and how you deal with the
24	Q. Thank you. Mr. O'Brien.	24	uncertainty in supply costs, the infamous
25	MR. O'BRIEN:	25	off-island purchases deferral account, and
	Page 6		Page 8
1	Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr.	1	discussion of how do you deal with it in a
2	Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan.	2	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN:	2 3	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what
2 3 4	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. 	2 3 4	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from
2 3 4 5	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so 	2 3 4 5 6	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is 	2 3 4 5 6 7	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, how long have you been in that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, how long have you been in that position? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to be presented. So if you're looking, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, how long have you been in that position? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to be presented. So if you're looking, for example, for the island industrial customers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, how long have you been in that position? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the title changed recently, but it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to be presented. So if you're looking, for example, for the island industrial customers with specifically assigned charges use, so
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \end{array} $	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, how long have you been in that position? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the title changed recently, but it's effectively the same role since I came to Hydro. I've somewhat taken on some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to be presented. So if you're looking, for example, for the island industrial customers with specifically assigned charges use, so looking at all the outstanding issues from the previous rate case with regard to rates,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, how long have you been in that position? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the title changed recently, but it's effectively the same role since I came to Hydro. I've somewhat taken on some additional roles. When I came to Hydro in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to be presented. So if you're looking, for example, for the island industrial customers with specifically assigned charges use, so looking at all the outstanding issues from the previous rate case with regard to rates, and looking for proposals there or any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, how long have you been in that position? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the title changed recently, but it's effectively the same role since I came to Hydro. I've somewhat taken on some additional roles. When I came to Hydro in Rates, it was more focused on rates and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to be presented. So if you're looking, for example, for the island industrial customers with specifically assigned charges use, so looking at all the outstanding issues from the previous rate case with regard to rates, and looking for proposals there or any studies that would need to be filed in
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, how long have you been in that position? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the title changed recently, but it's effectively the same role since I came to Hydro. I've somewhat taken on some additional roles. When I came to Hydro in Rates, it was more focused on rates and regulation aspect, but now the capital 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to be presented. So if you're looking, for example, for the island industrial customers with specifically assigned charges use, so looking at all the outstanding issues from the previous rate case with regard to rates, and looking for proposals there or any studies that would need to be filed in compliance with the previous Board Order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, how long have you been in that position? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the title changed recently, but it's effectively the same role since I came to Hydro. I've somewhat taken on some additional roles. When I came to Hydro in Rates, it was more focused on rates and regulation aspect, but now the capital budget, the Manager of Regulatory 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to be presented. So if you're looking, for example, for the island industrial customers with specifically assigned charges use, so looking at all the outstanding issues from the previous rate case with regard to rates, and looking for proposals there or any studies that would need to be filed in compliance with the previous Board Order. Also, it's not directly part of this rate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, how long have you been in that position? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the title changed recently, but it's effectively the same role since I came to Hydro. I've somewhat taken on some additional roles. When I came to Hydro in Rates, it was more focused on rates and regulation aspect, but now the capital budget, the Manager of Regulatory Engineering is in my group, and I've also 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to be presented. So if you're looking, for example, for the island industrial customers with specifically assigned charges use, so looking at all the outstanding issues from the previous rate case with regard to rates, and looking for proposals there or any studies that would need to be filed in compliance with the previous Board Order. Also, it's not directly part of this rate case, but it started out that way, was doing
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, how long have you been in that position? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the title changed recently, but it's effectively the same role since I came to Hydro. I've somewhat taken on some additional roles. When I came to Hydro in Rates, it was more focused on rates and regulation aspect, but now the capital budget, the Manager of Regulatory Engineering is in my group, and I've also got regulatory counsel in my group as well. 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to be presented. So if you're looking, for example, for the island industrial customers with specifically assigned charges use, so looking at all the outstanding issues from the previous rate case with regard to rates, and looking for proposals there or any studies that would need to be filed in compliance with the previous Board Order. Also, it's not directly part of this rate case, but it started out that way, was doing the studies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, Mr. Fagan. MR. FAGAN: A. Good morning, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just in terms of your present position, so you're Director of Regulatory Affairs, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, how long have you been in that position? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the title changed recently, but it's effectively the same role since I came to Hydro. I've somewhat taken on some additional roles. When I came to Hydro in Rates, it was more focused on rates and regulation aspect, but now the capital budget, the Manager of Regulatory Engineering is in my group, and I've also 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discussion of how do you deal with it in a regulatory review of your forecast supply costs when you're very unsure of what they're going to be. So I was involved from that perspective. I worked with our capital people with regard to our Manager of Regulatory Engineering, making sure the capital budget is reasonably aligned with our test year, determining, you know, what your test year is going to be, is it two test years versus one test year, what rate proposals need to be presented. So if you're looking, for example, for the island industrial customers with specifically assigned charges use, so looking at all the outstanding issues from the previous rate case with regard to rates, and looking for proposals there or any studies that would need to be filed in compliance with the previous Board Order. Also, it's not directly part of this rate case, but it started out that way, was doing

	. 0, 2010		
	Page 9		Page 11
1	going forward reflecting Muskrat Falls, we	1	of Holyrood fuel as a cost variance, we move
2	had to file a report back in 2016 on	2	more towards Muskrat Fall as the largest
3	marginal costs. We also did a review on the	3	portion of our cost, what requirement would
4	cost of service methodology. The last time	4	be for supply costs, variability in deferral
5	the cost of service methodology was fully	5	accounts with that going forward, so we
6	reviewed before the Board was in the early	6	filed a report on that, so that's on the
7	90s, and the report on that was in 1993,	7	record in this one which will be dealt with
8	February, 1993. So with the changes to	8	for the next general rate application.
9	Muskrat Falls, looking at all the changes	9	So I've been involved with, not as much
10	that would be required looking forward, not	10	as I will be, but with respect to the
11	necessarily coming up with what the	11	Muskrat Falls contracts and stuff, I've had
12	recommendations would be at that stage, but	12	some review of those as well and preparing,
13	identifying emerging issues and things that	13	understanding cost variability going forward
14	needed to be considered by the Board. So we	14	beyond Muskrat compared to what we have
15	filed that report. One of the important	15	right now from supply cost. So I was
16	things going forward from rates from a	16	involved in that aspect of it.
17	customer perspective is not just the fact	17	Rural rates, Labrador rates, so the
18	that Muskrat Falls is driving up rates	18	Labrador industrial rate proposal, we
19	materially on average basis, but the fact	19	proposed an inclining block to give an
20	that with the interconnection with the North	20	improved price signal in Labrador for
21	American grid, the marginal cost should be	21	capacity because the marginal cost of
22	less on an energy basis. So how do you deal	22	capacity was well above the averaged
23	with the marginal costs versus customer	23	imbedded cost, so I was involved in the rate
24	rates going forward. So we filed a report	24	proposal for the industrial customers in
25	on that in 2016. Also filed a report with	25	Labrador. So pretty well all aspects of
	Page 10		Page 12
	Page 10 regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21,	1	Page 12 anything on rates and supply cost and
1	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21,	1 2	anything on rates and supply cost and
1 2	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions,	2	anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts.
1 2 3	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record	2 3	anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN:
1 2 3 4	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that.	2 3 4	anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got
1 2 3 4 5	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.)	2 3 4 5	anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts.MR. O'BRIEN:Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some
1 2 3 4 5 6	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this	2 3 4 5 6	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we	2 3 4 5 6 7	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review, looking at where Newfoundland Power's rate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Largely, yeah.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ \end{array} $	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review, looking at where Newfoundland Power's rate structure should be going forward versus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Largely, yeah. MR. FAGAN:
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ \end{array} $	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review, looking at where Newfoundland Power's rate structure should be going forward versus looking back with Holyrood on the margin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Largely, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Because it's all rates related evidence with
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review, looking at where Newfoundland Power's rate structure should be going forward versus looking back with Holyrood on the margin versus market energy on the margin. So we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Largely, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Because it's all rates related evidence with regard to the additional cost of service
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review, looking at where Newfoundland Power's rate structure should be going forward versus looking back with Holyrood on the margin versus market energy on the margin. So we filed a report on that. We also filed a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Largely, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Because it's all rates related evidence with
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ \end{array} $	 regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review, looking at where Newfoundland Power's rate structure should be going forward versus looking back with Holyrood on the margin versus market energy on the margin. So we filed a report on that. We also filed a report with respect to supply cost recovery. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Largely, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Because it's all rates related evidence with regard to the additional cost of service filing and the supplemental evidence as
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	 regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review, looking at where Newfoundland Power's rate structure should be going forward versus looking back with Holyrood on the margin versus market energy on the margin. So we filed a report on that. We also filed a report with respect to supply cost recovery. I'm sure most people who have been involved 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Largely, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Because it's all rates related evidence with regard to the additional cost of service filing and the supplemental evidence as well. MR. O'BRIEN:
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ \end{array} $	 regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review, looking at where Newfoundland Power's rate structure should be going forward versus looking back with Holyrood on the margin versus market energy on the margin. So we filed a report on that. We also filed a report with respect to supply cost recovery. I'm sure most people who have been involved in Hydro hearings in the past have been 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Largely, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Because it's all rates related evidence with regard to the additional cost of service filing and the supplemental evidence as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, and at the outset when the
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review, looking at where Newfoundland Power's rate structure should be going forward versus looking back with Holyrood on the margin versus market energy on the margin. So we filed a report on that. We also filed a report with respect to supply cost recovery. I'm sure most people who have been involved in Hydro hearings in the past have been struggling with all the deferral accounts 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Largely, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Because it's all rates related evidence with regard to the additional cost of service filing and the supplemental evidence as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, and at the outset when the application was being put together, it
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	 regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review, looking at where Newfoundland Power's rate structure should be going forward versus looking back with Holyrood on the margin versus market energy on the margin. So we filed a report on that. We also filed a report with respect to supply cost recovery. I'm sure most people who have been involved in Hydro hearings in the past have been 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Largely, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Because it's all rates related evidence with regard to the additional cost of service filing and the supplemental evidence as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, and at the outset when the
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review, looking at where Newfoundland Power's rate structure should be going forward versus looking back with Holyrood on the margin versus market energy on the margin. So we filed a report on that. We also filed a report with respect to supply cost recovery. I'm sure most people who have been involved in Hydro hearings in the past have been struggling with all the deferral accounts that Hydro has, and that they're packaged in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Largely, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Because it's all rates related evidence with regard to the additional cost of service filing and the supplemental evidence as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, and at the outset when the application was being put together, it appears to me that one of the key themes was
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 regard – so that's on the record. Lab 21, we'll probably get into some discussions, but there's a lot of filings on the record with respect to that. (9:15 a.m.) There was a lot of discussions in this hearing about do we do things now or do we wait. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And so the Newfoundland Power rate review, looking at where Newfoundland Power's rate structure should be going forward versus looking back with Holyrood on the margin versus market energy on the margin. So we filed a report on that. We also filed a report with respect to supply cost recovery. I'm sure most people who have been involved in Hydro hearings in the past have been struggling with all the deferral accounts that Hydro has, and that they're packaged in different places and you've got to make sure 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 anything on rates and supply cost and deferral accounts. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How about the additional evidence that got filed sort of later in March, and then some supplemental evidence in July, were you involved in the preparation of those pieces? MR. FAGAN: A. I'd say I was - I mean, I didn't write all of it, but I was the primary author of most of the evidence. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Largely, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Because it's all rates related evidence with regard to the additional cost of service filing and the supplemental evidence as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, and at the outset when the application was being put together, it appears to me that one of the key themes was a rate mitigation theme looking into the

	it 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
Ι.	Page 13		Page 15
	A. No, I don't think I'd accept that actually.	1	whatever they'll be, they're be, and then
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. O'BRIEN:	2	the Board would have the right to deal with
3	Q. Okay, and why is that?	3	it. So I initially started out from that
4	MR. FAGAN:	4	perspective, okay. So that was kind of the
5	A. Well, to me, it was more about uncertainty	5	first step, and then it moved on to, well,
6	in what our supply costs were. I mean, I	6	is that fair. So then we got into a
7	think I started working on this – we had a	7	discussion of whether it's fair and what are
8	very busy 2016, and 2017, and actually ever	8	the benefits of that approach. So I think
9	since I came to Hydro, but with respect to	9	when we get into what's fair, you get into
10	this general rate application, we only got	10	the inter-generational equity issue of
11	rates approved, I think it was, in July of	11	assets from Muskrat Falls project creating
12	2017, right, the final rates coming out of	12	the savings, so who should get the savings.
13	the GRA. Is that right? I believe that's	13	So that's inter-generational piece. So then
14	correct. Anyway, we only had a few months	14	we got there and then we said, okay, well,
15	to get our rate case put together at the	15	what would happen if we took this approach,
16	same time as you're trying to conclude the	16	well, we could get savings and set them
17	last rate case. So I was sitting down	17	aside for future. So it was kind of a
18	looking at our forecast of what's our test	18	package from that perspective, but it
19	year going to be, what are our supply costs	19	started out with the uncertainty in the
20	going forward because supply costs are the	20	supply costs.
21	biggest portion of our costs, and we were	21	MR. O'BRIEN:
22	looking and saying, okay, well, when is LIL	22	Q. Okay, so it wasn't more along the lines of –
23	going to be available, so what are our	23	because the initial application seems to be
24	Holyrood requirements looking at 2018. At	24	built around the deferral account. There was
25	the time, LIL was forecast to be in service	25	no expected supply scenario put forward in
	Page 14		Page 16
		1	
	around April, okay. So I said, okay, if we	1	the initial application as an option, is
2	take that forecast and we use it and we put	2	that fair?
2 3	take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates	2 3	that fair? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4	take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk	2 3 4	that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair.
2 3 4 5	take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a	2 3 4 5	that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6	take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal	2 3 4 5 6	that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking
2 3 4 5 6 7	take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at	2 3 4 5 6 7	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O &	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN: A. Conceptually, not mathematically.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN: A. Conceptually, not mathematically. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I was looking and saying, well, geez, I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN: A. Conceptually, not mathematically. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Conceptually, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I was looking and saying, well, geez, I could have like 100 million dollars 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN: A. Conceptually, not mathematically. MR. FAGAN: Q. Conceptually, yeah. MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I was looking and saying, well, geez, I could have like 100 million dollars difference in cost in a year, so how do you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN: A. Conceptually, not mathematically. MR. FAGAN: Q. Conceptually, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So I hadn't done the math because there was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I was looking and saying, well, geez, I could have like 100 million dollars difference in cost in a year, so how do you deal with that, and the uncertainty of what 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN: A. Conceptually, not mathematically. MR. FAGAN: Q. Conceptually, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So I hadn't done the math because there was too much uncertainty in knowing what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I was looking and saying, well, geez, I could have like 100 million dollars difference in cost in a year, so how do you deal with that, and the uncertainty of what the supply costs were going to be, so I 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN: A. Conceptually, not mathematically. MR. FAGAN: Q. Conceptually, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So I hadn't done the math because there was too much uncertainty in knowing what the actual expected supply scenario was, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I was looking and saying, well, geez, I could have like 100 million dollars difference in cost in a year, so how do you deal with that, and the uncertainty of what the supply costs were going to be, so I looked at it from the perspective of, well, 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN: A. Conceptually, not mathematically. MR. FAGAN: Q. Conceptually, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So I hadn't done the math because there was too much uncertainty in knowing what the actual expected supply scenario was, and actually I still a lot of uncertainty with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I was looking and saying, well, geez, I could have like 100 million dollars difference in cost in a year, so how do you deal with that, and the uncertainty of what the supply costs were going to be, so I looked at it from the perspective of, well, if we put forward a deferral account 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN: A. Conceptually, not mathematically. MR. FAGAN: Q. Conceptually, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So I hadn't done the math because there was too much uncertainty in knowing what the actual expected supply scenario.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I was looking and saying, well, geez, I could have like 100 million dollars difference in cost in a year, so how do you deal with that, and the uncertainty of what the supply costs were going to be, so I looked at it from the perspective of, well, if we put forward a deferral account approach that – I'll get into the regulatory 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN: A. Conceptually, not mathematically. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Conceptually, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So I hadn't done the math because there was too much uncertainty in knowing what the actual expected supply scenario. MR. O'BRIEN: MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I was looking and saying, well, geez, I could have like 100 million dollars difference in cost in a year, so how do you deal with that, and the uncertainty of what the supply costs were going to be, so I looked at it from the perspective of, well, if we put forward a deferral account approach that – I'll get into the regulatory principle aspect later, but with regard to, 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN: A. Conceptually, not mathematically. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Conceptually, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So I hadn't done the math because there was too much uncertainty in knowing what the actual expected supply scenario was, and actually I still a lot of uncertainty with the expected supply scenario. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. But you already had a deferral account,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 take that forecast and we use it and we put in all the savings, and come up with rates that are lower, there's a really big risk that if it gets delayed, then you can have a lot of Holyrood costs. So how do you deal with that, because you're dealing with – at the time, we weren't thinking about the O & M aspect of even using the lines at that time. We were strictly looking at the fuel savings. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I was looking and saying, well, geez, I could have like 100 million dollars difference in cost in a year, so how do you deal with that, and the uncertainty of what the supply costs were going to be, so I looked at it from the perspective of, well, if we put forward a deferral account approach that – I'll get into the regulatory 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. That's fair. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so when you started out looking at the application, that's what you started out with really is the expected supply scenario, and then you got to the deferred one when you started looking at fairness, is that it? MR. FAGAN: A. Conceptually, not mathematically. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Conceptually, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So I hadn't done the math because there was too much uncertainty in knowing what the actual expected supply scenario. MR. O'BRIEN: MR. FAGAN:

Augus	t 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GKA
	Page 17		Page 19
1	MR. FAGAN:	1	regulatory principles with regard to the
2	A. Well, we had deferral accounts, but the	2	cost of service standard, and people talked
3	current deferral accounts, the rate	3	about the cost of service standard and the
4	stabilization plan, and the energy supply	4	proposal not meeting the cost of service
5	cost variance, which are two primary ones	5	standard. Based on my review of the cost of
6	for the island, the savings on purchases	6	service standard, it's a cost that get
7	wouldn't go into either one of those	7	recovered from customers, it doesn't say the
8	deferral accounts. They automatically go to	8	costs are recovered from customers in the
9	Hydro's bottom line.	9	current year. So over time, the cost of
10	MR. O'BRIEN:	10	service standard is about the utility having
11	Q. Okay. So you were looking for a way going	11	the opportunity to recover its cost, not
12	forward in the initial application to see	12	this perfect matching of costs as incurred
13	how, from a fairness perspective, that could	13	in the current year by customers. With all
14	be of assistance into the future? I'm just	14	the deferral accounts that we have, it's not
15	trying to get an idea as to how you came to	15	the view that whatever costs you incur right
16	that deferral account.	16	now flow to the customers in the current
17	MR. FAGAN:	17	year, and I questioned Mr. Brown on that
18	A. Well, I was thinking about a couple of	18	myself, and he said, no, no, you're
19	things. One was rate stability, okay, so I	19	misunderstanding the cost of service
20	was looking where rates are and looking at	20	standard from his perspective, and he seemed
21	where rates needed to go. So if you're	21	to be the regulatory guru with regard to
22	looking at it and said, okay, if rates,	22	regulatory principles and the cost of
23	based on the information we have, and we're	23	service standard. So he viewed it more as
24	uncertain exactly what the number is going	24	an inter-generational equity issue starting
25	to be, but we know they're going up, so if	25	out that the savings coming from off-island
	Page 18		Page 20
1	you provided all the savings right now based	1	purchases should be set aside for the future
2	on the savings from Muskrat Falls assets,	2	customers that are going to have to pay the
3	based on the information I had at the time,	3	higher rates.
4	I thought rates would go down. So I said,	4	MR. O'BRIEN:
5	well, is that the right thing to do right	5	Q. And that's based on the fact of the present
6	now. I didn't think so. I have concerns	6	customers getting the use of LIL and the LTA
7	with reducing rates given our forecast for	7	assets?
8	rates to go up, you know, 50 percent or	8	MR. FAGAN:
9	whatever the case may be. I mean, the	9	A. That's correct, yes.
10	current forecast price projection coming out	10	MR. O'BRIEN:
11	of this GRA, assuming we can include LIL and		Q. So in terms of that deferral account itself,
12	LTA costs in, is around 12.3 cents a	12	the off-island purchase deferral account, I
13	kilowatt hour average unit cost for	13	know it's not on the table any more, that's
13	residential customers, okay, versus even the	14	something that's been taken off the table by
15	17 or 18 cents that we've got, assuming a	15	Hydro, but at the time were there any
16	mitigation for government. So you're	16	discussions, and I'm getting a bit of a
17	dealing with a 50 percent increase there	10	flavour that there was discussions that this
18	over a very short period of time. So that	18	is going to happen into the future, but it
19	was the concern when we started out. So	19	was more along the lines of fairness for
20	when we looked at that and I talked to Mr.	20	people who are using those assets versus
20	Brown, John Brown, about it, we talked about	20	putting it aside for mitigation into the
21 22	regulatory principles. So we came up with	21	future, that's where your thought process
22	the proposal first and talked to Mr. Brown	22	was, is that right?
23	after, okay. The proposal first looked at	23 24	MR. FAGAN:
24	saying, okay, we looked at all the	24 25	A. Well, it was –
. / 1	saying, okay, we looked at all the	45	11. WOII, IL WAS —

			NL Hydro 2017 GRA
1 .	Page 21		Page 23
1	MR. O'BRIEN:	1	could go to Table 3 in the evidence.
2	Q. Or is it a combination of both?	2	MR. O'BRIEN:
3	MR. FAGAN:	3	Q. Sure.
4	A. No, I think it was a package really.	4	MR. FAGAN:
5	MR. O'BRIEN:	5	A. Actually, just go back to Table 1 for a
6	Q. Okay.	6	minute on page 2, please. You'll note the
7	MR. FAGAN:	7	total off-island purchases for '18 as 606,
1 '			± ·
8	A. I mean, it was also a big concern about	8	but – could you also bring up response to
9	reducing rates right now. That is actually	9	NP-115, revised, I think it's – it's lower.
10	probably the biggest concern.	10	MR. O'BRIEN:
11	MR. O'BRIEN:	11	Q. It's what?
12	Q. And that's still a concern, is that fair?	12	MR. FAGAN:
13	MR. FAGAN:	13	A. There's less off-island purchases from when
14	A. Yes.	14	we did our original filing on the additional
15	MR. O'BRIEN:	15	cost of service.
16	Q. In fact, you just mentioned earlier the O &	16	MR. O'BRIEN:
17	M costs from the LIL and the LTA, if they're	17	Q. Yes, that's right. So you've got more now
18	not included in Hydro's ultimate order,	18	in the supplemental evidence?
19	could we see 2019 rates decrease?	19	MR. FAGAN:
$\frac{1}{20}$	MR. FAGAN:	20	A. Yes. So you see there was 453 plus, yeah,
$20 \\ 21$	A. Yes.	20	65 -
22	MR. O'BRIEN:	22	MR. O'BRIEN:
23	Q. Yeah, and how would – so going forward then,	23	Q. 388 there, line "E".
24	the off-island purchases deferral account is	24	MR. FAGAN:
25	off the table, and I understand from the	25	A. Yeah, I think that might be the one, yeah,
	Page 22		Page 24
1	Page 22 recent documentation filed by Hydro that	1	Page 24 388. So what's happened –
1 2	recent documentation filed by Hydro that	1 2	-
2	recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability	2	388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3	recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right?	2 3	388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3\\ 4 \end{vmatrix}$	recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4	388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5	recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal	2 3 4 5	388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right.
2 3 4 5 6	recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented	2 3 4 5 6	388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so 	2 3 4 5 6 7	388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue for Hydro, is that right? Is that fair? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what – MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue for Hydro, is that right? Is that fair? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, so that's 115.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue for Hydro, is that right? Is that fair? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what – MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue for Hydro, is that right? Is that fair? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, so that's 115.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue for Hydro, is that right? Is that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, a very big concern. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, so that's 115. (9:30 a.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue for Hydro, is that right? Is that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, a very big concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and from a regulatory process 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, so that's 115. (9:30 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue for Hydro, is that right? Is that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, a very big concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and from a regulatory process perspective, where do you see things going 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, so that's 115. (9:30 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's 115 before it was revised. Is that the right –
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue for Hydro, is that right? Is that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, a very big concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and from a regulatory process perspective, where do you see things going sort of in the near future? Should there be 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, so that's 115. (9:30 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's 115 before it was revised. Is that the right – MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue for Hydro, is that right? Is that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, a very big concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and from a regulatory process perspective, where do you see things going sort of in the near future? Should there be another process to look at this? Have you 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, so that's 115. (9:30 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's 115 before it was revised. Is that the right – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the one that – there was a 115 that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue for Hydro, is that right? Is that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, a very big concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and from a regulatory process perspective, where do you see things going sort of in the near future? Should there be another process to look at this? Have you given any thought to that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, so that's 115. (9:30 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's 115 before it was revised. Is that the right – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the one that – there was a 115 that should have – I think would have included
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue for Hydro, is that right? Is that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, a very big concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and from a regulatory process perspective, where do you see things going sort of in the near future? Should there be another process to look at this? Have you given any thought to that? 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, so that's 115. (9:30 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's 115 before it was revised. Is that the right – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the one that – there was a 115 that should have – I think would have included some purchases over the Maritime Link as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 recent documentation filed by Hydro that there's no proposal for a rate stability rider at this point, is that right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct, there's no formal proposal for a rate stability rider. It's presented to the Board for information purposes, so that they understand the options available to it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So going forward then, I presume this issue of rates potentially going down, followed by a large increase in 2020, is still an issue for Hydro, is that right? Is that fair? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, a very big concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and from a regulatory process perspective, where do you see things going sort of in the near future? Should there be another process to look at this? Have you given any thought to that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 388. So what's happened – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Hold on now. MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think that's right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You got – 453 is the recapture, right? MR. FAGAN: A. That's the recapture. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think this is Revision 1. If you pull up the initial one, it might be a better place to start. I think that was what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, so that's 115. (9:30 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's 115 before it was revised. Is that the right – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the one that – there was a 115 that should have – I think would have included

Augus	\$1.6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
	Page 25		Page 27
1	think.	1	MR. FAGAN:
2	MR. O'BRIEN:	2	A. But now we've got the forecast at 44.2. So
3	Q. Yeah.	3	that contributed to this excess. It's not
4	MR. FAGAN:	4	that we were looking for extra money back
5	A. And of course – and the reason for that is	5	when we did the interim rates application.
6	mentioned actually on page 2 of the	6	There's this extra savings forecast now.
7	evidence, if you just went back there for a	7	MR. O'BRIEN:
8	minute, lines 7 to 9. So other off-island	8	Q. Oh, I understand that.
9	purchases includes short term economic	9	MR. FAGAN:
10	purchases, such as those incurred year to	10	A. So this extra savings is still – it's a
11	date, as well as firm longer term contract	11	bigger piece now of the revenue requirement
12	purchases which are forecast to commence	12	and it's contributing to lower rates going
13	this fall. So while the Labrador Island	13	forward.
14	Link has been delayed, this additional	14	MR. O'BRIEN:
15	contract which provides the opportunity for	15	Q. Right.
16	increased off-island purchases later in the	16	MR. FAGAN:
17	year, higher use of recapture energy would	17	A. So if $-$ I think, and this is still a
18	be one benefit there. So while we've got	18	forecast, so my view is if we're doing – by
19	higher forecast off-island purchases in	19	the time we're doing the compliance filing,
20	2018, there's also a higher risk now because	20	there probably should be an update of what
21	those savings are not there, we haven't got	21	the forecast off-island purchases are, so we
22	those savings yet, okay. So if LIL is	22	don't put 44 million dollars in savings in
23	expected to be on on October 1st, you've only	23	there that may not accrue. The floor keeps
24	got a window there of about – you've got	24	moving somewhat on this, so I think you
25	three months to get all those savings. If	25	wouldn't want to go too far down the road of
	Page 26	4	Page 28
	you just went to $-$ now if you went to Table	1	imbedding a savings that – I mean, it
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	3, which shows in 2018 we've got 44 million	2	certainly may occur, but I just wouldn't
3	dollars in savings forecast, and that's	3	want to hang my hat on it without having –
4	reflected in the expected supply scenario	4	if you're filing when you had better
5	now, and that was compared to 12.3 million	5	information a few months down the road, it
6 7	savings before, so we've got an extra 31	6 7	would probably be comforting. MR. O'BRIEN:
1	million dollars in savings that's there now,		
8	those savings haven't accrued yet – MR. O'BRIEN:	8 9	Q. But that kind of savings, I mean, that's part of the forecast anyway in a normal
9 10	Q. No.	10	course of things, in terms of what fuel you
11	MS. FAGAN:	11	would require in the test year and that sort
11	A. So that's out there. So my view with regard	12	of thing. That's where this comes from, is
12	to –	12	it, savings of fuel?
13	MR. O'BRIEN:	13	MR. FAGAN:
14	Q. This is the savings Ms. Williams was talking	14	A. It's savings from fuel.
16	about, I think, in her evidence, is that	16	MR. O'BRIEN:
17	right?	17	Q. Right, yeah.
18	MR. FAGAN:	18	MR. FAGAN:
19	A. Yes. This is one of the big updates and	19	A. Offset by savings from off-island purchases,
20	it's one that could contribute to the excess	20	but the big dollars are associated with the
$20 \\ 21$	revenues forecast for 2018. So when the	20	recapture which all come over the LIL.
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$	interim rates were approved, we would have	21	MR. O'BRIEN:
	been forecasting the 12.3 million dollars.	23	Q. Yeah.
1 /1			×, i vuii,
23 24	-		
23 24 25	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right.	24 25	MR. FAGAN: A. So, you know, it's tied to how much you can

Page 30 Page 31 1 get for this support – well, the timing of 1 A. So, if we need – we'd probably end up with, instead of a 1.2 percent increase for 2 LLL, the firm energy, firming up of energy 2 customers, you might be talking a five 4 L.H., That's the key component to that savings for the last three months of the 9 6 year. 6 NR, O'BRIEN: 6 7 MR, FAGAN: 7 MR, CORRIEN: 7 10 MR, FAGAN: 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a 11 A. Sure. 11 anger 02M piece of that 2019 year? 12 MR, CORRIEN: 10 Q. Tog et into a insue where you've got a 15 back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of the process or regulatory process do you look at 16 -1 think it's Table 13 on page 22. So, 17 going forward here and it's still an issue 18 for Hydro that rates may be decreasing going 18 for Hydro that rates may be decreasing going 18 evidence with asing what – trying to figure 19 into a large increase? How dow edeal with 20 Fallis s		st 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
2 III., the firm energy, firming up of energy for getting additional recapture over the 4 2 instead of a 1.2 percent increase for customers, you might be talking a five 4 3 getting additional recapture over the 4 2 instead of a 1.2 percent increase for customers, you might be talking a five 4 4 LIL. That's the key component to that 5 asvings for the last three months of the 6 year. 7 MR. OTBRIEN: 5 MR. COBRIEN: 10 MR. FAGAN: 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a 1 11 A. Sure. 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a 1 12 MR. FAGAN: 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a 1 13 Q. You get into an issue where you've got a 14 reduction in rates, just sort of circling 15 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a 12 14 reduction in rates, just sort of circling 19 into a large increase? How do we deal with 20 13 A. Fight. So, then you're sitting – and if we went to the evidence, talks sying what – trying to figure 19 10 that if we don't have the off-island 22 it stability rider? What's the plan? 20 This its able consumation we saving are at the time, maybe some issues 16 11 MR. FAGAN: 1 So, I was – so,		Page 29		-
3 for getting additional recapture over the LL. That's the key component to that savings for the last three months of the year. 3 customers, you might be talking a five percent decrease. 4 LIL. That's the key component to that savings for the last three months of the year. 5 MR. O'BRIEN: 6 Q. Yeah, yeah. 7 7 MR. O'BRIEN: 6 Q. Yeah, yeah. 7 MR. FAGAN: 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year' because it's a larger O&M piece of that 2019 year' 13 Q. You get into an issue where you've got a lat. 11 A. Sure. 11 11 A. Sure. 11 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year' because it's a larger O&M piece of that 2019 year' 14 reduction in rates, just sort of circling 13 A. Right. So, then you're sitting – and if we went to the evidence, table – let's see. 15 back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of process or regulatory process do you look at 16 15 Near the back, we've got a table which shows at 16 16 -1 think i's Table 13 on page 22. So, 17 18 A. Right. So, then you're sitting and if we went to the evidence with saying what - trying to figure out what can the Board out with this Muskrat 10 18 18 Evidence with saying what - trying to figure 14 18 18 18 18 So, I was - so, I said w				
4 I.II. Thai's the key component to that savings for the last three months of the year. 4 percent decrease. 7 MR. O'BRIEN: 5 MR. O'BRIEN: 7 MR. O'BRIEN: 6 Q. Yeah, yeah. 8 Q. Well, let's assume that this is what occurs, something in that range. MR. FAGAN: 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a larger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 12 MR. FAGAN: 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a larger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 13 A. Sure. 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a larger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 14 reduction in rates, just sort of circling into a large increase? How do we deal with to that free don't have the off-island 21 13 A. Fight So, then you're sitting – and if we went to the evidence, tables of the 2019 year because it's a larger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 15 back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of 20 13 A. Eight So, then you're sitting – and if we went to the evidence, tables of the 2019 year because it's a larger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 16 purchases deferral account or a rate 21 purchases deferral account or a rate 23 14 Fals sout form arate setting perspective. 24 24 A. Well, I think when the Board makes their 25 25 thow und can be done in a year.	2	LIL, the firm energy, firming up of energy	2	instead of a 1.2 percent increase for
5 savings for the last three months of the year. 5 MR. O'BRIEN: 6 Q. Well, let's assume that this is what occurs, something in that range. 6 Q. Yeah, yeah. 8 Q. Well, let's assume that this is what occurs, something in that range. 9 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 MR. FAGAN: 8 A. Okay. 11 A. Sure. 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a 13 Q. You get into an issue where you've got a 11 A. Right, So, then you're giting – and if we went to the evidence, table – let's see. 15 back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of process or regulatory process do you look at if or Hydro that rates may be decreasing going [orward here and it's still an issue 17 Mits table rally we started on this 18 19 into a large increase? How do we deal with the fuel on that if we don't have the off-island 20 Falls issue from a rate setting perspective. 21 purchases deferral account or a rate 21 Hands are limited somewhat with regard to the legislation on passing the costs 23 MR. FAGAN: 23 So, I was – so, I said well, so made with regard to the sout made with ere some since 5 24 A. Well, I think w	3	for getting additional recapture over the	3	customers, you might be talking a five
6 year 6 Q. Yeah, yeah. 7 MR. O'BRIEN: 8 Q. Well, let's assume that this is what occurs, something in that range. 9 10 MR. FAGAN: 8 A. Okay. 11 A. Sure. 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a larger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 12 MR. O'BRIEN: 11 A. Sure. 13 13 Q. You get into an issue where you've got a table which shows 16 -1 think it's table 13 on page 22. So, this table really we stated on this evidence, thad - let's see. 15 back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of 16 11 -1 think it's table 13 on page 22. So, this table really we stated on this evidence which shows 16 process or regulatory process do you look at 16 -1 this it's table 13 on page 22. So, this table really we stated on this evidence, this aying what – trying to figure out what can the Board do with this Muskrat 21 purchases deferral account or a rate stability rider? What's the plan? 23 the legislation on passing the costs 23 MR. FAGAN: 23 through. So, I was looking at it and saying it doesn't look like it ties your hands with regard to saving are the time, maybe some issues for orecast of what the off-island purchase 1 So, I was – so, I said well, so maybe the 2 20 Negat 32 <	4	LIL. That's the key component to that	4	percent decrease.
7 MR. O'BRIEN: 7 MR. FAGAN: 8 Q. Well, let's assume that this is what occurs, 9 something in that range. 7 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 MR. FAGAN: 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a 11 arger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 12 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a 11 arger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 13 Q. You get into an issue where you've got a 14 reduction in rates, just sort of circling 15 back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of 16 process or regulatory process do you look at 17 going forward here and it's still an issue 18 for Hydro that rates may be decreasing going 19 into a large increase? How do we deal with 20 that if we don't have the off-island 21 purchases deferral account or a rate 22 stability rider? What's the plan? 11 Hands are limited somewhat with regard to 22 the legislation on passing the costs 23 through. So, I was looking at it and saying 24 A. Well, I think when the Board makes their 25 creast of what the off-island purchase 4 A and get the – if we had an updated 4 forecast of what the off-island purchase 4 form the parties on what the proper approach 8 would be would be a reasonable thing to do. 9 MR. O'BRIEN: 1 So, I was – so, I said well, so maybe the 20 yeah. Page 30 21 MR. FAGAN: 23 how much can happen annually. So, I 4 A and get the – if we had an updated 5 forecast of what the off-island purchase 4 from the parties on what the proper approach 8 would be would be a reasonable thing to do. 9 MR. O'BRIEN: 1 So, I was – so, I said well, so maybe the 24 go. Yeah. 10 Q	5	savings for the last three months of the	5	MR. O'BRIEN:
7 MR. O'BRIEN: 7 MR. FAGAN: 8 Q. Well, let's assume that this is what occurs, 9 9 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 MR. Stre. 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a 11 11 13 Q. You get into an issue where you've got a 14 reduction in rates, just sort of Circling 15 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a 11 11 14 reduction in rates, just sort of Circling 15 12 MR. FAGAN: 11 11 arger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 15 back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of 16 15 Near the back, we've got a table which shows 16 - 1 think it's Table I3 on page 22. So, 16 11 this table really we started on this 19 into a large increase? How do we deal with 20 rate is your hands with 20 11 Hands are limited somewhat with regard to 21 23 MR. FAGAN: 21 Hands are limited somewhat with regard to 22 23 the legislation on passing the costs 23 24 A. Well, 1 think when the Board makes their 25 20 Yeah. 1 So, I was - so, I said well, so maybe fhe 20 Yeah. 3 MR. FAGAN: 1 So, I was - so, I said well, so maybe fhe 20 10 Yeah.	6	year.	6	Q. Yeah, yeah.
9 something in that range. 9 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 MR. FAGAN: 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a larger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 12 MR. O'BRIEN: 11 A. Sure. 11 13 Q. You get into an issue where you've got a table which shows 14 reduction in rates, just sort of circling 14 14 reduction in rates, just sort of circling 14 NR. FAGAN: 15 16 process or regulatory process do you look at l6 16 -1 think it's Table 13 on page 22. So, 19 into a large increase? How do we deal with 19 -1 think it's Table 13 on page 22. So, 19 into a large increase? How do we deal with 19 -1 think it's Table 13 on page 22. So, 11 that if we don't have the off-island 20 Falls issue from a rate setting perspective. 21 purchases deferral account or a rate 21 Hands are limited somewhat with regard to asome flexibility to at least saying 24 A. Well, I think when the Board makes their 23 through. So, I was looking at it and saying 25 Gu Yeah. 2 So, I was – so, I said well, so maybe the 3 A. en ang get the – if we had an			7	
9 something in that range. 9 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 MR. FAGAN: 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a larger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 12 MR. O'BRIEN: 11 A. Sure. 11 13 Q. You get into an issue where you've got a table which shows 14 reduction in rates, just sort of circling 14 14 reduction in rates, just sort of circling 14 NR. FAGAN: 15 16 process or regulatory process do you look at l6 16 -1 think it's Table 13 on page 22. So, 19 into a large increase? How do we deal with 19 -1 think it's Table 13 on page 22. So, 19 into a large increase? How do we deal with 19 -1 think it's Table 13 on page 22. So, 11 that if we don't have the off-island 20 Falls issue from a rate setting perspective. 21 purchases deferral account or a rate 21 Hands are limited somewhat with regard to asome flexibility to at least saying 24 A. Well, I think when the Board makes their 23 through. So, I was looking at it and saying 25 Gu Yeah. 2 So, I was – so, I said well, so maybe the 3 A. en ang get the – if we had an	8	Q. Well, let's assume that this is what occurs,	8	A. Okay.
10 MR. FAGAN: 10 Q. That's for the 2019 year because it's a 11 A. Sure. 11 Inarger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 13 Q. You get into an issue where you've got a 13 A. Right. So, then you're sitting – and if we 14 reduction in rates, just sort of circling 13 A. Right. So, then you're sitting – and if we 15 back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of 16 -1 think i's Table 13 on page 22. So, 17 going forward here and it's still an issue 17 this table really we started on this 18 for Hydro that rates may be decreasing going 18 evidence with saying what – trying to figure 20 that if we don't have the off-island 20 Falls issue from a rate setting perspective. 21 purchases deferral account or a rate 21 Hands are limited somewhat with regard to 23 MR. FAGAN: 23 those makes their 24 24 A. Well, I think when the Board makes their 25 regard to how much can be done in a year. 24 A. - and get the – if we had an updated 5 forceast of what the off-island purchase 5				
11 A. Sure. 11 larger O&M piece of that 2019 year? 12 MR. O'BRIEN: 13 A. Right. So, then you're sitting – and if we wer to the evidence, table – let's see. 13 Q. You get into an issue where you've got a failed which shows reduction in rates, just sort of circling back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of for Hydro that rates may be decreasing going into a large increase? How do we deal with if a larger increase? How do we deal with if we don't have the off-island 20 that if we don't have the off-island 21 gurchases deferral account or a rate 21 stability rider? What's the plan? 18 evidence with saying what – trying to figure out what can the Board do with this Muskrat 20 the legislation on passing the costs through. So, I was reso, I said well, so maybe the decision after reviewing our costs - 23 through. So, I was – so, I said well, so maybe the forceast of what the off-island purchase defined have the proper approach for the proper approach as well this this proceeding? 10 Ner FAGAN: 2 Yeah. 11 her Board caped the and und the savings back to review. We don't get into an second this table collay out what increases in consumer perspective. We didn't get into a saving sand the Board cug approach for the proper approach for the broder and so the saving sand the Board cug approach for the saving sand the broder out saving sand the Board cug approach for the deferral ancount in this case, if the number is sout and the saving sand the Board cug approach for the deferral ancount in this case, if the number is sout and the proper approach for the baard cug approach for the proper approach is would be varel to go back after. 11				
12 MR. O'BRIEN: 12 MR. FAGAN: 13 Q. You get into an issue where you've got a 13 A. Right. So, then you're sitting – and if we went to the evidence, table – let's see. 15 back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of 15 Near the back, we've got a table which shows 16 process or regulatory process do you look at 15 Near the back, we've got a table which shows 16 process or regulatory process do you look at 16 -1 think it's Table 13 on page 22. So, 17 going forward here and it's still an issue 18 evidence with saying what – trying to figure out what can the Board do with this Muskrat 20 that if we don't have the off-island 20 that issue from a rate setting perspective. 21 purchases deferral account or a rate 21 Hands are limited somewhat with regard to 22 stability rider? Wat's the plan? 23 23 MR. FAGAN: 24 tho ow much can be done in a year. 24 Q. Yeah. 3 how much can happen annually. So, I was looking at it and saying trace at the time, maybe some issues 7 from the parties on what the proper approach 8 song are at the time, maybe some issues 7		A. Sure.		
13 Q. You get into an issue where you've got a 13 A. Right. So, then you're sitting – and if we 14 reduction in rates, just sort of circling 14 went to the evidence, table – let's see. 15 back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of 15 Near the back, we've got a table which shows 16 process or regulatory process do you look at 16 -1 think it's Table 13 on page 22. So, 17 going forward here and it's still an issue 17 this table really we started on this 19 into a large increase? How do we deal with 20 evidence with saying what - trying to figure 21 purchased eferral account or a rate 21 Hands are limited somewhat with regard to 22 stability rider? What's the plan? 22 the legislation on passing the costs 23 MR. FAGAN: 24 those it is work and with 24 A. well, I think when the Board makes their 24 25 rega 30 1 So, I was - so, I said well, so maybe the 26 Q. Yeah. 2 Board has some flexibility to at least cap 3 MR. FAGAN: 3 how much can happen annually. So, I				
14 reduction in rates, just sort of circling 14 went to the evidence, table – let's see. 15 back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of 15 Near the back, we've got a table which shows 16 process or regulatory process do you look at 1 17 stable 1,				
15 back, I guess, to my questions, what kind of 15 Near the back, we've got a table which shows 16 process or regulatory process do you look at 16 - I think it's Table 13 on page 22. So, 18 for Hydro that rates may be decreasing going 18 evidence with saying what - trying to figure 19 into a large increase? How do we deal with 19 out what can the Board do with this Muskrat 20 that if we don't have the off-island 11 Falls issue from a rate setting perspective. 21 purchases deferal account or a rate 21 Hands are limited somewhat with regard to 23 MR. FAGAN: 22 tho legislation on passing the costs 23 MR. O'BRIEN: 23 regard to how much can be done in a year. 24 A. Well, I think when the Board makes their 24 So, I was - so, I said well, so maybe the 2 Q. Yeah. 2 Board has some flexibility to at least cap how much can happen annually. So, I 3 MR. FAGAN: 1 So, I was - so, I said well, so maybe the 19 2 Q. Yeah. 2 Board has some flexibility to at least cap 4 A and get the - if we had an updated 5<				
16 process or regulatory process do you look at going forward here and it's still an issue 16 I think it's Table 13 on page 22. So, this table really we started on this 18 for Hydro that rates may be decreasing going into a large increase? How do we deal with 20 18 evidence with saying what - trying to figure out what can the Board do with this Muskrat 21 21 purchases deferral account or a rate 22 stability rider? What's the plan? 22 the legislation on passing the costs 23 Hands are limited somewhat with regard to 24 23 MR. FAGAN: 23 through. So, I was looking at it and saying 24 the legislation on passing the costs 23 through. So, I was looking at it and saying 24 24 A. Well, I think when the Board makes their 25 regar 30 Page 30 25 regard to how much can be done in a year. Page 32 3 MR. FAGAN: 3 how much can happen annually. So, I 4 A. - and get the – if we had an updated 5 forecast of what the proper approach 7 the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and 7 7 from the parties on what the proper approach 8 would be would be a reasonable thing to do. 9 so fif you put 11 MR. FAGAN: 11 have some questions on those later. But, it 12 12		, 5 E		
17going forward here and it's still an issue 1817this table really we started on this evidence with saying what - trying to figure out what can the Board do with this Muskrat 201819into a large increase? How do we deal with 2019out what can the Board do with this Muskrat 2021purchases deferral account or a rate 2121Hands are limited somewhat with regard to the legislation on passing the costs22stability rider? What's the plan? 2223through. So, I was looking at it and saying it doesn't look like it ties your hands with regard to how much can be done in a year.24A.Well, I think when the Board makes their decision after reviewing our costs -2425Torecast of what the off-island purchase for the parties on what the off-island purchase for creast of what the off-island purchase for the parties on what the proper approach for the Board could be a reasonable thing to do.So, I was - so, I said well, so maybe the Board has some flexibility to at least cap how much can happen annually. So, I presented this table to lay out what the '19 increases in accourt, and the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and the load capped the annual increases in consumer perspective. We didn't get into the load strip was10Q.Still within this proceeding?1011MR. FAGAN:11 have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated customers, it's hard to go back after.15 the cumulative deferral amount in this case, because yo				
18 for Hydro that rates may be decreasing going 18 evidence with saying what - trying to figure out what can the Board do with this Muskrat 19 into a large increase? How do we deal with 19 out what can the Board do with this Muskrat 20 that if we don't have the off-island 20 Falls issue from a rate setting perspective. 21 purchases deferral account or a rate 21 Hands are limited somewhat with regard to 23 MR. FAGAN: 23 through. So, I was looking at it and saying 24 A. Well, I think when the Board makes their 26 th doesn't look like it ties your hands with 25 vegat to how much can be done in a year. Page 30 Page 32 7 forecast of what the off-island purchase 5 forecast of what the off-island purchase 6 savings are at the time, maybe some issues 6 the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and 7 from the parties on what the proper approach 8 consumer perspective. We didn't get into 8 would be would be a reasonable thing to do. 9 the Industrial Customers. This was 10 Q. Still within this proceeding? 10 illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will 11				10
19into a large increase? How do we deal with 2019out what can the Board do with this Muskrat Falls issue from a rate setting perspective. Hands are limited somewhat with regard to 2221purchases deferral account or a rate stability rider? What's the plan? 2320Hands are limited somewhat with regard to 2223MR. FAGAN: decision after reviewing our costs - 2523through. So, I was looking at it and saying it doesn't look like it ties your hands with 2524A.Well, I think when the Board makes their 2424through. So, I was looking at it and saying it doesn't look like it ties your hands with 2525regard to how much can be done in a year.Page 3026Page 30Page 321MR. O'BRIEN: 5320.Yeah.3MR. FAGAN: 434A and get the - if we had an updated 545forecast of what the off-island purchase 666savings are at the time, maybe some issues 767from the parties on what the proper approach 778would be would be a reasonable thing to do.9MR. O'BRIEN: 12912A.Or the Board could set it aside. But, once 1313you set rates, to give the savings back to 1314A.Shif we do have - if we've only got -13you set rates, to give the savings back to 1414recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so 1415MR. O'BRIEN: <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td>				5
20that if we don't have the off-island purchases deferral account or a rate stability rider? What's the plan? 2320Falls issue from a rate setting perspective. Hands are limited somewhat with regard to the legislation on passing the costs through. So, I was looking at it and saying it doesn't look like it ties your hands with regard to how much can be done in a year.24A.Well, I think when the Board makes their 2523HR. O'BRIEN: 2024Yeage 30Page 3024A.Well, I think when the Board makes their 25245So, I was looking at it and saying it doesn't look like it ties your hands with regard to how much can be done in a year.2Q.Yeah.2Board has some flexibility to at least cap a how much can happen annually. So, I presented this table to lay out what3MR. FAGAN: a nad get the - if we had an updated 53how much can happen annually. So, I presented this table to lay out what4A and get the off-island purchase 65increases would occur. And so, if you put the lndustrial Customers. This was6savings are at the time, maybe some issues 668consumer perspective. We didn't get into the lndustrial Customers. This was10Q.Still within this proceeding?10illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a tem percen increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated revenue deficiency or defer associated revenue deficiency or defer associated revenue deficiency or defer associated revenue defereral amount in this case, because you co				
21purchases deferral account or a rate stability rider? What's the plan?21Hands are limited somewhat with regard to the legislation on passing the costs23MR. FAGAN:23through. So, I was looking at it and saying it doesn't look like it ties your hands with regard to how much can be done in a year.24A.Well, I think when the Board makes their decision after reviewing our costs -23through. So, I was looking at it and saying it doesn't look like it ties your hands with regard to how much can be done in a year.25Page 30Page 321MR. O'BRIEN:1So, I was -so, I said well, so maybe the Board has some flexibility to at least cap how much can happen annually. So, I presented this table to lay out what increase would occur. And so, if you put the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and the '19 increase in consumer perspective. We didn't get into the Board capped the annual increases in consumer perspective. We didn't get into the Board capped the annual increases in consumer perspective. We didn't get into the Board cupt the savings back to 1311MR. O'BRIEN:1012A.Or the Board could set it aside. But, once 141215MR. O'BRIEN:1516Q.Yeah.17MR. FAGAN:18A.So, if we do have - if we've only got - 1819let's say - okay, so let's say the 4420million dollars arises; we get those 2017million dollars arises; we get those 2018A.29kearts orthe				
22 stability rider? What's the plan? 22 the legislation on passing the costs 23 MR. FAGAN: 23 through. So, I was looking at it and saying 24 A. Well, I think when the Board makes their 24 it doesn't look like it ties your hands with 25 decision after reviewing our costs - Page 30 Page 32 1 MR. O'BRIEN: 1 So, I was - so, I said well, so maybe the 2 Q. Yeah. 2 Board has some flexibility to at least cap 3 MR. FAGAN: 4 4 presented this table to lay out what 5 forecast of what the off-island purchase 5 increases would occur. And so, if you put 6 savings are at the time, maybe some issues 7 the load presented this table to lay out what 7 from the parties on what the proper approach 8 would be areasonable thing to do. 9 9 MR. O'BRIEN: 9 the laudustrial Customers. This was 10 10 Q. Still within this proceeding? 10 have some questions on those later. But, it 11 MR. FAGAN: 11 have some questions on those later. 14 14				
23MR. FAGAN:23through. So, I was looking at it and saying it desn't look like it ties your hands with regard to how much can be done in a year.24A.Well, I think when the Board makes their decision after reviewing our costs -23through. So, I was looking at it and saying it desn't look like it ties your hands with regard to how much can be done in a year.25Page 30Page 321MR. O'BRIEN:1So, I was - so, I said well, so maybe the Board has some flexibility to at least cap how much can happen annually. So, I presented this table to lay out what increases would occur. And so, if you put the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and the Board capped the annual increases in consumer perspective. We didn't get into the Industrial Customers. This was10Q. Still within this proceeding?1011MR. FAGAN:1112A.Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to 141213you set rates, to give the savings back to 141314A.So, if we do have - if we've only got - 1916's say - okay, so let's say the 441910let's say - okay, so let's say the 44191011so if we do have - if we've only got - 191815MR. O'BRIEN:1516Q.Yeah.1717MR. O'BRIEN:1618A. So, if we do have - if we've only got - 191820million dollars arises; we get those 202021savings, and the Board rules that the L				
24A.Well, I think when the Board makes their decision after reviewing our costs -24it doesn't look like it ties your hands with regard to how much can be done in a year.25Page 30Page 321MR. O'BRIEN:1So, I was - so, I said well, so maybe the Board has some flexibility to at least cap how much can happen annually. So, I presented this table to lay out what increases would occur. And so, if you put the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and the '19 increase in consumer perspective. We didn't get into the ladustrial Customers. This was ill ustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so the customers arises; we get those15MR. O'BRIEN:1516because you couldn't fully get to the costs of fla cents or t				
25decision after reviewing our costs -25regard to how much can be done in a year.Page 30Page 301MR. O'BRIEN:12Q. Yeah.23MR. FAGAN:34A and get the – if we had an updated45forecast of what the off-island purchase56savings are at the time, maybe some issues67from the parties on what the proper approach78would be would be a reasonable thing to do.99MR. O'BRIEN:910Q. Still within this proceeding?1011MR. FAGAN:1112A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once1213you set rates, to give the savings back to1314A. So, if we do have – if we've only got –1515MR. O'BRIEN:1516Q. Yeah.1617MR. FAGAN:1718A. So, if we do have – if we've only got –19let's say – okay, so let's say the 4420million dollars arises; we get those21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?23MR. O'BRIEN:2424Q. Yeah.24Q. Yeah.				
Page 30Page 30Page 321MR. O'BRIEN:1So, I was – so, I said well, so maybe the2Q. Yeah.2Board has some flexibility to at least cap3MR. FAGAN:3how much can happen annually. So, I4A and get the – if we had an updated4presented this table to lay out what5forecast of what the off-island purchase5increases would occur. And so, if you put6savings are at the time, maybe some issues6the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and7from the parties on what the proper approach8consumer perspective. We didn't get into8would be would be a reasonable thing to do.9the Industrial Customers. This was10Q. Still within this proceeding?10illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will11have some questions on those later. But, it11have some questions on those later. But, it12A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once13revenue deficiency or defer associated14customers, it's hard to go back after.14recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so15MR. O'BRIEN:16because you couldn't fully get to the costs16Q. Yeah.17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A. So, if we do have – if we've only got –18mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number19let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of 18 cents or the mitigated target20million dollars arises; we get those20you got t				
1MR. O'BRIEN:1So, I was - so, I said well, so maybe the2Q. Yeah.Board has some flexibility to at least cap3MR. FAGAN:how much can happen annually. So, I4A and get the - if we had an updatedpresented this table to lay out what5forecast of what the off-island purchaseincreases would occur. And so, if you put6savings are at the time, maybe some issuesfthe '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and7from the parties on what the proper approachresonable thing to do.8would be would be a reasonable thing to do.somumer perspective. We didn't get into9MR. O'BRIEN:910Q. Still within this proceeding?1011MR. FAGAN:1112A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once1213you set rates, to give the savings back to1314customers, it's hard to go back after.1415MR. O'BRIEN:1516Q. Yeah.1617MR. FAGAN:1618A. So, if we do have – if we've only got –1819let's say – okay, so let's say the 441920million dollars arises; we get those2021savings, and the Board rules that the LIL2022wou got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL22wou got to 2023 and the Board would have the23MR. O'BRIEN:2424If there was a decrease sa	25		23	
2Q. Yeah.2Board has some flexibility to at least cap3MR. FAGAN:3how much can happen annually. So, I4A and get the – if we had an updated4presented this table to lay out what5forecast of what the off-island purchase5increases would occur. And so, if you put6savings are at the time, maybe some issues6the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and7from the parties on what the proper approach7the Board capped the annual increases in8would be would be a reasonable thing to do.8consumer perspective. We didn't get into9MR. O'BRIEN:9the Industrial Customers. This was10Q. Still within this proceeding?10illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will11MR. FAGAN:11have some questions on those later. But, it12A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once12lays out a ten percent increase and our13you set rates, to give the savings back to13revenue deficiency or defer associated14customers, it's hard to go back after.14recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so15MR. O'BRIEN:15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q. Yeah.17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A. So, if we do have – if we've only got –1819let's say – okay, so let's say the 441920million dollars arises; we get those2021costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay? </td <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td>	1		1	-
3MR. FAGAN:3how much can happen annually. So, I4A and get the – if we had an updated4presented this table to lay out what5forecast of what the off-island purchase5increases would occur. And so, if you put6savings are at the time, maybe some issues6the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and7from the parties on what the proper approach7the Board capped the annual increases in8would be would be a reasonable thing to do.9MR. O'BRIEN:9MR. O'BRIEN:9the Industrial Customers. This was10Q.Still within this proceeding?1011MR. FAGAN:11have some questions on those later. But, it12A.Or the Board could set it aside. But, once1213you set rates, to give the savings back to13revenue deficiency or defer associated14customers, it's hard to go back after.14recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so15MR. O'BRIEN:15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q.Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A.So, if we do have - if we've only got -1819let's say - okay, so let's say the 441920million dollars arises; we get those2021savings, and the Board rules that the LIL2122costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?				
4A and get the – if we had an updated4presented this table to lay out what5forecast of what the off-island purchase5increases would occur. And so, if you put6savings are at the time, maybe some issues6the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and7from the parties on what the proper approach7the Board capped the annual increases in8would be would be a reasonable thing to do.8consumer perspective. We didn't get into9MR. O'BRIEN:9the Industrial Customers. This was10Q.Still within this proceeding?1011MR. FAGAN:11have some questions on those later. But, it12A.Or the Board could set it aside. But, once1313you set rates, to give the savings back to13revenue deficiency or defer associated14customers, it's hard to go back after.15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q.Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A.So, if we do have – if we've only got –1819let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL20option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okaa?22		•		
5forecast of what the off-island purchase5increases would occur. And so, if you put6savings are at the time, maybe some issues6the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and7from the parties on what the proper approach7the Board capped the annual increases in8would be would be a reasonable thing to do.9MR. O'BRIEN:9MR. O'BRIEN:9the Industrial Customers. This was10Q.Still within this proceeding?10illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will11MR. FAGAN:11have some questions on those later. But, it12A.Or the Board could set it aside. But, once12lays out a ten percent increase and our13you set rates, to give the savings back to13revenue deficiency or defer associated14customers, it's hard to go back after.14recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so15MR. O'BRIEN:15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q.Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:17of la cents or the mitigated target18A.So, if we do have - if we've only got -1819let's say - okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about				11 2 .
6savings are at the time, maybe some issues from the parties on what the proper approach 86the '19 increase here of 1.2 percent, and the Board capped the annual increases in consumer perspective. We didn't get into 99MR. O'BRIEN:910illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it 1211MR. FAGAN:11have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated the customers, it's hard to go back after.1215MR. O'BRIEN:15the customers, it's hard to go back after.16Q. Yeah.15the cumulative deferral amount in this case, because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:1618A. So, if we do have – if we've only got –1819let's say – okay, so let's say the 441920million dollars arises; we get those costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?2023MR. O'BRIEN:2424Q. Yeah.24		6 1		1
7from the parties on what the proper approach 87the Board capped the annual increases in consumer perspective. We didn't get into9MR. O'BRIEN:9the Industrial Customers. This was10Q.Still within this proceeding?10illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will11MR. FAGAN:11have some questions on those later. But, it12A.Or the Board could set it aside. But, once12lays out a ten percent increase and our13you set rates, to give the savings back to13recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so15MR. O'BRIEN:15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q.Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A.So, if we do have – if we've only got –1819let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about				
8would be would be a reasonable thing to do.8consumer perspective. We didn't get into9MR. O'BRIEN:9the Industrial Customers. This was10Q. Still within this proceeding?10illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will11MR. FAGAN:11have some questions on those later. But, it12A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once12lays out a ten percent increase and our13you set rates, to give the savings back to13revenue deficiency or defer associated14customers, it's hard to go back after.14recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so15MR. O'BRIEN:15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q. Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A. So, if we do have – if we've only got –18mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number19let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about				
9MR. O'BRIEN:9the Industrial Customers. This was10Q. Still within this proceeding?10illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will11MR. FAGAN:11have some questions on those later. But, it12A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once12lays out a ten percent increase and our13you set rates, to give the savings back to13revenue deficiency or defer associated14customers, it's hard to go back after.14recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so15MR. O'BRIEN:15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q. Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A. So, if we do have – if we've only got –18mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number19let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about				
10Q.Still within this proceeding?10illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will11MR. FAGAN:11have some questions on those later. But, it12A.Or the Board could set it aside. But, once12lays out a ten percent increase and our13you set rates, to give the savings back to13revenue deficiency or defer associated14customers, it's hard to go back after.14recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so15MR. O'BRIEN:15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q.Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A.So, if we do have – if we've only got –1819let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about				
11MR. FAGAN:11have some questions on those later. But, it12A.Or the Board could set it aside. But, once12lays out a ten percent increase and our13you set rates, to give the savings back to13revenue deficiency or defer associated14customers, it's hard to go back after.14recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so15MR. O'BRIEN:15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q.Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A.So, if we do have – if we've only got –1819let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about				
12A.Or the Board could set it aside. But, once12lays out a ten percent increase and our13you set rates, to give the savings back to13revenue deficiency or defer associated14customers, it's hard to go back after.14recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so15MR. O'BRIEN:15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q.Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A.So, if we do have - if we've only got -1819let's say - okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about			9	the Industrial Customers. This was
13you set rates, to give the savings back to13revenue deficiency or defer associated14customers, it's hard to go back after.14recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so15MR. O'BRIEN:15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q. Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A.So, if we do have – if we've only got –1819let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from23MR. O'BRIEN:23term they thought was reasonable.2424Q.Yeah.24If there was a decrease say of about	10	Q. Still within this proceeding?	9 10	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will
14customers, it's hard to go back after.14recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so15MR. O'BRIEN:15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q. Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A.So, if we do have – if we've only got –1819let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about	10 11	Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN:	9 10 11	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it
15MR. O'BRIEN:15the cumulative deferral amount in this case,16Q. Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:16because you couldn't fully get to the costs18A. So, if we do have – if we've only got –18mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number19let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about	10 11 12	Q. Still within this proceeding?MR. FAGAN:A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once	9 10 11 12	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our
16Q.Yeah.16because you couldn't fully get to the costs17MR. FAGAN:17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A.So, if we do have – if we've only got –18mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number19let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about	10 11 12 13	 Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN: A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to 	9 10 11 12 13	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated
17MR. FAGAN:17of 18 cents or the mitigated target18A.So, if we do have – if we've only got –18mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number19let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about	10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN: A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to customers, it's hard to go back after. 	9 10 11 12 13 14	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so
18A.So, if we do have – if we've only got –18mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number19let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about	10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN: A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to customers, it's hard to go back after. MR. O'BRIEN: 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so the cumulative deferral amount in this case,
19let's say – okay, so let's say the 4419of four and 76 million dollars by the time20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:24If there was a decrease say of about	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN: A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to customers, it's hard to go back after. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so the cumulative deferral amount in this case, because you couldn't fully get to the costs
20million dollars arises; we get those20you got to 2023 and the Board would have the21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:2424If there was a decrease say of about	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN: A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to customers, it's hard to go back after. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so the cumulative deferral amount in this case, because you couldn't fully get to the costs of 18 cents or the mitigated target
21savings, and the Board rules that the LIL21option of amortizing that for recovery from22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:23term they thought was reasonable.24Q.Yeah.24If there was a decrease say of about	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN: A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to customers, it's hard to go back after. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So, if we do have – if we've only got – 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so the cumulative deferral amount in this case, because you couldn't fully get to the costs of 18 cents or the mitigated target mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number
22costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay?22customers over a longer period, whatever23MR. O'BRIEN:23term they thought was reasonable.24Q.Yeah.24If there was a decrease say of about	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN: A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to customers, it's hard to go back after. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So, if we do have – if we've only got – let's say – okay, so let's say the 44 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so the cumulative deferral amount in this case, because you couldn't fully get to the costs of 18 cents or the mitigated target mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number of four and 76 million dollars by the time
23MR. O'BRIEN:23term they thought was reasonable.24Q.Yeah.24If there was a decrease say of about	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN: A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to customers, it's hard to go back after. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So, if we do have – if we've only got – let's say – okay, so let's say the 44 million dollars arises; we get those 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so the cumulative deferral amount in this case, because you couldn't fully get to the costs of 18 cents or the mitigated target mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number of four and 76 million dollars by the time you got to 2023 and the Board would have the
24Q.Yeah.24If there was a decrease say of about	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN: A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to customers, it's hard to go back after. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So, if we do have – if we've only got – let's say – okay, so let's say the 44 million dollars arises; we get those savings, and the Board rules that the LIL 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so the cumulative deferral amount in this case, because you couldn't fully get to the costs of 18 cents or the mitigated target mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number of four and 76 million dollars by the time you got to 2023 and the Board would have the option of amortizing that for recovery from
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN: A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to customers, it's hard to go back after. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So, if we do have – if we've only got – let's say – okay, so let's say the 44 million dollars arises; we get those savings, and the Board rules that the LIL costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so the cumulative deferral amount in this case, because you couldn't fully get to the costs of 18 cents or the mitigated target mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number of four and 76 million dollars by the time you got to 2023 and the Board would have the option of amortizing that for recovery from customers over a longer period, whatever
25 MR. FAGAN: 25	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN: A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to customers, it's hard to go back after. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So, if we do have – if we've only got – let's say – okay, so let's say the 44 million dollars arises; we get those savings, and the Board rules that the LIL costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay? MR. O'BRIEN: 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so the cumulative deferral amount in this case, because you couldn't fully get to the costs of 18 cents or the mitigated target mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number of four and 76 million dollars by the time you got to 2023 and the Board would have the option of amortizing that for recovery from customers over a longer period, whatever term they thought was reasonable.
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Still within this proceeding? MR. FAGAN: A. Or the Board could set it aside. But, once you set rates, to give the savings back to customers, it's hard to go back after. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. So, if we do have – if we've only got – let's say – okay, so let's say the 44 million dollars arises; we get those savings, and the Board rules that the LIL costs and LTA costs can't be included, okay? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the Industrial Customers. This was illustrative and I'm sure Mr. Coxworthy will have some questions on those later. But, it lays out a ten percent increase and our revenue deficiency or defer associated recovery in the Muskrat Falls cost, and so the cumulative deferral amount in this case, because you couldn't fully get to the costs of 18 cents or the mitigated target mitigated rate, you'd have a deferred number of four and 76 million dollars by the time you got to 2023 and the Board would have the option of amortizing that for recovery from customers over a longer period, whatever term they thought was reasonable.

Augus	st 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
	Page 33	4	Page 35
1	5.1 percent, and I think that number would	1	can the Board manage an increase in rates to
2	grow then to probably an extra 200 million	2	18 cents.
3	dollars for future recovery from customers.	3	MR. O'BRIEN:
4	So, it would – it creates more – the savings	4	Q. Yeah.
5	that are being passed through the customers	5	MR. FAGAN:
6	now because of use of the assets from	6	A. Once I looked at that, then I said "well, is
7	Muskrat Falls that benefit current customers	7	it the right thing to do to keep rates flat
8	will have more of an impact on future	8	now or should you make a little bit of
9	customers. So, from an intergenerational	9	process?" and that's where the Rate
10	equity perspective, it does create a concern	10	Stability Rider evolved from.
11	with regard to the more savings we give to	11	MR. O'BRIEN:
12	customers now, the more of a problem we	12	Q. Okay. So, if there's no proposal for a Rate
13	create for our future customers.	13	Stability Rider and the Board has indicated
14	MR. O'BRIEN:	14	that the aspect of this evidence related to
15	Q. Mr. Fagan, this evidence here in Table 13,	15	a Rate Stability Rider is not to be
16	and this is scenario two, that's one of the	16	considered in this proceeding for setting
17	scenarios related to the Rate Stability	17	rates -
18	Rider. Is that right?	18	MR. FAGAN:
19	MR. FAGAN:	19	A. I don't know if that's the wording, but you
20	A. No.	20	can quote – you can present it to me.
21	MR. O'BRIEN:	21	MR. O'BRIEN:
22	Q. It's not?	22	Q. I believe it is.
23	MR. FAGAN:	23	MR. FAGAN:
24	A. Scenario three is really the only Rate	24	A. I don't have a problem with – you can
25	Stability Rider and that's where Hydro would		present it to me. This isn't evidence on a
	Page 34		Page 36
1	put forward an increase -	1	Rate Stability Rider. This is evidence on
2	MR. O'BRIEN:	2	what the potential impacts are for customers
3	Q. That's the rate smoothing.	3	to get to Muskrat Falls without a Rate
4	MR. FAGAN:	4	Stability Rider.
5	A. Yeah, an increase in excess of what would be	5	MR. O'BRIEN:
6	coming out of this GRA in 2019. That table	6	Q. Without one?
7	there is not the Rate Stability Rider. This	7	MR. FAGAN:
8	is -	8	A. Yes.
9	MR. O'BRIEN:	9	MR. O'BRIEN:
10	Q. No, no, but that's part of showing the	10	Q. If you focus on the 18 cents?
11	background into the Rate Stability Rider?	11	MR. FAGAN:
12	You've got scenario or options on what you	12	A. Yes.
13	can see over certain timeframes and then	13	MR. O'BRIEN:
14	you've got here's what a rate smoothing	14	Q. This is how you can get there. All of that
15	perspective would look like or a Rate	15	information there is based on that 22.89
16	Stability Rider?	16	cent figure from Nalcor? Is that fair?
17	MR. FAGAN:	17	MR. FAGAN:
18	A. Well, when I was working on that section of	18	A. Well, so in IC-122, Nalcor, this is on the
19	the evidence, it had nothing to do with my	19	record with respect to the 22.89.
20	Rate Stability Rider.	20	MR. O'BRIEN:
$20 \\ 21$	MR. O'BRIEN:	20	Q. Yeah.
22	Q. No.	22	MR. FAGAN:
		23	
	MR. FAGAN:	21	A. So, there's hadn't been much information
23	MR. FAGAN: A. It was strictly about what are the potential		A. So, there's hadn't been much information before the Board on the 22.89. So, we can
	MR. FAGAN:A. It was strictly about what are the potential impacts on customers going forward and how	23 24 25	A. So, there's hadn't been much information before the Board on the 22.89. So, we can go back to Table 11.

	5, 2010		
	Page 37		Page 39
1	MR. O'BRIEN:	1	built the revenue requirement and basically
2	Q. Sure.	2	so, if I took – so, I have the Muskrat Falls
3	MR. FAGAN:	3	generation and the Labrador Transmission
4	A. Page 19. So, I said, well, for my own	4	Assets and say so in 2021 at 391 million,
5	comfort and even just so people understand a	5	and then if you went to Table 10 on the next
6	bit more about does this 22.89, does it make	6	page -
7	sense, okay. The Nalcor number of 22.89, it	7	MR. O'BRIEN:
8		8	
	was a projected domestic rate, okay, in		Q. Can you tell me how you built those costs
9	2021. So, the focus was on 2021. The	9	then, Table 9?
10	evidence that's presented here for the Board	10	MR. FAGAN:
11	to review was laying out, from a high level,	11	A. Sure. Want to go back to Table 9?
12	based on the June 2017 cost update, whether	12	MR. O'BRIEN:
13	that number makes some sense, okay.	13	Q. Yeah.
14	So, we took each component of the	14	MR. FAGAN:
15	project and went through and came up with	15	A. Okay. Well, it's actually – I got the
16	the revenue requirement and actually, just	16	information from Nalcor, which is the
17	go through it. So, if we went back to Table	17	breakdown of the pieces of the revenue
18	9 on page 16. So, when we look at the	18	requirement. So, Muskrat Falls PPA is
19	Muskrat Falls generation and the LTA, those	19	different than the Transmission Funding
20	costs are recovered through the Muskrat	20	Agreement -
21	Falls Purchase Power Agreement. So, we	21	MR. O'BRIEN:
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$	presented the revenue requirement for each	22	Q. Right.
$\begin{vmatrix} 22\\23 \end{vmatrix}$	of those, which based on the information	23	MR. FAGAN:
23		23 24	A in the manner in which they determine the
	provided by Nalcor and that cost -		5
25		25	revenue requirement. So, they use this
			· · ·
	Page 38		Page 40
1	MR. O'BRIEN:	1	internal rate of return model and so, they
2	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information?	2	internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an
2 3	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN:	2 3	internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4
2	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information?	2 3 4	internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an
2 3	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN:	2 3	internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4
2 3 4	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. How recent was that information?MR. FAGAN:A. That was the June 2017 information. I	2 3 4	internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now.
2 3 4 5	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. How recent was that information?MR. FAGAN:A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment	2 3 4 5	internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we looked at the revenue requirement pieces to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we looked at the revenue requirement pieces to build it and of course, it's built on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we looked at the revenue requirement pieces to build it and of course, it's built on the internal rate of return. So, in the initial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we looked at the revenue requirement pieces to build it and of course, it's built on the internal rate of return. So, in the initial years of the Muskrat Falls Project, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we looked at the revenue requirement pieces to build it and of course, it's built on the internal rate of return. So, in the initial years of the Muskrat Falls Project, what they're recovering is primarily debt costs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. They've been reviewing the costs and don't think they're materially different. I think 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we looked at the revenue requirement pieces to build it and of course, it's built on the internal rate of return. So, in the initial years of the Muskrat Falls Project, what they're recovering is primarily debt costs. There's very little return in the early
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. They've been reviewing the costs and don't think they're materially different. I think that's what Mr. Marshall said when he was at 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we looked at the revenue requirement pieces to build it and of course, it's built on the internal rate of return. So, in the initial years of the Muskrat Falls Project, what they're recovering is primarily debt costs. There's very little return in the early years and over time on the internal rate of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. FAGAN: A. They've been reviewing the costs and don't think they're materially different. I think that's what Mr. Marshall said when he was at the Annual General Meeting; that there's no 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we looked at the revenue requirement pieces to build it and of course, it's built on the internal rate of return. So, in the initial years of the Muskrat Falls Project, what they're recovering is primarily debt costs. There's very little return in the early years and over time on the internal rate of return, they get – that's when the return
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. FAGAN: A. They've been reviewing the costs and don't think they're materially different. I think that's what Mr. Marshall said when he was at the Annual General Meeting; that there's no change in the cost that's worth throwing out 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we looked at the revenue requirement pieces to build it and of course, it's built on the internal rate of return. So, in the initial years of the Muskrat Falls Project, what they're recovering is primarily debt costs. There's very little return in the early years and over time on the internal rate of return arrives as such. So, there's very little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. They've been reviewing the costs and don't think they're materially different. I think that's what Mr. Marshall said when he was at the Annual General Meeting; that there's no change in the cost that's worth throwing out new numbers because the numbers are not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we looked at the revenue requirement pieces to build it and of course, it's built on the internal rate of return. So, in the initial years of the Muskrat Falls Project, what they're recovering is primarily debt costs. There's very little return in the early years and over time on the internal rate of return arrives as such. So, there's very little return arrives as such. So, there's very little return arrives as such. So, there's very little return earned on the initial years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. FAGAN: A. They've been reviewing the costs and don't think they're materially different. I think that's what Mr. Marshall said when he was at the Annual General Meeting; that there's no change in the cost that's worth throwing out 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we looked at the revenue requirement pieces to build it and of course, it's built on the internal rate of return. So, in the initial years of the Muskrat Falls Project, what they're recovering is primarily debt costs. There's very little return in the early years and over time on the internal rate of return arrives as such. So, there's very little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. How recent was that information? MR. FAGAN: A. That was the June 2017 information. I talked to the Nalcor, the investment evaluation people who prepare the costs, and their view of it is that the costs are not changing materially, so there's no update available to those costs. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, apart from that IC-NLH-122, there's no update available to those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. There's no published update. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. They've been reviewing the costs and don't think they're materially different. I think that's what Mr. Marshall said when he was at the Annual General Meeting; that there's no change in the cost that's worth throwing out new numbers because the numbers are not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 internal rate of return model and so, they come up with – they do their – they had an internal rate of return assumption of 8.4 percent and they – just one second now. (9:45 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, this was provided to you from Nalcor, these figures? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, and the breakdown of those costs as well, how much was O&M, how much was the base block capital recovery and what are the different components of those costs. So, we looked at the revenue requirement pieces to build it and of course, it's built on the internal rate of return. So, in the initial years of the Muskrat Falls Project, what they're recovering is primarily debt costs. There's very little return in the early years and over time on the internal rate of return arrives as such. So, there's very little return arrives as such. So, there's very little return arrives as such. So, there's very little return earned on the initial years.

Augus	t 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
	Page 41	1	Page 43
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	clause of two percent a year in the rate	1	Table – can we just move along in order to find that table?
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	that Hydro pays and so, as that grows over time, that's where they build their rate of	2 3	MR. O'BRIEN:
	time, that's where they build their rate of	3 4	
4	return, okay. So, they start out with	4 5	Q. So, is there a cost of service study done by
5	minimal, if any, rate of return in the early		Hydro to look at that? MR. FAGAN:
6 7	years or the project associated with the Muskrat Falls PPA. For the Transmission	6 7	A. Well -
8	Funding Agreement, it's based on the cost of	8	MR. O'BRIEN:
9	service standard, which is based on $-$ so, it	o 9	Q. How did you come up with those costs?
10	gets your rate of return on $-$ so, you've got	10	MR. FAGAN:
11	your big bump in rate base in the early	11	A. To come up with those – Hydro's costs?
11	years which drives your revenue requirement.	12	MR. O'BRIEN:
12	So, for one project, you've got minimal	12	Q. Yes.
13	return in the early years and on the	14	MR. FAGAN:
15	Transmission Funding Agreement, you've got a	15	A. Well, that comes from the cost of service –
16	big portion of return because the asset is	16	in this particular evidence, in the table,
17	not depreciated. So, over time, as one goes	17	let me get my page with the table on it. If
18	down, the Transmission Funding Agreement	18	we go to Table 11 on page 19? So, in order
19	costs decline, but for the Muskrat Falls	19	to get the Muskrat Falls costs effectively,
20	Purchase Power Agreement, the costs increase	20	so combine the Muskrat Falls PPA and the
21	for recovery from Hydro. So, over time, it	21	Transmission Funding Agreement to get the
22	tries to keep somewhat of a stable rate.	22	revenue requirement for the Muskrat Falls
23	MR. O'BRIEN:	23	total project costs.
24	Q. Are Hydro's costs in that table?	24	MR. O'BRIEN:
25	MR. FAGAN:	25	Q. That's the first column?
	Page 42		Page 44
1	A. No. Those are purely purchase power costs.	1	MR. FAGAN:
2	MR. O'BRIEN:	2	A. That's the first column. And converted this
3	Q. Purely purchase power?	3	to a revenue requirement, the total Island
4	MR. FAGAN:	4	sales, because this is just a revenue
5	A. Yes.	5	requirement analysis. This is not what the
6	MR. O'BRIEN:	6	cost of Muskrat Falls itself are. It's just
7	Q. Okay.	7	on a revenue requirement basis. It's not
8	MR. FAGAN:	8	the unit cost of in-service for Muskrat
9	A. Hydro's costs would be included in -	9	Falls. So, we -
10	MR. O'BRIEN:	10	MR. O'BRIEN:
11	Q. IC-122?	11	Q. Where would we find that?
12	MR. FAGAN:	12	MR. FAGAN:
13	A. Yeah, or you could go to the evidence as	13	A. I'll answer that question next.
14	well on $-$ I think where earlier in the table	14	MR. O'BRIEN:
15	we break out that in the cost of service	15	Q. Okay. Fair game.
16	study coming out of this GRA, the forecast	16	MR. FAGAN:
17	cost to serve Newfoundland Power, including	17	A. Okay.
18	rural deficit, is 7.9 cents a kilowatt hour.	18	MR. O'BRIEN:
19	That would be Hydro's costs.	19 20	Q. Asked you one before.
20	MR. O'BRIEN:	20 21	MR. FAGAN:
21	Q. Okay.	21 22	A. So, we'll get the average unit costs of $-$
22 23	MR. FAGAN: A. From this perspective, except you'd have to	22	so, if we just went to 2021 and we get so about 11.8 cents a kilowatt hour. So, in
23	take out savings from Holyrood and add the	23 24	order to come up with what the impact would
24	Muskrat Falls, which is in another table,	24 25	be in 2021, I just backed off the fuel costs
L ² J		45	50 III 2021, 1 Just backed off the fuel costs

	50,2010		NE Hydro 2017 OKA
	Page 45	1	Page 47
	effectively and the cost of Holyrood that	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	to you as well. That breakdown is in this
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	would be related to O&M and fixed recovery,	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	evidence, is it, breakdown of those costs?
3	estimated that.	3	MR. FAGAN:
4	MR. O'BRIEN:	4	A. No. I can make it available.
5	Q. That's your 1.8?	5	MR. O'BRIEN:
6	MR. FAGAN:	6	Q. So, going forward -
7	A. That's the 1.8, okay. But that's, well, of	7	MR. FAGAN:
8	the current year. It's not the marginal	8	A. What was the second question?
9	costs of like something other than Muskrat.	9	MR. O'BRIEN:
10	It's strictly what costs does Hydro have for	10	Q. No, no, I think you answered it.
11	Holyrood in the current test year; left some	11	MR. FAGAN:
12	in there because we've got to maintain	12	A. Okay.
13	Holyrood as a sync condenser. So, it's just	13	MR. O'BRIEN:
14	a ballpark figure. But the numbers are	14	Q. But going forward, I guess, without –
15	based on this cost of service study.	15	assuming that the Board is not going to look
16	So, and then came up with the	16	at this whole package of a rate rider and
17	incremental revenue requirement impact is	17	the evidence surrounding it, is there any
18	around ten cents a kilowatt hour. So, if	18	plan for Hydro going forward as to how
19	you took that ten cents a kilowatt hour in	19	you're going to deal with that decrease in
20	2021 and said, okay, coming out of this	20	rates?
21	hearing, if you went to our cost of service	21	MR. FAGAN:
22	study, the rate, the average embedded cost	22	A. Well, we've tried. I mean, we've been
23	of serving Newfoundland Power is 7.9 cents	23	limited somewhat. I mean, there's
24	and then if we went to Newfoundland Power's	24	legislation -
25		25	MR. O'BRIEN:
	Page 46		Page 48
1	cost of service study, we say "oh, their	1	Q. Yeah.
2	average cost of serving the domestic	2	MR. FAGAN:
3	customer is around 4.5 cents". So, we added	3	A limiting us with regard to what you can
4	that together. You get, you know, about	4	do. So, we've presented the Off-Island
5	22.3 or 4 cents.	5	Deferral Account. We've presented
6	So, I was looking at it from the	6	information on the rate stability rider
7	perspective to say, well, based on that high		miormation on the face stability flat
8	perspective to suy, wen, sused on that high	7	option. But, we don't have any other
1 0	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out	8	
9		l '	option. But, we don't have any other
	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a	8	option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN:
9	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out	8 9	option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed.
9 10	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation	8 9 10	option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal?
9 10 11	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the	8 9 10 11	option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN:
9 10 11 12	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation perspective then, but from a cost	8 9 10 11 12	option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN: A. No. Other than that, I do believe that if
9 10 11 12 13	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation perspective then, but from a cost perspective, if we accept the cost estimates that were provided by Nalcor, which no	8 9 10 11 12 13	option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN: A. No. Other than that, I do believe that if the Off-Island Purchases, the savings don't
9 10 11 12 13 14	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation perspective then, but from a cost perspective, if we accept the cost estimates that were provided by Nalcor, which no reason to think that they're not right on	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN: A. No. Other than that, I do believe that if the Off-Island Purchases, the savings don't arise, we should be careful and not put them
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation perspective then, but from a cost perspective, if we accept the cost estimates that were provided by Nalcor, which no	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN: A. No. Other than that, I do believe that if the Off-Island Purchases, the savings don't arise, we should be careful and not put them in the rates, if at all possible. MR. O'BRIEN:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation perspective then, but from a cost perspective, if we accept the cost estimates that were provided by Nalcor, which no reason to think that they're not right on the total project costs, their number looks to be a realistic estimate of what the costs	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN: A. No. Other than that, I do believe that if the Off-Island Purchases, the savings don't arise, we should be careful and not put them in the rates, if at all possible. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. That's your opinion in terms of what
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation perspective then, but from a cost perspective, if we accept the cost estimates that were provided by Nalcor, which no reason to think that they're not right on the total project costs, their number looks to be a realistic estimate of what the costs would be to serve customers if the rates	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN: A. No. Other than that, I do believe that if the Off-Island Purchases, the savings don't arise, we should be careful and not put them in the rates, if at all possible. MR. O'BRIEN:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation perspective then, but from a cost perspective, if we accept the cost estimates that were provided by Nalcor, which no reason to think that they're not right on the total project costs, their number looks to be a realistic estimate of what the costs	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN: A. No. Other than that, I do believe that if the Off-Island Purchases, the savings don't arise, we should be careful and not put them in the rates, if at all possible. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. That's your opinion in terms of what you should do to avoid having a rate
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation perspective then, but from a cost perspective, if we accept the cost estimates that were provided by Nalcor, which no reason to think that they're not right on the total project costs, their number looks to be a realistic estimate of what the costs would be to serve customers if the rates were not mitigated. MR. O'BRIEN:	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN: A. No. Other than that, I do believe that if the Off-Island Purchases, the savings don't arise, we should be careful and not put them in the rates, if at all possible. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. That's your opinion in terms of what you should do to avoid having a rate decrease? MR. FAGAN:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation perspective then, but from a cost perspective, if we accept the cost estimates that were provided by Nalcor, which no reason to think that they're not right on the total project costs, their number looks to be a realistic estimate of what the costs would be to serve customers if the rates were not mitigated. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Not mitigated? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN: A. No. Other than that, I do believe that if the Off-Island Purchases, the savings don't arise, we should be careful and not put them in the rates, if at all possible. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. That's your opinion in terms of what you should do to avoid having a rate decrease? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, no. That's my opinion if we don't get
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation perspective then, but from a cost perspective, if we accept the cost estimates that were provided by Nalcor, which no reason to think that they're not right on the total project costs, their number looks to be a realistic estimate of what the costs would be to serve customers if the rates were not mitigated. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Not mitigated? MR. FAGAN: 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN: A. No. Other than that, I do believe that if the Off-Island Purchases, the savings don't arise, we should be careful and not put them in the rates, if at all possible. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. That's your opinion in terms of what you should do to avoid having a rate decrease? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, no. That's my opinion if we don't get the – it's avoiding a future rate increase
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation perspective then, but from a cost perspective, if we accept the cost estimates that were provided by Nalcor, which no reason to think that they're not right on the total project costs, their number looks to be a realistic estimate of what the costs would be to serve customers if the rates were not mitigated. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Not mitigated? MR. FAGAN: A. Right. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN: A. No. Other than that, I do believe that if the Off-Island Purchases, the savings don't arise, we should be careful and not put them in the rates, if at all possible. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. That's your opinion in terms of what you should do to avoid having a rate decrease? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, no. That's my opinion if we don't get the – it's avoiding a future rate increase because you don't have the savings from
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 level math, the 22.89 that's been put out there looks to be a realistic number from a cost perspective. It depends on what the Government does from a mitigation perspective then, but from a cost perspective, if we accept the cost estimates that were provided by Nalcor, which no reason to think that they're not right on the total project costs, their number looks to be a realistic estimate of what the costs would be to serve customers if the rates were not mitigated. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Not mitigated? MR. FAGAN: 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 option. But, we don't have any other proposals that we've developed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. You don't have a proposal? MR. FAGAN: A. No. Other than that, I do believe that if the Off-Island Purchases, the savings don't arise, we should be careful and not put them in the rates, if at all possible. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. That's your opinion in terms of what you should do to avoid having a rate decrease? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, no. That's my opinion if we don't get the – it's avoiding a future rate increase

August 6, 2018

Augus	a 0, 2018		INL HYUIO 2017 OKA
	Page 49		Page 51
1	MR. O'BRIEN:	1	Q. Right.
2	Q. Got them reflected in that.	2	MR. FAGAN:
3	MR. FAGAN:	3	A. So, that's the concept of deferral accounts.
4	A. So, if you've got – if you don't get the 40	4	So, yeah, Hydro would be protected.
5	million dollars, but you set rates to give	5	MR. O'BRIEN:
6	customers back the 40 million, you got to	6	Q. Hydro would be made -
7	recover the 40 million from future	7	MR. FAGAN:
8	customers. That's all that would achieve.	8	A. Yes, but you still got 130 million dollars
9	MR. O'BRIEN:	9	in savings that you're putting forward
10	Q. Yeah.	10	that's dependent upon forecasts which by
11	MR. FAGAN:	11	commonly set rates, you may know better.
12	A. That would avoid that being future recovery	12	That's my point. You may know better that
13	from – recovery from future customers.	13	these numbers -
14	MR. O'BRIEN:	14	MR. O'BRIEN:
15	Q. So, that wouldn't – Hydro wouldn't be made	15	Q. From a compliance filing perspective -
16	whole with any deferral account as it's set	16	MR. FAGAN:
17	up right now?	17	A. From a compliance filing perspective.
18	MR. FAGAN:	18	MR. O'BRIEN:
19	A. Oh yes, Hydro would be made whole, but I was	19	Q that's your thought, okay. Have you had
20	thinking from a customer perspective. Hydro	20	any recent discussions or have you been
21	has a deferral account in the settlement	21	party to any recent discussions in terms of
22	agreement to revise energy supply costs	22	a mitigation plan going forward?
23	deferral account.	23	MR. FAGAN:
24	MR. O'BRIEN:	24	A. Not me personally, no.
25	Q. Right.	25	MR. O'BRIEN:
	Page 50		Page 52
1	MR. FAGAN:	1	Q. And I know Mr. Haynes talked about being
2	A. And if you just went to Table 3 for a second	2	part of a rate mitigation committee in his
$\frac{1}{3}$	to illustrate, these deferral accounts can	3	evidence and I understood that at least the
4	be tanglely, so but $- I$ don't think that's	4	Regulatory Affairs Department were providing
5	good for quoting anybody, but on Table 3,	5	some support to that committee. Is that
6	Table 3 on page 5, we see we've got 44	6	accurate, in the background?
7	million in savings in 2018 and we've got	7	MR. FAGAN:
8	forecasted 85 million in savings in 2019.	8	A. Well, it's not inaccurate, but it's – we do
9	All the deferral account would do would	9	
10	All the determinal decount would do would		provide information
10	hasically recalculate those numbers based on		provide information.
1 1 1	basically recalculate those numbers based on what's actually achieved and we'd say okay	10	MR. O'BRIEN:
1 12	what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay,	10 11	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay.
12	what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018,	10 11 12	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN:
13	what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018, then 22 million would go into this deferral	10 11 12 13	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. With regard to – I mean, I think there's
13 14	what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018, then 22 million would go into this deferral account for future recovery from customers.	10 11 12 13 14	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. With regard to – I mean, I think there's some information on the record of projected
13 14 15	what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018, then 22 million would go into this deferral account for future recovery from customers. So, that's the way the deferral account	10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. With regard to – I mean, I think there's some information on the record of projected rates for other utilities out to 2020.
13 14 15 16	what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018, then 22 million would go into this deferral account for future recovery from customers. So, that's the way the deferral account would work.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. With regard to – I mean, I think there's some information on the record of projected rates for other utilities out to 2020. MR. O'BRIEN:
13 14 15 16 17	what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018, then 22 million would go into this deferral account for future recovery from customers. So, that's the way the deferral account would work. MR. O'BRIEN:	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. With regard to – I mean, I think there's some information on the record of projected rates for other utilities out to 2020. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah.
13 14 15 16 17 18	 what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018, then 22 million would go into this deferral account for future recovery from customers. So, that's the way the deferral account would work. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. With regard to – I mean, I think there's some information on the record of projected rates for other utilities out to 2020. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018, then 22 million would go into this deferral account for future recovery from customers. So, that's the way the deferral account would work. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. With regard to – I mean, I think there's some information on the record of projected rates for other utilities out to 2020. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay. That came from my group.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018, then 22 million would go into this deferral account for future recovery from customers. So, that's the way the deferral account would work. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You're effectively recalculating Table 3 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. With regard to – I mean, I think there's some information on the record of projected rates for other utilities out to 2020. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay. That came from my group. MR. O'BRIEN:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018, then 22 million would go into this deferral account for future recovery from customers. So, that's the way the deferral account would work. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You're effectively recalculating Table 3 based on what actually would occur because 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. With regard to – I mean, I think there's some information on the record of projected rates for other utilities out to 2020. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay. That came from my group. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018, then 22 million would go into this deferral account for future recovery from customers. So, that's the way the deferral account would work. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You're effectively recalculating Table 3 based on what actually would occur because the deferral account definition that's in 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. With regard to – I mean, I think there's some information on the record of projected rates for other utilities out to 2020. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay. That came from my group. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018, then 22 million would go into this deferral account for future recovery from customers. So, that's the way the deferral account would work. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You're effectively recalculating Table 3 based on what actually would occur because the deferral account definition that's in the Settlement Agreement is adjusting for 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. With regard to – I mean, I think there's some information on the record of projected rates for other utilities out to 2020. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay. That came from my group. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. We went out looking to see what we could
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 what's actually achieved and we'd say, okay, if we didn't get half the savings in 2018, then 22 million would go into this deferral account for future recovery from customers. So, that's the way the deferral account would work. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You're effectively recalculating Table 3 based on what actually would occur because the deferral account definition that's in 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. With regard to – I mean, I think there's some information on the record of projected rates for other utilities out to 2020. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay. That came from my group. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN:

NL Hydro 2017 GRA

	D 52		
	Page 53		Page 55
1	was asking questions earlier about why was	1	Q. And do you have – and I mean, in terms of
2	Hydro Quebec excluded or why was	2	this target rate of 18 cents a kilowatt
3	Saskatchewan excluded. The information	3	hour, do you have any – have you had any
4	wasn't – there was nothing available. So,	4	confirmation of that target directly from
5	what was provided would have been any	5	Government?
6	utilities where there was any public	6	MR. FAGAN:
7	information out there showing what	7	A. No.
8	projections of rates may be out that far and	8	MR. O'BRIEN:
9	through some long-term plans and stuff like	9	Q. So, you're not at a position at this point,
10	that that was in the public record. That's	10	I guess, to provide any further information
11	where we received the information. So, we	11	on where Government's plan is or what it's
12	provided support there.	12	plan might be for mitigation for the future?
12	We do meet – I meet with people from	12	MR. FAGAN:
	Investment Valuation who are involved in	13 14	
14		14	A. Unfortunately, no.
15	rate mitigation and give them updates on		MR. O'BRIEN:
16	where we are in the General Rate Application	16	Q. Okay. Do you know if some of the
17	and they'll give me an update if there's any	17	information – a lot of the information you
18	progress on where things are going with	18	have in this evidence that was provided by
19	regard to rate mitigation, but with regard	19	Nalcor, do you know how up-to-date that is?
20	to status of plan and stuff, but I haven't	20	Is it up-to-date as of July 20th?
21	been -	21	MR. FAGAN:
22	MR. O'BRIEN:	22	A. It's -
23	Q. What do you mean by that, status of what	23	MR. O'BRIEN:
24	kind of plan?	24	Q. Those estimates.
25		25	MR. FAGAN:
	Page 54		D5(
			Page 56
1	-	1	Page 56 A. It's the only detailed information that
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. FAGAN:	1	A. It's the only detailed information that
2	MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so	2	A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the
2 3	MR. FAGAN:A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on	2 3	A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections.
$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 3\\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	MR. FAGAN:A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything.	2 3 4	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that -
2 3 4 5 6	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, has that been provided to Government? Have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always doing they occasionally do reviews. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, has that been provided to Government? Have you been asked to do any sort of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always doing they occasionally do reviews. I'm not sure what the timing of them are. So,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, has that been provided to Government? Have you been asked to do any sort of calculations like that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always doing they occasionally do reviews. I'm not sure what the timing of them are. So, they do reviews to determine, I think at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, has that been provided to Government? Have you been asked to do any sort of calculations like that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always doing they occasionally do reviews. I'm not sure what the timing of them are. So, they do reviews to determine, I think at least from a high level, are the numbers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, has that been provided to Government? Have you been asked to do any sort of calculations like that? MR. FAGAN: A. Government saw this before it was filed. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always doing they occasionally do reviews. I'm not sure what the timing of them are. So, they do reviews to determine, I think at least from a high level, are the numbers still reasonable before you go down and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, has that been provided to Government? Have you been asked to do any sort of calculations like that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always doing they occasionally do reviews. I'm not sure what the timing of them are. So, they do reviews to determine, I think at least from a high level, are the numbers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, has that been provided to Government? Have you been asked to do any sort of calculations like that? MR. FAGAN: A. Government saw this before it was filed. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always doing they occasionally do reviews. I'm not sure what the timing of them are. So, they do reviews to determine, I think at least from a high level, are the numbers still reasonable before you go down and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, has that been provided to Government? Have you been asked to do any sort of calculations like that? MR. FAGAN: A. Government saw this before it was filed. MR. O'BRIEN: 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always doing they occasionally do reviews. I'm not sure what the timing of them are. So, they do reviews to determine, I think at least from a high level, are the numbers still reasonable before you go down and decide if you can go full scale on a change.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, has that been provided to Government? Have you been asked to do any sort of calculations like that? MR. FAGAN: A. Government saw this before it was filed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always doing they occasionally do reviews. I'm not sure what the timing of them are. So, they do reviews to determine, I think at least from a high level, are the numbers still reasonable before you go down and decide if you can go full scale on a change. But I'm not sure if there's one in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, has that been provided to Government? Have you been asked to do any sort of calculations like that? MR. FAGAN: A. Government saw this before it was filed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. Not much time before it was filed, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always doing they occasionally do reviews. I'm not sure what the timing of them are. So, they do reviews to determine, I think at least from a high level, are the numbers still reasonable before you go down and decide if you can go full scale on a change. But I'm not sure if there's one in the works. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, has that been provided to Government? Have you been asked to do any sort of calculations like that? MR. FAGAN: A. Government saw this before it was filed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. Not much time before it was filed, but a couple days before it was filed or a 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always doing they occasionally do reviews. I'm not sure what the timing of them are. So, they do reviews to determine, I think at least from a high level, are the numbers still reasonable before you go down and decide if you can go full scale on a change. But I'm not sure if there's one in the works. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And can you undertake to provide the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm not sure what the plan is yet, so about the status of have they settled on anything. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Of where things are going, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I'm not aware of anything new. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. Some of the information here in the Supplemental Evidence where you've shown the rate rider, but even the illustrative information beforehand that you provided, has that been provided to Government? Have you been asked to do any sort of calculations like that? MR. FAGAN: A. Government saw this before it was filed. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. Not much time before it was filed, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. It's the only detailed information that they've provided to Hydro supporting the revenue requirement projections. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And that - MR. FAGAN: A. And that's – so, that was as of June 2017. So, I haven't seen anything other than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. An update is in the works from that 2017 one? MR. FAGAN: A. I know that they're doing – they're always doing they occasionally do reviews. I'm not sure what the timing of them are. So, they do reviews to determine, I think at least from a high level, are the numbers still reasonable before you go down and decide if you can go full scale on a change. But I'm not sure if there's one in the works. MR. O'BRIEN:

	D 57		, , ,
1	Page 57	1	Page 59
	MR. FAGAN:	1	officially.
2	A. Yes.	2	MR. O'BRIEN:
3	MS. GLYNN:	3	Q. Yeah.
4	Q. Noted on the record.	4	MR. FAGAN:
5	(9:59 a.m.)	5	A. I have a person in my group that works
6	MR. O'BRIEN:	6	closely with it.
7	Q. I'm going to turn to a different piece.	7	MR. O'BRIEN:
8	We've already sort of touched on that, Mr.	8	Q. Okay. And so, if we go to – so, we got 388
9	Fagan. That's the Off-Island purchases	9	and 93, 481 total and then we have the 960
10	themselves, just to $-$ and the costs. I	10	total. If we can go to the Supplemental
11	wonder if we could bring up the additional	11	Evidence from July 20th, Table 1? Okay. So,
12	cost of service information, March 22nd, page	12	this is where we – you had mentioned there
13	7, Table 5, and I think this is where you'd	13	was the increase there. So, the 388 became
14	see the – I think that was the figure you	14	493 and 920 was – I think there's one
15	were looking at, the lower amounts.	15	gigawatt hour difference there in 2019;
16	MR. FAGAN:	16	wasn't much difference in recapture power
17	A. Yeah.	17	there. But the other off-island purchases
18	MR. O'BRIEN:	18	did increase from 93 to 113 and increased a
19	Q. Yeah, might be somewhere to start. It's	19	bit in 2019 as well from 41 to 96. Who
20	page 7, Table 5.	20	prepared these calculations? Did these come
$\frac{20}{21}$	MR. FAGAN:	20	from Ms. Williams' office as well?
$21 \\ 22$	A. Yeah, that helps me.	21	MR. FAGAN:
$\begin{array}{c} 22\\ 23 \end{array}$	MR. O'BRIEN:	22	A. Yes.
23		23 24	MR. O'BRIEN:
24	Q. Yeah. So, those were the lower figures	24 25	
23	there, I think, that we were looking to.	23	Q. Her group. Because I believe she was on the
1	Page 58	1	Page 60
1	MR. FAGAN:	1	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being
2	MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606.	2	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can
2 3 4	MR. FAGAN:A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606.MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy,	2 3 4	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on?
2 3 4 5	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't 	2 3 4 5	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.)
2 3 4 5 6	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because 	2 3 4 5 6	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the fact we're looking at a later expected in-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So - 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the fact we're looking at a later expected in- service?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So - MR. FAGAN: A. So, the 2018 numbers here would have come 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the fact we're looking at a later expected in- service? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So - MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the fact we're looking at a later expected in- service? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So - MR. FAGAN: A. So, the 2018 numbers here would have come with a – this is projected savings of 12.3 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the fact we're looking at a later expected in- service? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. My understanding was the initial one was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So - MR. FAGAN: A. So, the 2018 numbers here would have come with a – this is projected savings of 12.3 million in 2018. MR. O'BRIEN: 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the fact we're looking at a later expected in- service? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. My understanding was the initial one was based on July 1 in-service, but we're
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So - MR. FAGAN: A. So, the 2018 numbers here would have come with a – this is projected savings of 12.3 million in 2018. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. And those purchases themselves are 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the fact we're looking at a later expected in- service? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. My understanding was the initial one was based on July 1 in-service, but we're looking at end of October now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So - MR. FAGAN: A. So, the 2018 numbers here would have come with a – this is projected savings of 12.3 million in 2018. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. And those purchases themselves are in gigawatt hours. Who put together this 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the fact we're looking at a later expected in- service? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. My understanding was the initial one was based on July 1 in-service, but we're looking at end of October now. MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So - MR. FAGAN: A. So, the 2018 numbers here would have come with a – this is projected savings of 12.3 million in 2018. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. And those purchases themselves are in gigawatt hours. Who put together this table? Is that something you would have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the fact we're looking at a later expected in- service? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. My understanding was the initial one was based on July 1 in-service, but we're looking at end of October now. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. My understanding it's related to a new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So - MR. FAGAN: A. So, the 2018 numbers here would have come with a – this is projected savings of 12.3 million in 2018. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. And those purchases themselves are in gigawatt hours. Who put together this table? Is that something you would have prepared? 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the fact we're looking at a later expected in- service? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. My understanding was the initial one was based on July 1 in-service, but we're looking at end of October now. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. My understanding it's related to a new agreement which allows you to firm up energy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. 481 increases to 606. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So, in terms of recapture energy, the initial – so, this was – this wasn't included in the original rate filing because it was done on the deferral account scenario, but it was included in the Expected Supply Scenario later? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, under the Expected Supply Scenario filed in March. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. So - MR. FAGAN: A. So, the 2018 numbers here would have come with a – this is projected savings of 12.3 million in 2018. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. And those purchases themselves are in gigawatt hours. Who put together this table? Is that something you would have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 60 stand when this evidence was just being finished up. So, this particular table, is that something that you prepared and can comment on? (10:00 a.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Just ask me the question. I can probably help you. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, you let me know. Okay. So, the recapture energy, are you able to explain the reason for the increase, despite the fact we're looking at a later expected in- service? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. My understanding was the initial one was based on July 1 in-service, but we're looking at end of October now. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. My understanding it's related to a new

	30, 2010		
	Page 61	1	Page 63
	MR. O'BRIEN:	1	Q. Yeah.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. And who's that agreement with?	2	MR. FAGAN:
3	MR. FAGAN:	3	A my understanding, so that would be in
4	A. I believe that's confidential.	4	place for more than two months.
5	MR. O'BRIEN:	5	MR. O'BRIEN:
6	Q. Okay. So, does that increase the ability –	6	Q. Okay.
7	or the amount of energy that's available in	7	MR. FAGAN:
8	terms of recapture energy?	8	A. I think it was three.
9	MR. FAGAN:	9	MR. O'BRIEN:
10	A. Well, there's certain reliability standards	10	Q. For three?
11	that come into play in bringing energy over	11	MR. FAGAN:
12	the line so that you don't end up causing a	12	A. I think it will be.
13	trip if you've got a problem on the line.	13	MR. O'BRIEN:
14	So, there's limits.	14	Q. But you won't be bringing in any over – in
15	MR. O'BRIEN:	15	October, will you, or will you be bringing
16	Q. So, 225 was the maximum, was it?	16	the 150 in October?
17	MR. FAGAN:	17	MR. FAGAN:
18	A. Yeah, I think it was 225, but I believe in	18	A. Well, I thought the assumption for LIL was
19	the earlier forecast that would be under	19	October 1st.
20	Additional Cost of Service. Later in the	20	MR. O'BRIEN:
21	year, we probably would have only been	21	Q. No, I don't think the – the software, my
22	forecasting that we could bring 110 I	22	understanding, the software won't be there
23	thought it was and now, there may be months		until the end of September and then it had a
24	when we can bring 225.	24	few weeks of servicing before it would come
25	MR. O'BRIEN:	25	in.
	Page 62		Page 64
1	Q. All right. So maybe you can -	1	MR. FAGAN:
2	MR. FAGAN:	2	A. Based on my discussions with Nalcor last
3	A. And if I said it wrong, you may have to	3	week -
4	recall Ms. Williams, but I think I'm right.	4	MR. O'BRIEN:
5	MR. O'BRIEN:	5	Q. Okay.
6	Q. Maybe we can bring up CA-NLH-57, just to $-I$	6	MR. FAGAN:
7	just wanted to flag just a question I had		
8		7	A or the week before, they're working
	there. We could scroll down to the next	8	A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's
9	there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about	8 9	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging.
10	there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls	8 9 10	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN:
10 11	there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October,	8 9 10 11	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right.
10 11 12	there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's	8 9 10 11 12	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN:
10 11 12 13	there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's some coming over the LIL now, but the	8 9 10 11 12 13	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN: A. But there's urgency to try and have it in
10 11 12 13 14	there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's some coming over the LIL now, but the November/December doesn't have a breakout of	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN: A. But there's urgency to try and have it in place for October 1.
10 11 12 13 14 15	there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's some coming over the LIL now, but the November/December doesn't have a breakout of much more than 128 gigawatt hours. I'm	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN: A. But there's urgency to try and have it in place for October 1. MR. O'BRIEN:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's some coming over the LIL now, but the November/December doesn't have a breakout of much more than 128 gigawatt hours. I'm wondering how we get to 493 – sorry, 228.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN: A. But there's urgency to try and have it in place for October 1. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, if you had added October in there, even
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's some coming over the LIL now, but the November/December doesn't have a breakout of much more than 128 gigawatt hours. I'm wondering how we get to 493 – sorry, 228. MR. FAGAN:	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN: A. But there's urgency to try and have it in place for October 1. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, if you had added October in there, even though it's challenging, I guess, I mean,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's some coming over the LIL now, but the November/December doesn't have a breakout of much more than 128 gigawatt hours. I'm wondering how we get to 493 – sorry, 228. MR. FAGAN: A. November, December? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN: A. But there's urgency to try and have it in place for October 1. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, if you had added October in there, even though it's challenging, I guess, I mean, even if we threw that in, you'd still –
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's some coming over the LIL now, but the November/December doesn't have a breakout of much more than 128 gigawatt hours. I'm wondering how we get to 493 – sorry, 228. MR. FAGAN: A. November, December? MR. O'BRIEN: 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN: A. But there's urgency to try and have it in place for October 1. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, if you had added October in there, even though it's challenging, I guess, I mean, even if we threw that in, you'd still – you're still short of the 493.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's some coming over the LIL now, but the November/December doesn't have a breakout of much more than 128 gigawatt hours. I'm wondering how we get to 493 – sorry, 228. MR. FAGAN: A. November, December? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. November, December, right. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN: A. But there's urgency to try and have it in place for October 1. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, if you had added October in there, even though it's challenging, I guess, I mean, even if we threw that in, you'd still – you're still short of the 493. MR. FAGAN:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's some coming over the LIL now, but the November/December doesn't have a breakout of much more than 128 gigawatt hours. I'm wondering how we get to 493 – sorry, 228. MR. FAGAN: A. November, December? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. November, December, right. MR. FAGAN: 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN: A. But there's urgency to try and have it in place for October 1. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, if you had added October in there, even though it's challenging, I guess, I mean, even if we threw that in, you'd still – you're still short of the 493. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but you're still bringing energy over
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's some coming over the LIL now, but the November/December doesn't have a breakout of much more than 128 gigawatt hours. I'm wondering how we get to 493 – sorry, 228. MR. FAGAN: A. November, December? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. November, December, right. MR. FAGAN: A. But you've got – but I believe this new 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN: A. But there's urgency to try and have it in place for October 1. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, if you had added October in there, even though it's challenging, I guess, I mean, even if we threw that in, you'd still – you're still short of the 493. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but you're still bringing energy over for late July, August and September.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's some coming over the LIL now, but the November/December doesn't have a breakout of much more than 128 gigawatt hours. I'm wondering how we get to 493 – sorry, 228. MR. FAGAN: A. November, December? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. November, December, right. MR. FAGAN: A. But you've got – but I believe this new contract that was talking about being in 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN: A. But there's urgency to try and have it in place for October 1. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, if you had added October in there, even though it's challenging, I guess, I mean, even if we threw that in, you'd still – you're still short of the 493. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but you're still bringing energy over for late July, August and September. MR. O'BRIEN:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 there. We could scroll down to the next page there. It seems to be a breakout about excess recapture energy at Churchill Falls by month. So, if we looked at from October, say late October in-service, I know there's some coming over the LIL now, but the November/December doesn't have a breakout of much more than 128 gigawatt hours. I'm wondering how we get to 493 – sorry, 228. MR. FAGAN: A. November, December? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. November, December, right. MR. FAGAN: A. But you've got – but I believe this new 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A or the week before, they're working towards October 1, but it's – they say it's going to be challenging. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right. MR. FAGAN: A. But there's urgency to try and have it in place for October 1. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, if you had added October in there, even though it's challenging, I guess, I mean, even if we threw that in, you'd still – you're still short of the 493. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but you're still bringing energy over for late July, August and September.

	10,2018		NL IIYUU 2017 OKA
	Page 65		Page 67
1	MR. FAGAN:	1	MR. FAGAN:
2	A. I'm pretty sure it's not, no.	2	A. It's more late in the year loaded.
3	MR. O'BRIEN:	3	MR. O'BRIEN:
4	Q. Okay.	4	Q. Yeah.
5	MR. FAGAN:	5	MR. FAGAN:
6	A. You're limited based – because of the	6	A. That's why I say it's more of a risk about
7	testing. There's a schedule for testing	7	the timing of the LIL, if it's delayed an
8	which – so, initially, I think they're	8	additional month that you're – you could
9	testing at 45 megawatts and then they do for		have more of an impact on that 44 million
10	a period of time and then they ramp it up	10	dollars.
11	and eventually get to the 110.	11	MR. O'BRIEN:
12	MR. O'BRIEN:	12	Q. Okay. So, that might ultimately, I guess,
13	Q. Yeah.	13	based on your testimony earlier, at
14	MR. FAGAN:	14	compliance filing, it might affect how much
15	A. But they're still bringing power over the	15	of a credit might be available for customers
16	line.	16	from 2018?
17	MR. O'BRIEN:	17	MR. FAGAN:
18	Q. Okay. And do you have any idea sort of to	18	A. Yeah, on the chance that's dealt with, it
19	date in terms of projections, are these	19	would either be – the credit would stay the
20	projections still accurate?	20	same and there'd be an additional cost for
21	MR. FAGAN:	21	future recovery.
22	A. The excess recapture energy at Churchill	22	MR. O'BRIEN:
23	Falls?	23	Q. Right, okay.
24	MR. O'BRIEN:	24	MR. FAGAN:
25	Q. Well, I'm assuming the excess recapture is	25	A. But it would be more of a concern. And
	Page 66		Page 68
1	that energy that's not used on the Labrador	1	truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions,
2	that energy that's not used on the Labrador load.	2	truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a
2 3	that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN:	2 3	truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here,
2 3 4	that energy that's not used on the Labrador load.MR. FAGAN:A. That's my understanding. I think the	2 3 4	truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally
2 3 4 5	that energy that's not used on the Labrador load.MR. FAGAN:A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent	2 3 4 5	truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if
2 3 4 5 6	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would 	2 3 4 5 6	truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like
2 3 4 5 6 7	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, 	2 3 4 5 6 7	truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off- island purchases between – you know, before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off- island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off- island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your forecast for the LIL at 493 for that year, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off- island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, would you be looking at revising the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your forecast for the LIL at 493 for that year, for 2018? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off- island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, would you be looking at revising the deferral account definitions to allow for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your forecast for the LIL at 493 for that year, for 2018? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off-island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, would you be looking at revising the deferral account definitions to allow for that going forwards?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your forecast for the LIL at 493 for that year, for 2018? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the distribution of the purchases by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off-island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, would you be looking at revising the deferral account definitions to allow for that going forwards? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your forecast for the LIL at 493 for that year, for 2018? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the distribution of the purchases by month is different than - 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off- island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, would you be looking at revising the deferral account definitions to allow for that going forwards? MR. FAGAN: A. Hadn't thought about it, but it's probably
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your forecast for the LIL at 493 for that year, for 2018? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the distribution of the purchases by month is different than - MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off-island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, would you be looking at revising the deferral account definitions to allow for that going forwards? MR. FAGAN: A. Hadn't thought about it, but it's probably not a bad idea. But it's strictly off-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your forecast for the LIL at 493 for that year, for 2018? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the distribution of the purchases by month is different than - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off-island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, would you be looking at revising the deferral account definitions to allow for that going forwards? MR. FAGAN: A. Hadn't thought about it, but it's probably not a bad idea. But it's strictly off-island purchases deferral account.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your forecast for the LIL at 493 for that year, for 2018? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the distribution of the purchases by month is different than - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off-island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, would you be looking at revising the deferral account definitions to allow for that going forwards? MR. FAGAN: A. Hadn't thought about it, but it's probably not a bad idea. But it's strictly offisland purchases deferral account. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your forecast for the LIL at 493 for that year, for 2018? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the distribution of the purchases by month is different than - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A materially different than what it was in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off-island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, would you be looking at revising the deferral account definitions to allow for that going forwards? MR. FAGAN: A. Hadn't thought about it, but it's probably not a bad idea. But it's strictly offisland purchases deferral account. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just those ones?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your forecast for the LIL at 493 for that year, for 2018? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the distribution of the purchases by month is different than - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I think the distribution of the purchases by month is different than - MR. FAGAN: A materially different than what it was in the Additional Cost of Service information 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off-island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, would you be looking at revising the deferral account definitions to allow for that going forwards? MR. FAGAN: A. Hadn't thought about it, but it's probably not a bad idea. But it's strictly offisland purchases deferral account. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just those ones? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your forecast for the LIL at 493 for that year, for 2018? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the distribution of the purchases by month is different than - MR. FAGAN: A materially different than what it was in the Additional Cost of Service information that was provided earlier. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off-island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, would you be looking at revising the deferral account definitions to allow for that going forwards? MR. FAGAN: A. Hadn't thought about it, but it's probably not a bad idea. But it's strictly offisiand purchases deferral account. MR. FAGAN: Q. Just those ones? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that energy that's not used on the Labrador load. MR. FAGAN: A. That's my understanding. I think the Labrador forecast, just the more recent information on the Labrador forecast would indicate some increase in load for Labrador, but it wouldn't materially impact those numbers. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, there hasn't – I guess, there hasn't been a change in terms of your forecast for the LIL at 493 for that year, for 2018? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the distribution of the purchases by month is different than - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I think the distribution of the purchases by month is different than - MR. FAGAN: A materially different than what it was in the Additional Cost of Service information 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 truthfully, I mean, in some jurisdictions, they have true-ups quarterly when there's a lot of cost uncertainty and I mean, here, with our deferral accounts, we've generally been dealing with them annually. But, if we're getting into off-island purchases like that, maybe there should be some, you know, more frequent true-up with regard between Hydro and its customers dealing with off-island purchases between – you know, before the full Muskrat Falls rate implementation. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, would you be looking at revising the deferral account definitions to allow for that going forwards? MR. FAGAN: A. Hadn't thought about it, but it's probably not a bad idea. But it's strictly offisland purchases deferral account. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Just those ones? MR. FAGAN:

	10,2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
	Page 69		Page 71
1	Expected Supply?	1	A. That's correct.
2	MR. FAGAN:	2	MR. O'BRIEN:
3	A. Well, you see within the revised energy	3	Q. And is that all based on the .02 cents a
4	supply cost of energy deferral account, it	4	kilowatt hour?
5	has its own component. So, all you'd need	5	MR. FAGAN:
6	to do is you could modify the wording of it	6	A2 cents a kilowatt hour.
7	slightly to deal with that. That's all.	7	MR. O'BRIEN:
8	MR. O'BRIEN:	8	Q2, sorry.
9	Q. Okay. If we go back to the Additional Cost	9	MR. FAGAN:
10	of Service Information we had up, and the	10	A. Yeah.
11	Maritime Link, or sorry, if we went to the	11	MR. O'BRIEN:
12	supplemental evidence, sorry, the more	12	Q. Yes.
13	recent one. So, the Maritime-the off-island	13	MR. FAGAN:
14	purchases there, I'm assuming that's all	14	A. It's cheap, but not that cheap.
15	Maritime Link? Is that accurate?	15	MR. O'BRIEN:
16	MR. FAGAN:	16	Q. Not that cheap.
17	A. No.	17	MR. FAGAN:
	A. NO. MR. O'BRIEN:	17	
18			
19	Q. No, okay. What else is included in that	19	MR. O'BRIEN:
20	terms of—or is that -	20	Q. There was some changes. I looked through
21	MR. FAGAN:	21	NP-NLH-115 and the revision, and that seemed
22	A. There could be other off-island purchases	22	to jump around a little bit from 886 to 1016
23	coming over the LIL that are not recapture.	23	to 1140 for the 2018 figure. Is that just
24	MR. O'BRIEN:	24	based on available energy?
25	Q. Okay. And are you aware of whether or not	25	0,
	Page 70	-	Page 72
1	that's the case?	1	MR. FAGAN:
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. FAGAN:	2	A. No, it's based on forecast purchases. So,
3	A. It is, but I just can't talk about it in	3	okay, what they do is if a new load forecast
4	more detail than that.	4	comes out, okay?
5	MR. O'BRIEN:	5	MR. O'BRIEN:
6	Q. Okay. And is that part of the	6	Q. Okay.
7	confidentiality application?	7	MR. FAGAN:
8	MR. FAGAN:	8	A. Then, you've got to redo your production
9	A. (No audible response).	9	plan.
10	MR. O'BRIEN:	10	MR. O'BRIEN:
11	Q. Okay. So, those figures, the 113, they came	11	Q. Yes, all right.
12	from Ms. Williams' department?	12	MR. FAGAN:
		12	
1 12	MD FACAN	1.3	
13	MR. FAGAN:		
14	A. Yes.	14	reflect the load forecast because it
14 15	A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN:	14 15	reflect the load forecast because it influences how much recapture energy that
14 15 16	A. Yes.MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Okay. So, in terms of the assumptions of	14 15 16	reflect the load forecast because it influences how much recapture energy that you can bring. So, it isn't just about the
14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, in terms of the assumptions of what's included in that, that's subject to 	14 15 16 17	reflect the load forecast because it influences how much recapture energy that you can bring. So, it isn't just about the availability of LIL, it's also the factor
14 15 16	A. Yes.MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Okay. So, in terms of the assumptions of	14 15 16	reflect the load forecast because it influences how much recapture energy that you can bring. So, it isn't just about the availability of LIL, it's also the factor associated with the load forecast.
14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, in terms of the assumptions of what's included in that, that's subject to 	14 15 16 17	reflect the load forecast because it influences how much recapture energy that you can bring. So, it isn't just about the availability of LIL, it's also the factor
14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, in terms of the assumptions of what's included in that, that's subject to the confidentiality application. I wonder if we can turn to page 3, Table 2. So, 	14 15 16 17 18 19	reflect the load forecast because it influences how much recapture energy that you can bring. So, it isn't just about the availability of LIL, it's also the factor associated with the load forecast. MR. O'BRIEN:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, in terms of the assumptions of what's included in that, that's subject to the confidentiality application. I wonder if we can turn to page 3, Table 2. So, these are the—this is the projected costs of 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reflect the load forecast because it influences how much recapture energy that you can bring. So, it isn't just about the availability of LIL, it's also the factor associated with the load forecast. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the off-island purchases there, I
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, in terms of the assumptions of what's included in that, that's subject to the confidentiality application. I wonder if we can turn to page 3, Table 2. So, these are the—this is the projected costs of supply from the off-island purchases. To 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reflect the load forecast because it influences how much recapture energy that you can bring. So, it isn't just about the availability of LIL, it's also the factor associated with the load forecast. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the off-island purchases there, I understood—if we can bring up NP-NLH-115,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, in terms of the assumptions of what's included in that, that's subject to the confidentiality application. I wonder if we can turn to page 3, Table 2. So, these are the—this is the projected costs of supply from the off-island purchases. To recapture energy there, that's for the 493 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reflect the load forecast because it influences how much recapture energy that you can bring. So, it isn't just about the availability of LIL, it's also the factor associated with the load forecast. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the off-island purchases there, I understood—if we can bring up NP-NLH-115, Provision 1, yes. So, the off-island
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, in terms of the assumptions of what's included in that, that's subject to the confidentiality application. I wonder if we can turn to page 3, Table 2. So, these are the—this is the projected costs of supply from the off-island purchases. To recapture energy there, that's for the 493 gigawatt hours, is that right? 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reflect the load forecast because it influences how much recapture energy that you can bring. So, it isn't just about the availability of LIL, it's also the factor associated with the load forecast. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the off-island purchases there, I understood—if we can bring up NP-NLH-115, Provision 1, yes. So, the off-island purchases, there's no cost of supply built
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, in terms of the assumptions of what's included in that, that's subject to the confidentiality application. I wonder if we can turn to page 3, Table 2. So, these are the—this is the projected costs of supply from the off-island purchases. To recapture energy there, that's for the 493 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reflect the load forecast because it influences how much recapture energy that you can bring. So, it isn't just about the availability of LIL, it's also the factor associated with the load forecast. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the off-island purchases there, I understood—if we can bring up NP-NLH-115, Provision 1, yes. So, the off-island

	10,2018		NL HYdio 2017 OKA
	Page 73		Page 75
1	there? See the 886 for CF(L)Co Recapture,	1	MR. O'BRIEN:
2	at N?	2	Q. Are you able to speak about the forecast
3	MR. FAGAN:	3	assumptions and how they're made? What
4	A. Okay, so you're down—N?	4	assumption—I mean what those assumptions
5	MR. O'BRIEN:	5	are?
6	Q. That's N, yes. That's CF(L) Recapture I	6	MR. FAGAN:
7	believe. CF(L)Co Recapture. There's	7	A. I was aware the forecast assumption of five
8	nothing for off-island purchases, apart from	8	percent of supply coming from the Maritime
9	that?	9	Link. I think that was at the—I spoke to
10	MR. FAGAN:	10	the manager of Generation about it, but
11	A. No.	11	other than that, no.
12	MR. O'BRIEN:	12	MR. O'BRIEN:
13	Q. No.	13	Q. No. So, I mean whether or not those
14	MR. FAGAN:	14	assumptions may have changed since –
15	A. No, we've kept CF(L)Co Recapture as a	15	MR. FAGAN:
16	separate line item.	16	A. This is very current.
17	MR. O'BRIEN:	17	MR. O'BRIEN:
18	Q. Yes. Separate one, yes. So, the—if we go	18	Q. Yes.
19	back to the table we were just looking at,	19	MR. FAGAN:
20	so the 13,690 there for off-island	20	A. I mean, we've got the settlement agreement
$20 \\ 21$	purchases, that—is that all based on	20	one Friday.
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	contract purchases? I presume.	21	MR. O'BRIEN:
$\begin{bmatrix} 22\\23 \end{bmatrix}$	MR. FAGAN:	22	Q. Yes.
23	A. I don't think I can say it's based on	23 24	MR. FAGAN:
25	A. I don't timik i can say it s based on	24	
25		25	
	Do ao 74		Daga 76
1	Page 74	1	Page 76 And we had to file this the next Friday
1	contract purchases.	1	A. And we had to file this the next Friday.
2	contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN:	2	A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN:
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay.	2 3	A. And we had to file this the next Friday.MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Yes.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3\\ 4 \end{vmatrix}$	contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4	A. And we had to file this the next Friday.MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Yes.MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5	contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase.	2 3 4 5	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy.
2 3 4 5 6	contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3 4 5 6	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of purchases over the Maritime Link. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, for those costs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of purchases over the Maritime Link. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, for those costs? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of purchases over the Maritime Link. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, for those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of purchases over the Maritime Link. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, for those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of purchases over the Maritime Link. MR. FAGAN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And we assume the certain portion was 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, for those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. But it's not something you can talk about in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of purchases over the Maritime Link. MR. FAGAN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And we assume the certain portion was prudent. 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, for those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. But it's not something you can talk about in terms of the assumption say for those 2018-
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of purchases over the Maritime Link. MR. FAGAN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And we assume the certain portion was 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, for those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. But it's not something you can talk about in terms of the assumption say for those 2018-2019 costs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of purchases over the Maritime Link. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And we assume the certain portion was prudent. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, for those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. But it's not something you can talk about in terms of the assumption say for those 2018-2019 costs? MR. FAGAN:
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of purchases over the Maritime Link. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And we assume the certain portion was prudent. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, for those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. But it's not something you can talk about in terms of the assumption say for those 2018-2019 costs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of purchases over the Maritime Link. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And we assume the certain portion was prudent. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, for those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. But it's not something you can talk about in terms of the assumption say for those 2018-2019 costs? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of purchases over the Maritime Link. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And we assume the certain portion was prudent. MR. FAGAN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, for those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. But it's not something you can talk about in terms of the assumption say for those 2018-2019 costs? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, they reflect the contract that they—I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 contract purchases. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a forecast purchase. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. So, a certain part of that is based on contract—part of it is based on, we expect by the end of the year we may have more purchases as well? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think you had a discussion earlier with Ms. Williams about the assumptions of purchases over the Maritime Link. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. And we assume the certain portion was prudent. MR. FAGAN: A. And we assume the certain portion was prudent. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, there wasthis forecast assumption 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 A. And we had to file this the next Friday. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, yeah. So, we were busy. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. We talked to the person who does the forecast of off-island purchases. So, these are still reasonable numbers; our best information currently. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, for those costs? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. But it's not something you can talk about in terms of the assumption say for those 2018-2019 costs? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, they reflect the contract that they—I think there's already something on the

August 6, 2018

Tugus	it 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
	Page 77		Page 79
1	entered into.	1	MR. O'BRIEN:
2	MR. O'BRIEN:	2	Q. Okay. Can you tell us what those are?
3	Q. Yes. So, certain contract pricing built	3	MR. FAGAN:
4	into that and there should be some forecast,	4	A. I mean, you've got your transmission
5	I guess associated with that firm power?	5	tariffs.
6	MR. FAGAN:	6	MR. O'BRIEN:
7	A. Yes, but there will be some assumption of	7	Q. All right.
8	forecast for non-firm as well.	8	MR. FAGAN:
9	MR. O'BRIEN:	9	A. So, if you're bringing in power from, say,
10	Q. Yes, yes.	10	New England, okay, so-and you've got to flow
11	MR. FAGAN:	11	it. So, you've got to pay your tariff to
12	A. But I don't know if there would be any—I	12	get from jurisdiction to jurisdiction.
13	couldn't give you any more detail.	13	MR. O'BRIEN:
14	MR. O'BRIEN:	14	Q. Yes.
15	Q. Okay.	15	MR. FAGAN:
16	MR. FAGAN:	16	A. So, you pay a certain price per megawatt of
17	A. I'm not sure what additional detail would be	17	flowing from one jurisdiction, and then you
18	able to be provided.	18	flow over New Brunswick, say, if you're
19	MR. O'BRIEN:	19	coming over the Maritime Link, and then you
20	Q. Okay. So, these are—are these strictly	20	pay a New Brunswick tariff.
20	energy costs or has anything else built in,	20	MR. O'BRIEN:
$21 \\ 22$	do you know?	22	Q. Yes.
$\begin{vmatrix} 22\\23 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. FAGAN:	22	MR. FAGAN:
23	A. Well, no. Okay, I'll just, I was thinking	23 24	A. And then, you may have to pay a Nova Scotia
24	A. Wen, no. Okay, 1 in just, 1 was uninking	24 25	A. And then, you may have to pay a Nova Scotla
	Page 78	25	Page 80
1	about it, when I think energy costs, I was	1	tariff.
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	thinking all in from the perspective that	2	MR. O'BRIEN:
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$	you're buying it, say it was even our market	3	Q. Right.
4	to price changes by hour.	4	MR. FAGAN:
5	MR. O'BRIEN:	5	A. So, those tariffs are included.
6	Q. Yes, right.	6	MR. O'BRIEN:
7	MR. FAGAN:	7	
8	A. This is at time of use.	8	Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN:
9	MR. O'BRIEN:	0 9	
		9 10	
10	Q. Right. MR. FAGAN:		what you bought because, say you bought it
11		11	in New England, so the losses –
12	A. But it also includes delivery costs.	12	MR. O'BRIEN:
13	MR. O'BRIEN:	13	Q. Yes.
14	Q. Delivery costs, okay.	14	MR. FAGAN:
15	MR. FAGAN:	15	A. So, the cost of losses are reflected in it
15 16	MR. FAGAN: A. Yes.	15 16	A. So, the cost of losses are reflected in it as well.
15 16 17	MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN:	15 16 17	A. So, the cost of losses are reflected in it as well.MR. O'BRIEN:
15 16 17 18	MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I understand those delivery costs,	15 16 17 18	 A. So, the cost of losses are reflected in it as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, okay.
15 16 17 18 19	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I understand those delivery costs, that's another aspect of the confidentiality 	15 16 17 18 19	 A. So, the cost of losses are reflected in it as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, okay. MR. FAGAN:
15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I understand those delivery costs, that's another aspect of the confidentiality aspect or application, and you can't comment 	15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. So, the cost of losses are reflected in it as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay. So, those types of costs are in
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I understand those delivery costs, that's another aspect of the confidentiality aspect or application, and you can't comment on those delivery costs, can you? 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. So, the cost of losses are reflected in it as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay. So, those types of costs are in there. If there's any kind of marketing
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I understand those delivery costs, that's another aspect of the confidentiality aspect or application, and you can't comment on those delivery costs, can you? MR. FAGAN: 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. So, the cost of losses are reflected in it as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay. So, those types of costs are in there. If there's any kind of marketing fees that are built in the contracts and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I understand those delivery costs, that's another aspect of the confidentiality aspect or application, and you can't comment on those delivery costs, can you? MR. FAGAN: A. I can comment on the types of delivery 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. So, the cost of losses are reflected in it as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay. So, those types of costs are in there. If there's any kind of marketing fees that are built in the contracts and stuff like that, those types of costs are
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I understand those delivery costs, that's another aspect of the confidentiality aspect or application, and you can't comment on those delivery costs, can you? MR. FAGAN: 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. So, the cost of losses are reflected in it as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay. So, those types of costs are in there. If there's any kind of marketing fees that are built in the contracts and

	at 0, 2010		NL IIYulo 2017 OKA
	Page 81		Page 83
1	MR. O'BRIEN:	1	in—near September.
2	Q. They're in there as well?	2	MR. O'BRIEN:
3	MR. FAGAN:	3	Q. Near September, is it?
4	A. Oh yes.	4	MR. FAGAN:
5	MR. O'BRIEN:	5	A. Yeah.
6	Q. And those marketing fees, would they be	6	MR. O'BRIEN:
7	Nalcor Energy Marketing fees? Is that -	7	Q. Okay. And in terms of Hydro's hand in those
8	MR. FAGAN:	8	figures, did you have—were you part of the
9	A. No.	9	process yourself in reviewing those figures
10	MR. O'BRIEN:	10	and—for reasonableness or anything like
11	Q. No? What types of fees would they include?	11	that? Did you take a part, a role, in that?
12	MR. FAGAN:	12	MR. FAGAN:
13	A. Well, I mean, if you had a contract with	13	A. I attended a couple of meetings of—
14	someone that included a marketing fee, or	14	information, asking questions from Nalcor,
15	some fee, other than your strict	15	the Nalcor people about the—what was
16	transmission tariff and your losses costs.	16	reflected in the costs.
17	MR. O'BRIEN:	17	MR. O'BRIEN:
		17	
18	Q. Okay, all right.		Q. Okay.
19	MR. FAGAN:	19	MR. FAGAN:
20	A. Those types of costs.	20	A. We did some review to try and determine if
21	MR. O'BRIEN:	21	they werefrom a high level it looked like
22	Q. That's based on contract?	22	they were reasonable.
23	MR. FAGAN:	23	MR. O'BRIEN:
24	A. I mean, it could be an administration cost,	24	Q. Yes. Did you play a part in that review?
25		25	
	Page 82		Page 84
1	Page 82 whatever	1	Page 84 MR_FAGAN [.]
1 2	whatever.	1	MR. FAGAN:
2	whatever. MR. O'BRIEN:	2	MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say
2 3	whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built	2 3	MR. FAGAN:A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's
$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 3\\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts?	2 3 4	MR. FAGAN:A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if
2 3 4 5	whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a
2 3 4 5 6	whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes.	2 3 4	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some
2 3 4 5 6 7	whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3 4 5 6 7	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, and but it wasn't—but I never provided
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, and but it wasn't—but I never provided any of the information from Nalcor to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and maintenance costs, this, the 8.3 and then 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, and but it wasn't—but I never provided any of the information from Nalcor to the consultant. I wanted to see if he could get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and maintenance costs, this, the 8.3 and then the 51.4 there for 2018-2019, I asked Ms. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, and but it wasn't—but I never provided any of the information from Nalcor to the consultant. I wanted to see if he could get some bench-marking, but there was very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and maintenance costs, this, the 8.3 and then the 51.4 there for 2018-2019, I asked Ms. Hutchens a fair number of questions about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, and but it wasn't—but I never provided any of the information from Nalcor to the consultant. I wanted to see if he could get some bench-marking, but there was very little information available for HVDC, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and maintenance costs, this, the 8.3 and then the 51.4 there for 2018-2019, I asked Ms. Hutchens a fair number of questions about those costs. I just want—I have a couple of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, and but it wasn't—but I never provided any of the information from Nalcor to the consultant. I wanted to see if he could get some bench-marking, but there was very little information available for HVDC, but they found some, but there's not a lot.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and maintenance costs, this, the 8.3 and then the 51.4 there for 2018-2019, I asked Ms. Hutchens a fair number of questions about those costs. I just want—I have a couple of those questions for you as well. So, that's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, and but it wasn't—but I never provided any of the information from Nalcor to the consultant. I wanted to see if he could get some bench-marking, but there was very little information available for HVDC, but they found some, but there's not a lot. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and maintenance costs, this, the 8.3 and then the 51.4 there for 2018-2019, I asked Ms. Hutchens a fair number of questions about those costs. I just want—I have a couple of those questions for you as well. So, that's Nalcor's most recent estimate or assessment 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, and but it wasn't—but I never provided any of the information from Nalcor to the consultant. I wanted to see if he could get some bench-marking, but there was very little information available for HVDC, but they found some, but there's not a lot. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Ms. Hutchens talked about giving a—and it's
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and maintenance costs, this, the 8.3 and then the 51.4 there for 2018-2019, I asked Ms. Hutchens a fair number of questions about those costs. I just want—I have a couple of those questions for you as well. So, that's Nalcor's most recent estimate or assessment for those figures, is that correct? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and maintenance costs, this, the 8.3 and then the 51.4 there for 2018-2019, I asked Ms. Hutchens a fair number of questions about those costs. I just want—I have a couple of those questions for you as well. So, that's Nalcor's most recent estimate or assessment for those figures, is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, and but it wasn't—but I never provided any of the information from Nalcor to the consultant. I wanted to see if he could get some bench-marking, but there was very little information available for HVDC, but they found some, but there's not a lot. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Ms. Hutchens talked about giving a—and it's on the record, I guess a SlideDeck that outlines the O&M costs that were being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and maintenance costs, this, the 8.3 and then the 51.4 there for 2018-2019, I asked Ms. Hutchens a fair number of questions about those costs. I just want—I have a couple of those questions for you as well. So, that's Nalcor's most recent estimate or assessment for those figures, is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and maintenance costs, this, the 8.3 and then the 51.4 there for 2018-2019, I asked Ms. Hutchens a fair number of questions about those costs. I just want—I have a couple of those questions for you as well. So, that's Nalcor's most recent estimate or assessment for those figures, is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, and but it wasn't—but I never provided any of the information from Nalcor to the consultant. I wanted to see if he could get some bench-marking, but there was very little information available for HVDC, but they found some, but there's not a lot. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Ms. Hutchens talked about giving a—and it's on the record, I guess a SlideDeck that outlines the O&M costs that were being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and maintenance costs, this, the 8.3 and then the 51.4 there for 2018-2019, I asked Ms. Hutchens a fair number of questions about those costs. I just want—I have a couple of those questions for you as well. So, that's Nalcor's most recent estimate or assessment for those figures, is that correct? MR. FAGAN: A. And it's my understanding, they're in their 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, and but it wasn't—but I never provided any of the information from Nalcor to the consultant. I wanted to see if he could get some bench-marking, but there was very little information available for HVDC, but they found some, but there's not a lot. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Ms. Hutchens talked about giving a—and it's on the record, I guess a SlideDeck that outlines the O&M costs that were being proposed as of March anyway of this year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 whatever. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And so, all of that would be built into those forecasts? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Any change in those forecasts since the—this document was filed as far as you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Not that I'm aware, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. The LIL and LTA operating and maintenance costs, this, the 8.3 and then the 51.4 there for 2018-2019, I asked Ms. Hutchens a fair number of questions about those costs. I just want—I have a couple of those questions for you as well. So, that's Nalcor's most recent estimate or assessment for those figures, is that correct? MR. FAGAN: A. And it's my understanding, they're in their budgeting process right now, and we won't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I played a part. Not—well, let's say it this way, not specifically in Nalcor's costs. I didn't get in and look and see if the costs were reasonable. I did have a consultant look, see if they could find some information on other jurisdictions to see if MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Were comparators? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, and but it wasn't—but I never provided any of the information from Nalcor to the consultant. I wanted to see if he could get some bench-marking, but there was very little information available for HVDC, but they found some, but there's not a lot. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Ms. Hutchens talked about giving a—and it's on the record, I guess a SlideDeck that outlines the O&M costs that were being proposed as of March anyway of this year. Were you present at a meeting when that was

	5.0,2010		
	Page 85		Page 87
1	MR. FAGAN:	1	dropped that you can recall?
2	A. I'm thinking about it. I was at a meeting	2	MR. FAGAN:
3	when they went through some details on	3	A. I wasn't actually aware of those until she
4	costs, but I'm not sure if it was the same	4	informed.
5	one because Ms. Hutchens was engaged in more	5	MR. O'BRIEN:
6	meetings than I, I would –	6	Q. Okay.
7	MR. O'BRIEN:	7	MR. FAGAN:
8	Q. On that topic?	8	A. So, I wasn't part of any -
9	MR. FAGAN:	9	MR. O'BRIEN:
10	A. On that topic, yes.	10	Q. You couldn't comment on that?
11	MR. O'BRIEN:	11	MR. FAGAN:
12	Q. Okay.	12	A of any changes in that, no.
13	MR. FAGAN:	13	MR. O'BRIEN:
14		14	Q. All right. I wonder if we can bring ICC-
15	extent of Ms. Hutchens would have -	15	NLH-122, Attachment 1? And page 15. So,
16	MR. O'BRIEN:	16	this was the Nalcor Project Update as of
17	Q. So, in the context of doing your comparison	17	June 23rd. And I asked Ms. Hutchens this
18	or comparative review, you-would you have	18	question as well, and I wanted to get your
19	had, say, the March 2018 estimates of Nalcor	19	thoughts on it as well if I could. The 2012
20	to give to your consultant or did you just	20	estimate for O&M was at 34 million. I
20	do itjust ask the consultant without those	20	understood—I take from that that's for the
	5		
22	figures?	22	entire project for all assets?
23	MR. FAGAN:	23	MR. FAGAN:
24	A. I didn't give any quotes, no.	24	A. I think you're correct.
25		25	
		25	
	Page 86	23	Page 88
	Page 86 MR_O'BRIEN:		Page 88 MR_O'BRIEN [.]
1	MR. O'BRIEN:	1	MR. O'BRIEN:
1 2	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No.	1 2	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's
1 2 3	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN:	1 2 3	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the
1 2 3 4	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no.	1 2 3 4	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change?
1 2 3	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. No.MR. FAGAN:A. I didn't him any estimates, no.MR. O'BRIEN:	1 2 3 4 5	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change?MR. FAGAN:
1 2 3 4	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no.	1 2 3 4	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change?
1 2 3 4 5	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. No.MR. FAGAN:A. I didn't him any estimates, no.MR. O'BRIEN:	1 2 3 4 5	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change?MR. FAGAN:
1 2 3 4 5 6	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty- 	1 2 3 4 5 6	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not –
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ \end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ \end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, Ms. Hutchens mentioned with regard to 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with Nalcor about that difference?
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, Ms. Hutchens mentioned with regard to the Control Centre employees that were 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with Nalcor about that difference? MR. FAGAN: A. No.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, Ms. Hutchens mentioned with regard to the Control Centre employees that were double counted. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with Nalcor about that difference? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN:
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, Ms. Hutchens mentioned with regard to the Control Centre employees that were double counted. MR. O'BRIEN: 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with Nalcor about that difference? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the 2019 figure we're looking at now, is
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, Ms. Hutchens mentioned with regard to the Control Centre employees that were double counted. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with Nalcor about that difference? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the 2019 figure we're looking at now, is at 51.4 million, is that right, for the O&M?
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, Ms. Hutchens mentioned with regard to the Control Centre employees that were double counted. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with Nalcor about that difference? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the 2019 figure we're looking at now, is at 51.4 million, is that right, for the O&M? If we go back to that last table, yes. So,
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, Ms. Hutchens mentioned with regard to the Control Centre employees that were double counted. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And the Wellness Centre. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with Nalcor about that difference? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the 2019 figure we're looking at now, is at 51.4 million, is that right, for the O&M? If we go back to that last table, yes. So, it's 51.4.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, Ms. Hutchens mentioned with regard to the Control Centre employees that were double counted. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with Nalcor about that difference? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the 2019 figure we're looking at now, is at 51.4 million, is that right, for the O&M? If we go back to that last table, yes. So,
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, Ms. Hutchens mentioned with regard to the Control Centre employees that were double counted. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And the Wellness Centre. MR. O'BRIEN: 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with Nalcor about that difference? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the 2019 figure we're looking at now, is at 51.4 million, is that right, for the O&M? If we go back to that last table, yes. So, it's 51.4.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, Ms. Hutchens mentioned with regard to the Control Centre employees that were double counted. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And the Wellness Centre. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, and the Wellness Centre. Was there 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with Nalcor about that difference? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the 2019 figure we're looking at now, is at 51.4 million, is that right, for the O&M? If we go back to that last table, yes. So, it's 51.4. MR. FAGAN: A. Right, but that's strictly for LIL and NTA.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't him any estimates, no. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, all right. And we saw, and we heard some evidence that the 2019 figure of fifty-two point—the initial figure was 52.9, but it was dropped to 51.4 after some discussion with Nalcor. Are you able to comment on that decrease? We know there was some double counting of employees, but can you comment on what – MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, Ms. Hutchens mentioned with regard to the Control Centre employees that were double counted. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And the Wellness Centre. MR. O'BRIEN: 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. For an annual at 34 million. By 2017 it's estimated to be 109. Can you comment the reason for that change? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I think it was a very high-level estimate, the 34 million that was developed, and let's say, moved further along with regard to their planning. They came up with a lot more detail and determined that their costs were low, but beyond that, not – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. We were a party to any discussion with Nalcor about that difference? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And the 2019 figure we're looking at now, is at 51.4 million, is that right, for the O&M? If we go back to that last table, yes. So, it's 51.4. MR. FAGAN:

1 Q. LIL and NTA, right? 1 presented in the meeting that I attended. 2 MR. FAGAN: 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 A. Right. So, for 2019 you wouldn't have any of the Muskrat Falls Generation O&M in there. 3 Q. Okay. And do you know—do you recall whe that meeting was? This SlideDeck at the beginning seems to be in March, have a Marc date, but do you know if it was provided in advance and then—at a meeting? 7 Q. Right. Would you expect the Muskrat Falls Generation O&M to be twice that of the LIL gand NTA or more? What can you comment on that? 8 Generation OAM to be twice that of the LIL Gand NTA or more? What can you comment on that? 8 A. I'm not sure when I attended the meeting. 10 that? 1 motts ago, but - 11 8 A. I'm not sure when I attended the meeting. 11 MR. FAGAN: 11 months ago, but - 12 Q. Okay. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 11 motts ago, but - 12 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 13 Q. Okay. 14 MR. FAGAN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 17 MR. O'BRIEN: 18 Q. So, if we stay on this page here, and this is page 13 I believe, well, it's 13 on the was in. 17 MR. O'BRIEN: 20 SlideDeck. So, those figures being discussed? 14 MR. FAGAN:	Augus	st 0, 2018		INL TIYOTO 2017 OKA
2 MR. FAGAN: 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 A. Right. So, for 2019 you wouldn't have any 4 of the Muskrat Falls Generation O&M in 5 3 Q. Okay. And do you know if it was provided in advance and then—at a meeting? 4 MR. O'BRIEN: 6 advance and then—at a meeting? 8 7 Q. Right. Would you expect the Muskrat Falls Generation O&M to be twice that of the LL. 9 A. Fran not sure when I attended the meeting. 10 mmber would be. 9 A. Fran not sure when I attended the meeting. 11 number would be. 10 It was certainly more than a couple of months ago, but. 12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the fraits Generation O&M. 10 Q. Okay. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 It was certainly more than a couple of months ago, but. 13 M. O'BRIEN: 10 It was certainly more than a couple of months ago, but. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 12 A. But I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that was in that a stranschale for Muskrat Frais Generation O&M. 15 Generation O&M. 10 So, if we stay on this page here, and this is page 11 bleive, weil, it 31 3 on the sis page 13 bleive, weil, it 31 don the sis page 13 bleive, weil, it 31 don the sis page 13 bleive, weil, it 30 on the sis page 14 <		Page 89		Page 91
3 A. Right. So, for 2019 your wouldn't have any of the Muskrat Falls Generation O&M in there. 3 Q. Ckay. And do you know if it was provided in that meeting was? This SlideDeck at the the that meeting was? This SlideDeck at the that the as the slide that the? 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 1 MR. FAGAN: 4 MR. FAGAN: 1 MR. FAGAN: 5 O. Okay. And you're not aware of any more recent updates than what we saw in the ICC-24 NILH-122? 4 MR. FAGAN: 2 MR. FAGAN: 5 O. Okay. Inthe can you reviewed that shide that shide that was the slide that was the slide that shide that was the slide that shide that w	1			
4 of the Muskrat Falls Generation O&M in there. 4 bat meeting was? This SildeDeck at the beginning seems to be in March, have a Marc A flar and NTA or more? What can you comment on that? 7 Q. Right. Would you expect the Muskrat Falls Generation O&M to be twice that of the L1. 7 9 and NTA or more? What can you comment on that? 7 10 that? 11 moths ago, but- 12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the mumber would be. 10 11 months ago, but- 12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the mumbers are, but I never 10 11 months ago, but- 13 number would be. 10 12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 12 MR. O'BRIEN: 15 Q. Okay. 15 A. But I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that 16 ms crystewed it or looked at it at all with 18 Q. So, if we stay on this page here, and this 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 is page 13 I believe, well, it's 13 on the 20 Okay. And you're not aware of any more 12 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 21 MR. FAGAN: 20<	2	MR. FAGAN:	2	MR. O'BRIEN:
4 of the Muskrat Falls Generation O&M in there. 4 that meeting was? This SildeDeck at the beginning seems to be in March, have a Marc A fare a March and a meeting? 7 Q. Right. Would you expect the Muskrat Falls of the LT. 5 advance and then—at a meeting? 8 Generation O&M to be twice that of the LT. 7 MR. FAGAN: 10 that? 10 that and then—at a meeting? 11 mumber would be. 9 A. The not sure when 1 attended the meeting. 12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the numbers are, but 1 never 10 It was certainly more than a couple of months ago, but. 13 number would be. 10 MR. FAGAN: 10 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 10 15 Q. Okay. 15 A. But I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that tat all with 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 is page 131 believe, well, it's 13 on the 20 Okay. 10 MR. O'BRIEN: 21 that the 27.3 for '18 and 52.9 for '18 and 52.9 for '19? 21 MR. FAGAN: 20 So you recall those figures being discussed? 4 2 Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any more qu	3	A. Right. So, for 2019 you wouldn't have any	3	Q. Okay. And do you know—do you recall when
5 there. 5 beginning seems to be in March, have a Marce 6 MR. O'BRIEN: 6 date, but do you know if it was provided in 7 Q. Right. Would you expect the Muskrat Falls 7 9 and NTA or more? What can you comment on 7 7 10 that? 8 MR. FAGAN: 11 MR. FAGAN: 10 that ac entainly more than a couple of 12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the 11 months ago, but - 13 number would be. 13 Q. Okay. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 14 15 Q. Okay. 14 18 Q. So, if we stay on this page here, and this 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 is page 13 I believe, well, if i 3 on the 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 20 SideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 21 that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 22 Q. Okay. 1 A. 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 23 Q. Do you recall those fi	4			
6 MR. OTBRIEN: 6 date, but do you know if it was provided in advance and then—at a meeting? 7 Q. Right. Would you expect the Muskrat Falls. Generation O&M to be twice that of the L1L. 9 MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: 9 and NTA or more? What can you comment on that? A. T'm not sure when 1 attended the meeting. 10 that? N. T'm not sure when 1 attended the meeting. 11 MR. FAGAN: 11 12 A. 1 don't have an expectation of what the 13 Q. Okay. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 13 Q. Okay. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 17 A. 1 know what the numbers are, but I never 18 reviewed it or looked at it at all with 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 20 10 So, if we stay on this page here, and this 19 13 O. O Now figures there, we see 21 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 20 SlideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 21 14 that the 27.3 for 2019, 27.3 for '18 and 52.9 for 21 MR. FAGAN: 22 Veaty. MR. FAGAN: 20 21 MR. FAGAN: 24 NLH-122? 24 MR. FAGAN: 23 10 25 Undertaking 54? And that waseth silde that 4 MR. FAGAN:				
7 Q. Right. Would you expect the Muskrat Falls 7 advance and then—at a meeting? 8 Generation O&M to be twice that of the LIL 9 advance and then—at a meeting? 9 and NTA or more? What can you comment on that? MR. FAGAN: 9 10 that? I'm not sure when 1 attended the meeting. 11 MR. FAGAN: 10 months ago, but - 12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the 11 months ago, but - 13 Q. Okay. 14 MR. FAGAN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 13 Q. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. 14 MR. PGBREN: 16 MR. FAGAN: 15 A. But I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that 16 mR. FAGAN: 17 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 17 MR. O'BRIEN: 18 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 is page 131 believe, well, it's 13 on the 18 Generation O&M. 20 SlideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 11 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 MR. FAGAN: 24 NLH-122? <				
8 Generation 0&M to be twice that of the LIL 9 MR. FAGAN: 9 and NTA or more? What can you comment on that? 9 A. I'm not sure when I attended the meeting. 10 that? 11 WR. FAGAN: 10 It was certainly more than a couple of months ago, but - 12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the number would be. 11 WR. O'BRIEN: 13 Q. Okay. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 15 Q. Okay. MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 16 was in. 17 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 18 Q. Okay. Nut I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 is page 13 I believe, well, it's 13 on the 10 si page 13 I believe, well, it's 13 on the 10 11 10 that be 27.3 for '18 and 52.9 for 11 11 11 11 12 Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any more 12 14 14 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
9 and NTA or more? What can you comment on that? 9 A. I'm not sure when I attended the meeting. 10 trace 10 trace catainly more than a couple of months ago, but - 12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the months ago, but - 12 13 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 16 16 mark FAGAN: 16 was in. 17 17 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never reviewed it or looked at it at all with the review of it or looked at it at all with the review of it or looked at it at all with the review of it or looked at it at all with the resting. 18 0. So, if we stay on this page here, and this is page I a believe, well, it's 13 on the the sign ago at 15 believe, well, it's 13 on the the 20 So, if we stay on this page here, and this is page I abelieve, well, it's 13 on the 20 10 No. 21 that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 22 10 11 11 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 15 29 16 20 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	1			
10 that? 10 It was certainly more than a couple of months ago, but - 11 MR. FAGAN: 11 months ago, but - 12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the numbers would be. 13 Q. O Ckay. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 15 A. O Ukay. 16 MR. FAGAN: 15 A. ButI wasn't—I'm not sure what month that was in. 16 MR. FAGAN: 16 was in. 17 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 18 Q. So, if we stay on this page here, and this 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 is page 13 1 believe, well, it's 13 on the 20 SlideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 21 that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 21 MR. FAGAN: 22 '19? '14 22 Okay. And you're not aware of any more 23 '19? '19 23 recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- '19 '19 '18 OBRIEN: 24 N. H.1-122? 20 '19? '14 A. That's correct, yeah. '18 25 Undertaking 54? And that was the s	8	Generation O&M to be twice that of the LIL	8	MR. FAGAN:
11 MR. FAGAN: 11 months ago, but - 12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the 13 Q. Okay. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 15 Q. Okay. 15 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 18 months ago, but - 13 Q. Okay. 16 MR. FAGAN: 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 18 Q. So, if we stay on this page here, and this 18 reviewed it or looked at it at all with 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 20 Falls Generation O&M. 20 SlideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 21 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 22 4 MR.FAGAN: 23 '19? 23 recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- 23 '19? 24 MR. FAGAN: 2 A. No. 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 30 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 5 A. That's correct, yeah. 24 5 Undertaking 54? And that was the slide t	9	and NTA or more? What can you comment on	9	A. I'm not sure when I attended the meeting.
11 MR. FAGAN: 11 months ago, but - 12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the 13 Q. Okay. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 15 Q. Okay. 15 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 18 months ago, but - 13 Q. Okay. 16 MR. FAGAN: 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 18 Q. So, if we stay on this page here, and this 18 reviewed it or looked at it at all with 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 20 Falls Generation O&M. 20 SlideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 21 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 22 4 MR.FAGAN: 23 '19? 23 recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- 23 '19? 24 MR. FAGAN: 2 A. No. 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 30 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 5 A. That's correct, yeah. 24 5 Undertaking 54? And that was the slide t	10	that?	10	It was certainly more than a couple of
12 A. I don't have an expectation of what the number would be. 12 MR. O'BRIEN: 13 Q. Okay. 13 Q. Okay. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 15 Q. Okay. 15 A. But I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that 16 MR. FAGAN: 15 A. But I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 15 A. But I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that 17 A. Iknow what the numbers are, but I never 17 MR. O'BRIEN: 18 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 20 So, if we stay on this page here, and this 19 respect to what we saw in the ICC- 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 20 24 NLH-122? 24 MR. FAGAN: 21 Hart the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 25 24 MR. FAGAN: 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 20 Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 5 1 A. That's correct, yeah. 2 5 Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that 6 MR. FAGAN: 3 Q. Ore you recall those figures being discussed?				• •
13 number would be. 13 Q. Okay. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 15 Q. Okay. 14 MR. FAGAN: 16 MR. FAGAN: 16 MR. FAGAN: 17 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 17 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 18 reviewed it or looked at it at all with 18 Q. So, if we stay on this page here, and this 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 is page 13 I believe, well, it's 13 on the 20 Cokay. And you're not aware of any more 20 ShideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 21 MR. FAGAN: 21 21 MR. FAGAN: 21 MR. FAGAN: 22 22 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 23 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Oray. If we can just briefly bring up 3 Q. Yes, suc. 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Oray, the deal pustments. 7 4 Q. Ves, suc. MR. FAGAN: 3 Q. Yes, suce. I undertaking 54? And that was the slide that a sea us indececk				
14 MR. O'BRIEN: 14 MR. FAGAN: 15 Q. Okay. 15 A. But I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that 16 MR. FAGAN: 15 A. But I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that 17 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 15 A. But I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that 18 reviewed it or looked at it at all with 19 is page 13 I believe, well, it's 13 on the 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 SildeDeck. So, those figures there, we see 20 Clay, And you're not aware of any more 21 that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 22 Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any more 22 that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 23 recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- 23 '19? 24 NLH-122? 24 MR. FAGAN: 2 2 A. No. 3 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 5 A. That's correct, yeah. 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 5 A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to 6 we were—and I asked MS. Hutchens some 7 Q. WR. FAGAN: 3 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
15 Q. Okay. 15 A. But I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that 16 MR. FAGAN: 16 was in. 17 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 17 18 reviewed it or looked at it at all with 18 Q. So, if we stay on this page here, and this 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 is page 13 I believe, well, it's 13 on the 20 Falls Generation O&M. 20 SildeDeck. So, those figures there, we see 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 21 that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 22 Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any more 23 '19? 24 NLH-122? 24 MR. FAGAN: 21 MR. FAGAN: 2 A. No. 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 4 A. That's correct, yeah. 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 MR. FAGAN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? N mothet alsystemets. 7 question about.				· ·
16 MR. FAGAN: 16 was in. 17 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 17 MR. O'BRIEN: 18 Q. So, if we stay on this page here, and this 19 reviewed it or looked at it at all with 18 Q. So, if we stay on this page here, and this 20 Falls Generation O&M. 20 SlideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 20 SlideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 23 recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- 23 '19? 24 NLH-122? 24 MR. FAGAN: 25 25 '19? 24 NL-122? 24 MR. FAGAN: 25 25 '19? 24 N. O. 2 A. 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 4 MR. FAGAN: 5 Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that 5 A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to 6 we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some question about. Have you reviewed that				
17 A. I know what the numbers are, but I never 17 MR. O'BRIEN: 18 reviewed it or looked at it at all with 19 is page 13 I believe, well, it's 13 on the 20 Falls Generation O&M. 20 So, if we stay on this page here, and this 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 21 that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 22 Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any more 22 that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 23 recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- 23 '19'? 24 NLH-122? 24 MR. FAGAN: 24 25 Page 90 Page 90 Page 92 1 MR. FAGAN: 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 4 MR. FAGAN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures there, we see 7 question about. Have you reviewed that 8 SlideDeck? 9 MR. FAGAN: 8 SlideDeck? 9 ins-service I guess? 10 A. That's correct.	15		15	A. But I wasn't—I'm not sure what month that
18 reviewed it or looked at it at all with 18 Q. So, if we stay on this page here, and this 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 is page 131 believe, well, it's 13 on the 20 Falls Generation O&M. 20 SlideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 21 that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 22 Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any more 22 the 52.9 for 2019, 27.3 for '18 and 52.9 for 23 recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- 23 '19? 24 NLH-122? 25 25 Page 90 Page 90 2 A. No. 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 5 A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to 5 MR. FAGAN: 5 A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to 6 we were—and I asked MS. Hutchens some 7 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 A. Just let me view it. 10 MR. FAGAN: 11 MR. FAGAN: 10 MR.	16	MR. FAGAN:	16	was in.
18 reviewed it or looked at it at all with 18 Q. So, if we stay on this page here, and this 19 respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat 19 is page 131 believe, well, it's 13 on the 20 Falls Generation O&M. 20 SlideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 21 that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 22 Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any more 22 the 52.9 for 2019, 27.3 for '18 and 52.9 for 23 recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- 23 '19? 24 NLH-122? 25 25 Page 90 Page 90 2 A. No. 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 5 A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to 5 MR. FAGAN: 5 A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to 6 we were—and I asked MS. Hutchens some 7 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 A. Just let me view it. 10 MR. FAGAN: 11 MR. FAGAN: 10 MR.	17	A. I know what the numbers are, but I never	17	MR. O'BRIEN:
19respect to what's reasonable for Muskrat Falls Generation O&M.19is page 13 I believe, well, it's 13 on the SlideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 2121MR. O'BRIEN:21that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 222222Q. Okay, And you're not aware of any more recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- 2421that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 2224NLH-122?24MR. FAGAN:25252524NLH-122?2425MR. FAGAN:12A. No.13MR. O'BRIEN:34Q. Okay, If we can just briefly bring up Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that 6 we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some 7 question about. Have you reviewed that 8SlideDeck?9MR. FAGAN:1A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to the 51.4 with the adjustments.7MR. FAGAN:1011MR. FAGAN:1012Q. Yes, sure. You let me know.1313MR. FAGAN:1414MR. FAGAN:1415saw at the meeting that 1 attended.1616MR. O'BRIEN:1117Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.1818MR. FAGAN:1819A. Scroll down a bit. If youg ot numbers tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.11MR. O'BRIEN:1412Q. Yes, sure.2013MR. FAGAN:14A. Think II			18	O So if we stay on this page here and this
20 Fails Generation O&M. 20 SlideDeck. So, those figures there, we see 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 21 that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 22 Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any more 22 the 52.9 for 2019, 27.3 for '18 and 52.9 for 23 recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- 24 the 52.9 for 2019, 27.3 for '18 and 52.9 for 24 NLH-122? 24 MR. FAGAN: 25 26 Page 90 Page 90 7 Page 90 Page 90 9 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 5 A. Teal's correct, yeah. 5 Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that 5 A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to 6 we were—and I asked MS. Hutchens some 7 MR. FAGAN: 8 Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st 9 MR. FAGAN: 10 MR. FAGAN: 10 MR. FAGAN: 10 A. Just let me view it. 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Yes, sure.				
21 MR. O'BRIEN: 21 that the 27.3 for O&M costs, actually, and 22 Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any more recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- 23 '19? 23 recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- 23 '19? 24 NLH-122? 24 MR. FAGAN: 25 25 25 Page 90 1 A. That's correct, yeah. 2 2 A. No. 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 2 MR. FAGAN: 2 MR. FAGAN: 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 4 MR. FAGAN: 2 MR. FAGAN: 6 we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some question about. Have you reviewed that 5 A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to the 51.4 with the adjustments. 7 7 MR. O'BRIEN: 1 A. That's correct. 1 8 SlideDeck? 8 Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st in-service I guess? 10 10 A. Just It me view it. 11 A. That's correct. 12		-		
22 Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any more recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- NLH-122? 22 the 52.9 for 2019, 27.3 for '18 and 52.9 for '19? 24 NLH-122? 24 MR. FAGAN: 25 25 24 MR. FAGAN: 2 A. No. 25 25 7 Page 90 Page 90 Page 90 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 5 1 A. That's correct, yeah. 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 5 1 A. That's correct, yeah. 5 Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that 6 WR. FAGAN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 MR. FAGAN: 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st 6 we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some question about. Have you reviewed that 8 Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st 7 MR. FAGAN: 10 MR. FAGAN: 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Yes, sure.				
23 recent updates than what we saw in the ICC- NLH-122? 23 '19? 24 MR.FAGAN: 24 MR.FAGAN: 25 25 26 Page 90 1 MR. FAGAN: 25 2 A. No. 25 26 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 1 A. That's correct, yeah. 2 A. No. 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 5 A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to 6 we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some 6 the 51.4 with the adjustments. 7 question about. Have you reviewed that 5 A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to 6 mk FAGAN: 10 MR. FAGAN: 8 Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st 9 in-service I guess? 10 MR FAGAN: 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Yes, sure. You let me know. 12 MR O'BRIEN: 13 Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now 14 A. GroBRIEN: 11 A. I think so.				
24 NLH-122? 24 MR. FAGAN: 25 Page 90 Page 92 1 MR. FAGAN: 1 A. That's correct, yeah. 2 A. No. 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 5 Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that 6 we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some 6 the 51.4 with the adjustments. 7 question about. Have you reviewed that 5 A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to 6 we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some 6 the 51.4 with the adjustments. 7 question about. Have you reviewed that 8 Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st 8 NR.FAGAN: 9 in-service I guess? 10 10 A. Just let me view it. 10 MR. FAGAN: 12 Q. Yes, sure. 13 Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now 13 MR. FAGAN: 13 Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now 14 MR. FAGAN: 15 saw at the meeting that I attended. 16 M	22			
25 25 Page 90 Page 90 1 MR. FAGAN: 1 A. That's correct, yeah. 2 A. No. 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 4 Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up 4 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 4 W. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed? 6 we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some 6 MR. FAGAN: 4 7 question about. Have you reviewed that 5 A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to 6 we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some 7 MR. O'BRIEN: 9 MR. FAGAN: 8 Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st 9 m.service I guess? 10 MR. FAGAN: 11 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 MR. FAGAN: 12 Q. Yes, sure. You let me know. 12 MR. O'BRIEN: 13 MR. FAGAN: 13 Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now 14 A. Scroll down a bit if you like. 17 Q. Yes.	23	recent updates than what we saw in the ICC-	23	'19?
Page 90Page 901MR. FAGAN:1A. That's correct, yeah.2A. No.2MR. O'BRIEN:33MR. O'BRIEN:3Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up4MR. FAGAN:4Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up4MR. FAGAN:35Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that5A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to6we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some6the 51.4 with the adjustments.7question about. Have you reviewed that5A. Yeak, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to8SlideDeck?8Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st9in-service I guess?10MR. FAGAN:10A. Just let me view it.11A. That's correct.11MR. O'BRIEN:11A. That's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I15A. I think so.16MR. O'BRIEN:13Q. Yes.17Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.17Q. Yes.18MR. FAGAN:18MR. FAGAN:19A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers19A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date20tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:22Q. Yes, sure.2323MR. FAGAN:24have an estimate given to you by Nalcor as24A. I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24<	24	NLH-122?	24	MR. FAGAN:
Page 90Page 90Page 921MR. FAGAN:1A. That's correct, yeah.2A. No.2MR. O'BRIEN:3MR. O'BRIEN:3Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed?4Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up4MR. FAGAN:5Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that5A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to6we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some6the 51.4 with the adjustments.7question about. Have you reviewed that5A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to8SlideDeck?9in-service I guess?9MR. FAGAN:1A. That's correct.10A. Just let me view it.10MR. FAGAN:11MR. O'BRIEN:11A. That's correct.12Q. Yes, sure. You let me know.12MR. O'BRIEN:13MR. FAGAN:13Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I15A. I think so.16MR. O'BRIEN:15A. I think so.17Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers19A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date10MR. FAGAN:20that they assumed right there.20Yes, sure.21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:2222Q. Yes, sure.2323MR. FAGAN:2424A. I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24	25		25	
1MR. FAGAN:1A. That's correct, yeah.2A. No.2MR. O'BRIEN:33MR. O'BRIEN:3Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed?4Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up4MR. FAGAN:5Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that5A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to6we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some6the 51.4 with the adjustments.7question about. Have you reviewed that7MR. O'BRIEN:8SlideDeck?8Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st9MR. FAGAN:9in-service I guess?10A. Just let me view it.11A. That's correct.12Q. Yes, sure. You let me know.12MR. O'BRIEN:13MR. FAGAN:11A. That's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I13Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now15saw at the meeting that I attended.15A. I think so.16MR. O'BRIEN:16MR. O'BRIEN:17Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers18MR. FAGAN:18MR. FAGAN:18MR. FAGAN:19A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers19A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date20tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:22Q. Yes, sure.22Q. Oh, okay. That's fair		Page 90		Page 92
2A.No.2MR. O'BRIEN:3MR. O'BRIEN:3Q.Ob you recall those figures being discussed?4Q.Okay. If we can just briefly bring up4MR. FAGAN:5Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that5A.Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to6we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some6the 51.4 with the adjustments.7question about. Have you reviewed that5A.Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to8SlideDeck?8Q.Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st9MR. FAGAN:10MR. FAGAN:910A.Just let me view it.10MR. FAGAN:11MR. O'BRIEN:10MR. FAGAN:1112Q.Yes, sure. You let me know.12MR. O'BRIEN:13MR. FAGAN:13Q.And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A.And then, I'll know if it's the one that I13Q.15saw at the meeting that I attended.16MR. O'BRIEN:16MR. O'BRIEN:16MR. O'BRIEN:17Q.Yes. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers1920tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.20that they assumed right there.21MR. O'BRIEN:20Q.Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing23MR. FAGAN:24that was the slideDeck that was24A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24 <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td>	1	-	1	-
3MR. O'BRIEN:3Q. Do you recall those figures being discussed?4Q. Okay. If we can just briefly bring up4MR. FAGAN:5Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that5A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to6we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some6the 51.4 with the adjustments.7question about. Have you reviewed that7MR. O'BRIEN:8SlideDeck?8Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st9MR. FAGAN:9in-service I guess?10A. Just let me view it.10MR. FAGAN:11MR. O'BRIEN:11A. That's correct.12Q. Yes, sure. You let me know.13Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I15A. I think so.15saw at the meeting that I attended.16MR. O'BRIEN:17Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers19A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date20tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.20that they assumed right there.21MR. FAGAN:21MR. O'BRIEN:22Q. Yes, sure.22Q. Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing23MR. FAGAN:24have an estimate given to you by Nalcor as				
4Q.Okay. If we can just briefly bring up Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some question about. Have you reviewed that 84MR. FAGAN: S7question about. Have you reviewed that 8SlideDeck?8Q.Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st9MR. FAGAN:7MR. O'BRIEN:8Q.Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st9MR. FAGAN:9in-service I guess?1010A.Just let me view it.10MR. FAGAN:1111MR. O'BRIEN:10MR. FAGAN:12MR. O'BRIEN:12Q.Yes, sure. You let me know.13MR. FAGAN:13Q.And that's correct.13MR. FAGAN:13Q.And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A.And then, I'll know if it's the one that I14MR. FAGAN:15saw at the meeting that I attended.15A.I think so.16MR. O'BRIEN:16MR. O'BRIEN:17Q.Yes.17Q.Yes. Scroll down a bit if you go to numbers19A.I'm just not sure of the in-service date20tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:22Q.Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing23MR. FAGAN:23this SlideDeck, did you have the—did you24A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
5Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some question about. Have you reviewed that 85A.Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to the 51.4 with the adjustments.7question about. Have you reviewed that 85MR. O'BRIEN: 97MR. O'BRIEN: 98Q.Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st 99MR. FAGAN:9in-service I guess?910A.Just let me view it.10MR. FAGAN:1011MR. O'BRIEN:11A.That's correct.12Q.Yes, sure. You let me know.12MR. O'BRIEN:13MR. FAGAN:13Q.And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A.And then, I'll know if it's the one that I15A.15saw at the meeting that I attended.15A.I think so.16MR. O'BRIEN:16MR. O'BRIEN:1717Q.Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.17Q.19A.Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers19A.14MR. O'BRIEN:18MR. FAGAN:15MR. O'BRIEN:20that they assumed right there.21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:22Q.Yes, sure.2223MR. FAGAN:2324A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was2424A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24				
6we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some question about. Have you reviewed that 86the 51.4 with the adjustments.7question about. Have you reviewed that 87MR. O'BRIEN: 98Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st 99MR. FAGAN:9in-service I guess?10A. Just let me view it.10MR. FAGAN:1011MR. O'BRIEN:11A. That's correct.12Q. Yes, sure. You let me know.12MR. O'BRIEN:13MR. FAGAN:13Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I 1515A. I think so.16MR. O'BRIEN:16MR. O'BRIEN:17Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.17Q. Yes.18MR. FAGAN:18MR. FAGAN:19A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers 20tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.1921MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:22Q. Yes, sure.22Q. Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing 2323MR. FAGAN:24have an estimate given to you by Nalcor as				
7question about. Have you reviewed that 87MR. O'BRIEN:8SlideDeck?8Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st9MR. FAGAN:9in-service I guess?10A. Just let me view it.10MR. FAGAN:11MR. O'BRIEN:11A. That's correct.12Q. Yes, sure. You let me know.12MR. O'BRIEN:13MR. FAGAN:12MR. O'BRIEN:14A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I1515saw at the meeting that I attended.1516MR. O'BRIEN:1617Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.1718MR. FAGAN:1819A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers1920tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.2121MR. O'BRIEN:2122Q. Yes, sure.2223MR. FAGAN:2224A. I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was2424A. I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24	5	Undertaking 54? And that was the slide that	5	A. Yeah, I believe the 52.9 is equivalent to
7question about. Have you reviewed that 87MR. O'BRIEN:8SlideDeck?8Q. Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st9MR. FAGAN:9in-service I guess?10A. Just let me view it.10MR. FAGAN:11MR. O'BRIEN:11A. That's correct.12Q. Yes, sure. You let me know.12MR. O'BRIEN:13MR. FAGAN:12MR. O'BRIEN:14A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I1515saw at the meeting that I attended.1516MR. O'BRIEN:1617Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.1718MR. FAGAN:1819A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers1920tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.2121MR. O'BRIEN:2122Q. Yes, sure.2223MR. FAGAN:2224A. I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was2424A. I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24	6	we were—and I asked Ms. Hutchens some	6	the 51.4 with the adjustments.
8SlideDeck?8Q.Yes, yes. And the 27.3 is based on July 1st9MR. FAGAN:9in-service I guess?10A.Just let me view it.10MR. FAGAN:11MR. O'BRIEN:11A.That's correct.12Q.Yes, sure. You let me know.12MR. O'BRIEN:13MR. FAGAN:13Q.And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A.And then, I'll know if it's the one that I15A.15saw at the meeting that I attended.15A.I think so.16MR. O'BRIEN:16MR. O'BRIEN:1617Q.Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.17Q.Yes.18MR. FAGAN:18MR. FAGAN:1920tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.20that they assumed right there.21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:2222Q.Yes, sure.22Q.23MR. FAGAN:22Q.Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing23MR. FAGAN:23this SlideDeck, did you have the—did you24A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24	7	question about. Have you reviewed that	7	MR. O'BRIEN:
9MR. FAGAN:9in-service I guess?10A.Just let me view it.10MR. FAGAN:11MR. O'BRIEN:11A.That's correct.12Q.Yes, sure. You let me know.12MR. O'BRIEN:13MR. FAGAN:12MR. O'BRIEN:14A.And then, I'll know if it's the one that I1315saw at the meeting that I attended.14MR. FAGAN:16MR. O'BRIEN:15A.17Q.Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.1618MR. FAGAN:17Q.19A.Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers1920tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.1921MR. O'BRIEN:20that they assumed right there.22Q.Yes, sure.2223MR. FAGAN:2224A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was2424A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24	8		8	O Yes yes And the 27.3 is based on July 1st
10A.Just let me view it.10MR. FAGAN:11MR. O'BRIEN:11A.That's correct.12Q.Yes, sure. You let me know.12MR. O'BRIEN:13MR. FAGAN:12MR. O'BRIEN:14A.And then, I'll know if it's the one that I13Q.15saw at the meeting that I attended.14MR. FAGAN:16MR. O'BRIEN:16MR. O'BRIEN:17Q.Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.1618MR. FAGAN:18MR. FAGAN:19A.Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers1920tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.20that they assumed right there.21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:22Q.Yes, sure.2223MR. FAGAN:2224A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was2424A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24				· · ·
11MR. O'BRIEN:11A. That's correct.12Q. Yes, sure. You let me know.12MR. O'BRIEN:13MR. FAGAN:13Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I13Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I14MR. FAGAN:15saw at the meeting that I attended.15A. I think so.16MR. O'BRIEN:16MR. O'BRIEN:17Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.16MR. FAGAN:18MR. FAGAN:18MR. FAGAN:19A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers19A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date20tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.20that they assumed right there.21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:22Q. Yes, sure.22Q. Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing23MR. FAGAN:24have an estimate given to you by Nalcor as				•
12Q.Yes, sure. You let me know.12MR. O'BRIEN:13MR. FAGAN:13Q.And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A.And then, I'll know if it's the one that I13Q.And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now14A.And then, I'll know if it's the one that I14MR. FAGAN:15A.15saw at the meeting that I attended.15A.I think so.1616MR. O'BRIEN:16MR. O'BRIEN:17Q.Yes.17Q.Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.17Q.Yes.18MR. FAGAN:18MR. FAGAN:18MR. FAGAN:19A.Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers19A.I'm just not sure of the in-service date20tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:22Q.Yes, sure.21MR. O'BRIEN:22Q.Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing23MR. FAGAN:23this SlideDeck, did you have the—did you24A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24have an estimate given to you by Nalcor as				
 MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I saw at the meeting that I attended. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway. MR. O'BRIEN: MR. O'BRIEN: MR. O'BRIEN: MR. O'BRIEN: MR. O'BRIEN: MR. Ard then, I'll know if it's the one that I MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. Ard then, I'll know if it's the one that I MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. O'BRIEN: MR. O'BRIEN: MR. O'BRIEN: MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. O'BRIEN: MR. Ard the meeting that I attended. MR. O'BRIEN: MR. Ard the meeting that I attended. MR. O'BRIEN: MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. O'BRIEN: MR. Ard the meeting that I ever looked at anyway. MR. FAGAN: MR. Ard the meeting that I ever looked at anyway. MR. Ard the meeting that is all I ever looked at anyway. MR. O'BRIEN: MR. O'BRIEN:<!--</td--><td></td><td>MR. O'BRIEN:</td><td></td><td></td>		MR. O'BRIEN:		
14A.And then, I'll know if it's the one that I14MR. FAGAN:15saw at the meeting that I attended.15A.I think so.16MR. O'BRIEN:16MR. O'BRIEN:1617Q.Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.16MR. O'BRIEN:18MR. FAGAN:18MR. FAGAN:1719A.Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers19A.I'm just not sure of the in-service date20tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.19A.I'm just not sure of the in-service date21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:2122Q.Yes, sure.21MR. FAGAN:23MR. FAGAN:23this SlideDeck, did you have the—did you24A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24				
 15 saw at the meeting that I attended. 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. 18 MR. FAGAN: 19 A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers 20 tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway. 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 22 Q. Yes, sure. 23 MR. FAGAN: 24 A. I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was 				
 15 saw at the meeting that I attended. 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. 18 MR. FAGAN: 19 A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers 20 tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway. 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 22 Q. Yes, sure. 23 MR. FAGAN: 24 A. I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was 		MR. FAGAN:		
16MR. O'BRIEN:16MR. O'BRIEN:17Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like.16MR. O'BRIEN:18MR. FAGAN:17Q. Yes.19A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers18MR. FAGAN:20tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.19A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date21MR. O'BRIEN:20that they assumed right there.22Q. Yes, sure.21MR. O'BRIEN:23MR. FAGAN:22Q. Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing24A. I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24have an estimate given to you by Nalcor as	13	MR. FAGAN:	13	Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now?
 Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. MR. FAGAN: A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, sure. MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, sure. MR. FAGAN: <li< td=""><td>13 14</td><td>MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I</td><td>13 14</td><td>Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now? MR. FAGAN:</td></li<>	13 14	MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I	13 14	Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now? MR. FAGAN:
 18 MR. FAGAN: 19 A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers 20 tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway. 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 22 Q. Yes, sure. 23 MR. FAGAN: 24 A. I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was 	13 14 15	MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I saw at the meeting that I attended.	13 14 15	Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now?MR. FAGAN:A. I think so.
 19 A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers 20 tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway. 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 22 Q. Yes, sure. 23 MR. FAGAN: 24 A. I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was 19 A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date 20 that they assumed right there. 20 MR. O'BRIEN: 21 MR. O'BRIEN: 22 Q. Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing 23 this SlideDeck, did you have the—did you have an estimate given to you by Nalcor as 	13 14 15 16	MR. FAGAN:A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I saw at the meeting that I attended.MR. O'BRIEN:	13 14 15 16	Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now?MR. FAGAN:A. I think so.MR. O'BRIEN:
20tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway.20that they assumed right there.21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:22Q.Yes, sure.22Q.23MR. FAGAN:23this SlideDeck, did you have the—did you24A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24	13 14 15 16 17	 MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I saw at the meeting that I attended. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. 	13 14 15 16 17	Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now?MR. FAGAN:A. I think so.MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Yes.
21MR. O'BRIEN:21MR. O'BRIEN:22Q.Yes, sure.22Q.Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing23MR. FAGAN:23this SlideDeck, did you have the—did you24A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24have an estimate given to you by Nalcor as	13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I saw at the meeting that I attended. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. MR. FAGAN: 	13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now? MR. FAGAN: A. I think so. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN:
22Q.Yes, sure.22Q.Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing23MR. FAGAN:23this SlideDeck, did you have the—did you24A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24have an estimate given to you by Nalcor as	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I saw at the meeting that I attended. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. MR. FAGAN: A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now? MR. FAGAN: A. I think so. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date
23MR. FAGAN:23this SlideDeck, did you have the—did you24A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24have an estimate given to you by Nalcor as	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I saw at the meeting that I attended. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. MR. FAGAN: A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now? MR. FAGAN: A. I think so. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date that they assumed right there.
23MR. FAGAN:23this SlideDeck, did you have the—did you24A.I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was24have an estimate given to you by Nalcor as	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I saw at the meeting that I attended. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. MR. FAGAN: A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway. 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now? MR. FAGAN: A. I think so. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date that they assumed right there.
24 A. I think I—that was the SlideDeck that was 24 have an estimate given to you by Nalcor as	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I saw at the meeting that I attended. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. MR. FAGAN: A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway. MR. O'BRIEN: 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now? MR. FAGAN: A. I think so. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date that they assumed right there. MR. O'BRIEN:
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I saw at the meeting that I attended. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. MR. FAGAN: A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, sure. 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now? MR. FAGAN: A. I think so. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date that they assumed right there. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing
23	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I saw at the meeting that I attended. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. MR. FAGAN: A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, sure. MR. FAGAN: 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now? MR. FAGAN: A. I think so. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date that they assumed right there. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing this SlideDeck, did you have the—did you
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 MR. FAGAN: A. And then, I'll know if it's the one that I saw at the meeting that I attended. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. Scroll down a bit if you like. MR. FAGAN: A. Scroll down a bit. If you go to numbers tables, that's all I ever looked at anyway. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, sure. MR. FAGAN: 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And that's why we've got the 8.3 or 8.4 now? MR. FAGAN: A. I think so. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. I'm just not sure of the in-service date that they assumed right there. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Oh, okay. That's fair. Prior to seeing this SlideDeck, did you have the—did you

1	Page 93	1	Page 95
1	to what the 2018 and 2019 figures would be	1	A. Well, no. You see, if you recall when we
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	on a high level?	2	originally filed our application –
3	MR. FAGAN:	3	MR. O'BRIEN:
4	A. I think—could you bring up PUB-18, please?	4	Q. Yes, yes.
5	018. I recall that might Be an O&M. I'm	5	MR. FAGAN:
6	not sure what the timing of filing this	6	A we didn't file the evidence on the
7	response was, but that would have been the	7	proposed off-island deferral account until
8	first time that I would have seen the	8	September.
9	numbers which are the same as what you've	9	MR. O'BRIEN:
10	just shown.	10	Q. Right, okay, I do recall that.
11	MR. O'BRIEN:	11	MR. O'BRIEN:
12	Q. Yes, those, they seem to be the same	12	Q. Right, okay, I do recall that.
13	numbers. So, I'm just trying to get a	13	MR. FAGAN:
14	flavour as to when you would have gotten the	14	A. Okay, so I didn't have the information that
15	first estimate.	15	there was going to be charges associated
16	MR. FAGAN:	16	with O&M until just before I filed that, so
17	A. I saw—I got this information for providing	17	when I filed the original, we worked on the
18	this response in advance of seeing the	18	original GRA evidence, the deferral account
19	slide, this slide.	19	was presented in concept and not in detail.
20	MR. O'BRIEN:	20	MR. O'BRIEN:
21	Q. Oh, did you? Okay.	21	Q. Right. So when you presented it in concept
22	MR. FAGAN:	22	then, I guess initially you wouldn't have
23	A. Yes.	23	had these figures, but you went and got
24	MR. O'BRIEN:	24	those figures before you presented the
25		25	
			D
1	Page 94	1	Page 96
1	Q. Yes.	1	amendment in September, the additional
2	Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN:	2	amendment in September, the additional information.
2 3	Q. Yes.MR. FAGAN:A. So, the RFI came in from the Board.	2 3	amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4	Q. Yes.MR. FAGAN:A. So, the RFI came in from the Board.MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3 4	amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still
2 3 4 5	Q. Yes.MR. FAGAN:A. So, the RFI came in from the Board.MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5	amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6	amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your 	2 3 4 5 6 7	amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I saw the SlideDeck. So, I don't think it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars when we defined
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I saw the SlideDeck. So, I don't think it was—yeah, it might have been a little while 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars when we defined it, but became aware that there was going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I saw the SlideDeck. So, I don't think it was—yeah, it might have been a little while after, like the—so, I got these from Nalcor 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars when we defined it, but became aware that there was going to be charges, but didn't know what the numbers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I saw the SlideDeck. So, I don't think it was—yeah, it might have been a little while after, like the—so, I got these from Nalcor in advance of seeing the SlideDeck. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars when we defined it, but became aware that there was going to be charges, but didn't know what the numbers were, so—but we set up a deferral account to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I saw the SlideDeck. So, I don't think it was—yeah, it might have been a little while after, like the—so, I got these from Nalcor in advance of seeing the SlideDeck. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars when we defined it, but became aware that there was going to be charges, but didn't know what the numbers were, so—but we set up a deferral account to deduct costs for using the assets against
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I saw the SlideDeck. So, I don't think it was—yeah, it might have been a little while after, like the—so, I got these from Nalcor in advance of seeing the SlideDeck. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, when you filed the initial filing back 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	 amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars when we defined it, but became aware that there was going to be charges, but didn't know what the numbers were, so—but we set up a deferral account to deduct costs for using the assets against savings from, that were achieved by using
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I saw the SlideDeck. So, I don't think it was—yeah, it might have been a little while after, like the—so, I got these from Nalcor in advance of seeing the SlideDeck. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, when you filed the initial filing back in July of 2017, and you had the off-island 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	 amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars when we defined it, but became aware that there was going to be charges, but didn't know what the numbers were, so—but we set up a deferral account to deduct costs for using the assets against savings from, that were achieved by using the assets.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I saw the SlideDeck. So, I don't think it was—yeah, it might have been a little while after, like the—so, I got these from Nalcor in advance of seeing the SlideDeck. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, when you filed the initial filing back in July of 2017, and you had the off-island deferral account built into that filing as 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars when we defined it, but became aware that there was going to be charges, but didn't know what the numbers were, so—but we set up a deferral account to deduct costs for using the assets against savings from, that were achieved by using the assets. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I saw the SlideDeck. So, I don't think it was—yeah, it might have been a little while after, like the—so, I got these from Nalcor in advance of seeing the SlideDeck. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, when you filed the initial filing back in July of 2017, and you had the off-island deferral account built into that filing as part of that filing, that would have—that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars when we defined it, but became aware that there was going to be charges, but didn't know what the numbers were, so—but we set up a deferral account to deduct costs for using the assets against savings from, that were achieved by using the assets. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And in terms of when you became aware there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I saw the SlideDeck. So, I don't think it was—yeah, it might have been a little while after, like the—so, I got these from Nalcor in advance of seeing the SlideDeck. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, when you filed the initial filing back in July of 2017, and you had the off-island deferral account built into that filing as part of that filing, that would have—that was set up to net off O&M costs, is that 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	 amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars when we defined it, but became aware that there was going to be charges, but didn't know what the numbers were, so—but we set up a deferral account to deduct costs for using the assets against savings from, that were achieved by using the assets. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And in terms of when you became aware there were costs, how did you become aware there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I saw the SlideDeck. So, I don't think it was—yeah, it might have been a little while after, like the—so, I got these from Nalcor in advance of seeing the SlideDeck. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, when you filed the initial filing back in July of 2017, and you had the off-island deferral account built into that filing as part of that filing, that would have—that was set up to net off O&M costs, is that correct? 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars when we defined it, but became aware that there was going to be charges, but didn't know what the numbers were, so—but we set up a deferral account to deduct costs for using the assets against savings from, that were achieved by using the assets. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And in terms of when you became aware there were costs, how did you become aware there would be costs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. So, the RFI came in from the Board. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes, yes. MR. FAGAN: A. And so, I went inquiring for—give me your protections of your detail. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So, that's where these came from and after I saw the SlideDeck. So, I don't think it was—yeah, it might have been a little while after, like the—so, I got these from Nalcor in advance of seeing the SlideDeck. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So, when you filed the initial filing back in July of 2017, and you had the off-island deferral account built into that filing as part of that filing, that would have—that was set up to net off O&M costs, is that 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	 amendment in September, the additional information. MR. FAGAN: A. No. Well, I wouldn't have had, I still wouldn't have had these dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. What's that? MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay. MR. FAGAN: A. I didn't have the dollars when we defined it, but became aware that there was going to be charges, but didn't know what the numbers were, so—but we set up a deferral account to deduct costs for using the assets against savings from, that were achieved by using the assets. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And in terms of when you became aware there were costs, how did you become aware there

Page 99 have been in so of 2017? aware of what e really until we sure what the se is. en further. that. ybe even later. nal evidence
so of 2017? aware of what e really until we sure what the se is. en further. that. ybe even later.
aware of what e really until we sure what the se is. en further. that. ybe even later.
e really until we sure what the se is. en further. that. ybe even later.
e really until we sure what the se is. en further. that. ybe even later.
sure what the se is. en further. that. ybe even later.
se is. en further. that. ybe even later.
en further. that. ybe even later.
that. ybe even later.
that. ybe even later.
ybe even later.
ybe even later.
-
-
-
nal evidence
filed in early
ard would have
October, say,
el estimate of
hink that's
Page 100
Page 100
r if there's been
er as to where
it seem to be
arch?
don't know it
don't know, it
, and questioned
r budget
have anything
their budget
their budget -which was
their budget
their budget -which was of this year.
their budget -which was of this year. ier was you
their budget -which was of this year. ier was you estioning the
their budget -which was of this year. ier was you estioning the , apart from, I
their budget -which was of this year. ier was you estioning the , apart from, I me, having a
their budget -which was of this year. ier was you estioning the , apart from, I
their budget -which was of this year. ier was you estioning the , apart from, I me, having a rs?
their budget -which was of this year. ier was you estioning the , apart from, I me, having a
their budget -which was of this year. ier was you estioning the , apart from, I me, having a rs?
their budget -which was of this year. ier was you estioning the , apart from, I me, having a rs?
their budget -which was of this year. ier was you estioning the , apart from, I me, having a rs?
r e at

			NL Hydio 2017 OKA
	Page 101		Page 103
1	questions with respect to, I saw three—I	1	MR. O'BRIEN:
2	think I saw vegetation management, I noticed	2	Q. And in terms of any changes going forward
3	in early years vegetation management might	3	where you would pay the actual costs, that's
4	have been—I forget the exact number, but I	4	your understanding, I presume, that you'd
5	was questioning, give me a good	5	pay the actual costs. If there was a change
6	understanding of why vegetation, why there's	6	in in-service, what was the triggering point
7	a large amount of vegetation management	7	for O&M costs in your mind?
8	costs in the early years, and they talked	8	MR. FAGAN:
9	about, well you got request spraying and	9	A. Well it was my understanding and I think Mr.
10	stuff like that.	10	Haynes may have talked about it with regard
11	MR. O'BRIEN:	11	to there's a certain standard of service
12	Q. That was their response?	12	required before we view it as in-service,
13	MR. FAGAN:	13	and so, if that's standard that they've got
14	A. Yes.	14	to define terms for meeting that standard,
15	MR. O'BRIEN:	15	now I'm not the one to talk about that, but
16	Q. Any other, any big ticket items that you had	16	it's technical, but once that's met, then
17	concerns with, labour costs, anything like	17	we'll start paying the costs, but we won't
18	that?	18	start paying the costs until that standard
19	MR. FAGAN:	19	is met. So that's why the costs have
$\begin{array}{c} 19\\20\end{array}$	A. I don't think I was informed enough to	20	declined in 2018 from the 27.3 presented in
20	really question labour costs. I did	20	the Table 1 there, versus what's presented in
$21 \\ 22$	question, there was one item that O&M costs	21	
$\begin{vmatrix} 22\\23 \end{vmatrix}$		22	in Table 2 on page 3 of the Supplemental
	were represented as the same in '18 and '19		Evidence, the 8.365—or the eight million
24	and so I questioned how can you have the	24	three hundred and sixty-five thousand.
25	same O&M for both years when the	25	MR. O'BRIEN:
1	Daga 102		
	Page 102	_	Page 104
1	implementation is a part year for '18.	1	Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption
2	implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN:	2	Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that
2 3	implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it?	2 3	Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range.
2 3 4	implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5	implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked	2 3 4 5	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a
2 3 4 5 6	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of
2 3 4 5 6 7	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you? MR. FAGAN: A. I haven't tested it, but I'm assuming their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So did you get an indication that if there was a change in those circumstances, then 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you? MR. FAGAN: A. I haven't tested it, but I'm assuming their math was right. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So did you get an indication that if there 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you? MR. FAGAN: A. I haven't tested it, but I'm assuming their math was right. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So did you get an indication that if there was a change in those circumstances, then those estimates would change? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you? MR. FAGAN: A. I haven't tested it, but I'm assuming their math was right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So have you seen a—is there a document that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So did you get an indication that if there was a change in those circumstances, then those estimates would change? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it was—well, I was reassured that going 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you? MR. FAGAN: A. I haven't tested it, but I'm assuming their math was right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So have you seen a—is there a document that shows how that's calculated?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So did you get an indication that if there was a change in those circumstances, then those estimates would change? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it was—well, I was reassured that going forward the numbers are going to be firmed 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you? MR. FAGAN: A. I haven't tested it, but I'm assuming their math was right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So have you seen a—is there a document that shows how that's calculated? MR. FAGAN: A. I'm sure there is, but I haven't seen it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So did you get an indication that if there was a change in those circumstances, then those estimates would change? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it was—well, I was reassured that going forward the numbers are going to be firmed up and Hydro would only pay the actual costs 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you? MR. FAGAN: A. I haven't tested it, but I'm assuming their math was right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So have you seen a—is there a document that shows how that's calculated? MR. FAGAN: A. I'm sure there is, but I haven't seen it. (10:38 a.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So did you get an indication that if there was a change in those circumstances, then those estimates would change? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it was—well, I was reassured that going forward the numbers are going to be firmed up and Hydro would only pay the actual costs that were incurred. My view was from a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you? MR. FAGAN: A. I haven't tested it, but I'm assuming their math was right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So have you seen a—is there a document that shows how that's calculated? MR. FAGAN: A. I'm sure there is, but I haven't seen it. (10:38 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So did you get an indication that if there was a change in those circumstances, then those estimates would change? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it was—well, I was reassured that going forward the numbers are going to be firmed up and Hydro would only pay the actual costs that were incurred. My view was from a regulatory perspective, I need to have good 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you? MR. FAGAN: A. I haven't tested it, but I'm assuming their math was right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So have you seen a—is there a document that shows how that's calculated? MR. FAGAN: A. I'm sure there is, but I haven't seen it. (10:38 a.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 implementation is a part year for '18. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Was LTA O&M costs, was it? MR. FAGAN: A. Similar to a question you may have asked earlier, I believe. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. But I think at the time they said it was assumed that the implementation was April, but it was a rough estimate, but I didn't get any more detail than that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So did you get an indication that if there was a change in those circumstances, then those estimates would change? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it was—well, I was reassured that going forward the numbers are going to be firmed up and Hydro would only pay the actual costs that were incurred. My view was from a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Right, okay. And that's based on assumption of in-service in the end of October, in that range. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it's my understanding it's primarily a proration of moving it, just a number of months that they spread out, that's my understanding. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And have you seen that updated calculation from Nalcor or is it just a figure they've given you? MR. FAGAN: A. I haven't tested it, but I'm assuming their math was right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So have you seen a—is there a document that shows how that's calculated? MR. FAGAN: A. I'm sure there is, but I haven't seen it. (10:38 a.m.) MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Can you undertake to provide that if there

	R 0, 2010		
	Page 105		Page 107
1	A. We can undertake to provide that, yes.	1	very close.
2	MS. GLYNN:	2	MR. O'BRIEN:
3	Q. Noted on the record.	3	Q. All right, and you haven't received an
4	MR. O'BRIEN:	4	update since then?
5	Q. And you've mentioned in terms of a standard	5	MR. FAGAN:
6	for in-service and Mr. Haynes had commented	6	A. No, I haven't gone back to the office yet.
7	on that, he also commented on the concept of	7	MR. O'BRIEN:
8	near commissioning from an engineering	8	Q. Do you know whether those contracts will
9	perspective and that he had made the comment	9	address the types of services that will be
10	that he didn't—he indicated actual	10	provided to Hydro, and who will be providing
11	commissioning of the LIL line couldn't occur	11	them and that kind of thing?
11	until generation was available from Muskrat	12	MR. FAGAN:
12	Falls, that's his evidence.	12	A. When you say the "types of services", the
14	MR. FAGAN:	14	types of services with respect to what?
15	A. Could you just repeat that for me, please?	15	With regard to -
16	MR. O'BRIEN:	16	MR. O'BRIEN:
17	Q. His evidence was that actual commissioning	17	Q. Lay out what operation and maintenance
18	of the LIL line couldn't occur until	18	services will be provided.
19	generation was available from Muskrat Falls,	19	MR. FAGAN:
20	can you comment on –	20	A. I think—I'm not sure, I don't think I can
21	MR. FAGAN:	21	say because I haven't seen any drafts, so
22	A. No, I'm not the right one to talk about the	22	I'm not sure of the terms.
23	actual commissioning versus near	23	MR. O'BRIEN:
24	commissioning definitions, I don't think.	24	Q. Standard of services from a reliability
25	MR. O'BRIEN:	25	perspective, that kind of thing, would that
	Page 106		
1	Page 106 O. Legal issue?	1	Page 108
1	Q. Legal issue?	1	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts?
2	Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN:	2	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN:
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. Legal issue?MR. FAGAN:A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and	2 3	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah.
$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 3\\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	Q. Legal issue?MR. FAGAN:A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither.	2 3 4	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? 	2 3 4 5 6	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's fair. Just turning back to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to be charging, invoicing Hydro for, say, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's fair. Just turning back to the contracts then for usage of the assets for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to be charging, invoicing Hydro for, say, the O&M costs on the LIL, do you know what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's fair. Just turning back to the contracts then for usage of the assets for the LIL and the LTA and they're presently, I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to be charging, invoicing Hydro for, say, the O&M costs on the LIL, do you know what entitle would be invoicing Hydro?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's fair. Just turning back to the contracts then for usage of the assets for the LIL and the LTA and they're presently, I understand, still in the works. Is there an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to be charging, invoicing Hydro for, say, the O&M costs on the LIL, do you know what entitle would be invoicing Hydro? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's fair. Just turning back to the contracts then for usage of the assets for the LIL and the LTA and they're presently, I understand, still in the works. Is there an anticipated timeframe as to when they're 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to be charging, invoicing Hydro for, say, the O&M costs on the LIL, do you know what entitle would be invoicing Hydro? MR. FAGAN: A. Oh yes, I'm sure that would be there, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's fair. Just turning back to the contracts then for usage of the assets for the LIL and the LTA and they're presently, I understand, still in the works. Is there an anticipated timeframe as to when they're going to be signed, that you know of? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to be charging, invoicing Hydro for, say, the O&M costs on the LIL, do you know what entitle would be invoicing Hydro? MR. FAGAN: A. Oh yes, I'm sure that would be there, I mean, we've got agreement, the Transmission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's fair. Just turning back to the contracts then for usage of the assets for the LIL and the LTA and they're presently, I understand, still in the works. Is there an anticipated timeframe as to when they're 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to be charging, invoicing Hydro for, say, the O&M costs on the LIL, do you know what entitle would be invoicing Hydro? MR. FAGAN: A. Oh yes, I'm sure that would be there, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's fair. Just turning back to the contracts then for usage of the assets for the LIL and the LTA and they're presently, I understand, still in the works. Is there an anticipated timeframe as to when they're going to be signed, that you know of? 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to be charging, invoicing Hydro for, say, the O&M costs on the LIL, do you know what entitle would be invoicing Hydro? MR. FAGAN: A. Oh yes, I'm sure that would be there, I mean, we've got agreement, the Transmission
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's fair. Just turning back to the contracts then for usage of the assets for the LIL and the LTA and they're presently, I understand, still in the works. Is there an anticipated timeframe as to when they're going to be signed, that you know of? MR. FAGAN: 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to be charging, invoicing Hydro for, say, the O&M costs on the LIL, do you know what entitle would be invoicing Hydro? MR. FAGAN: A. Oh yes, I'm sure that would be there, I mean, we've got agreement, the Transmission Funding Agreement which is the requirement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's fair. Just turning back to the contracts then for usage of the assets for the LIL and the LTA and they're presently, I understand, still in the works. Is there an anticipated timeframe as to when they're going to be signed, that you know of? MR. FAGAN: A. Well I took vacation last week, so, but it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to be charging, invoicing Hydro for, say, the O&M costs on the LIL, do you know what entitle would be invoicing Hydro? MR. FAGAN: A. Oh yes, I'm sure that would be there, I mean, we've got agreement, the Transmission Funding Agreement which is the requirement to pay for transmission after the completion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's fair. Just turning back to the contracts then for usage of the assets for the LIL and the LTA and they're presently, I understand, still in the works. Is there an anticipated timeframe as to when they're going to be signed, that you know of? MR. FAGAN: A. Well I took vacation last week, so, but it was well underway, and I thought it was fairly eminent, but I'm not sure of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to be charging, invoicing Hydro for, say, the O&M costs on the LIL, do you know what entitle would be invoicing Hydro? MR. FAGAN: A. Oh yes, I'm sure that would be there, I mean, we've got agreement, the Transmission Funding Agreement which is the requirement to pay for transmission after the completion of the project. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Legal issue? MR. FAGAN: A. I guess it's both an engineering debate and a legal debate and I'm neither. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And you're neither? MR. FAGAN: A. No. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I think I can stay out of that one. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That's fair. Just turning back to the contracts then for usage of the assets for the LIL and the LTA and they're presently, I understand, still in the works. Is there an anticipated timeframe as to when they're going to be signed, that you know of? MR. FAGAN: A. Well I took vacation last week, so, but it was well underway, and I thought it was 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	Page 108 be addressed in the contracts? MR. FAGAN: A. I believe it would be, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And how about how O&M fees are going to be charged? MR. FAGAN: A. I think the timing of payments and stuff like that, I think that's probably in it, but I'm not sure, I'm speculating, but I would expect it. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So in terms of who—which entity is going to be charging, invoicing Hydro for, say, the O&M costs on the LIL, do you know what entitle would be invoicing Hydro? MR. FAGAN: A. Oh yes, I'm sure that would be there, I mean, we've got agreement, the Transmission Funding Agreement which is the requirement to pay for transmission after the completion of the project.

Augus	st 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
	Page 109		Page 111
1	A. So this is really just, I don't know, it's	1	MR. FAGAN:
2	like an interim step of the same sort of	2	A. I don't know if that's a requirement with
3	agreement, maybe some slightly different	3	regard to the payment of the costs
4	terms during the pre-commissioning phase, so	4	associated with the LIL and LTA, but I think
5	I expect a lot of the terms that would be in	5	that's a legal matter with regard to
6	the Transmission Funding Agreement would be	6	interpretation, but, so I don't think I can
7	_	7	comment on the least cost aspect of the
8	MR. O'BRIEN:	8	costs.
9	Q. Would be in this one.	9	MR. O'BRIEN:
10	MR. FAGAN:	10	Q. And I understood from Ms. Hutchens that
11	A. Would be in this one.	11	there'd be dispute resolution process in
12	MR. O'BRIEN:	12	those transmission use agreements as well,
13	Q. Now the Transmission Funding Agreement I	13	is that your understanding?
14	understood dealt with the O&M over the LIL,	14	MR. FAGAN:
15	is that right?	15	A. My understanding is that there is a dispute
16	MR. FAGAN:	16	resolution process, but I thought it might
17		17	be more related to if someone didn't compute
17	A. Well the Transmission Funding Agreement deals with all of the costs over LIL.	17	
			the bill right, not that you're questioning
19	MR. O'BRIEN:	19	whether they can charge you for –
20	Q. Over LIL, and Muskrat Falls Purchase Power	20	MR. O'BRIEN:
21	Agreement, are the LTA assets covered under	21	Q. Whether it's reasonable.
22	that?	22	MR. FAGAN:
23	MR. FAGAN:	23	A. Yes, I think that's fair.
24	A. Yes.	24	MR. O'BRIEN:
25	MR. O'BRIEN:	25	Q. Okay, so you don't believe that in a dispute
	Page 110		Page 112
1	Q. All right, so is there a separate contract	1	resolution process Hydro will have the
2	then in the works for the LTA assets and the	2	ability to argue whether or not certain
3	LIL assets or are they two contracts?	3	costs are reasonable?
4	MR. FAGAN:	4	MR. FAGAN:
5	A. I know there's some complexity because of	5	A. I think that's a legal question.
6	differences, but I think the lawyers would	6	MR. O'BRIEN:
7	have to comment on that one, I'm not close.	7	Q. Yes. And will there be any sort of audit
8	MR. O'BRIEN:	8	process that Hydro can undertake to review
9	Q. And in terms of the invoices themselves, do	9	those invoices and the backups?
10	you know whether the invoices will be	10	MR. FAGAN:
11		11	
	available to the Board for review or to the		A Unives certainly
12	available to the Board for review, or to the parties or is this something that is		A. Oh yes, certainly. MR O'BRIEN:
12 13	parties, or is this something that is	12	MR. O'BRIEN:
13	parties, or is this something that is covered under the IOC, Order-in-Council?	12 13	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Would that be built into the contracts, do
13 14	parties, or is this something that is covered under the IOC, Order-in-Council? MR. FAGAN:	12 13 14	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Would that be built into the contracts, do you know?
13 14 15	parties, or is this something that is covered under the IOC, Order-in-Council? MR. FAGAN: A. Well any invoices to Hydro with respect to	12 13 14 15	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Would that be built into the contracts, do you know?MR. FAGAN:
13 14 15 16	parties, or is this something that is covered under the IOC, Order-in-Council?MR. FAGAN:A. Well any invoices to Hydro with respect to the O&M costs for these assets, I'm sure	12 13 14 15 16	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Would that be built into the contracts, do you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Any invoice that we get, we even get
13 14 15 16 17	 parties, or is this something that is covered under the IOC, Order-in-Council? MR. FAGAN: A. Well any invoices to Hydro with respect to the O&M costs for these assets, I'm sure would be available to the Board. I mean, 	12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Would that be built into the contracts, do you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Any invoice that we get, we even get invoices from the Board that we go through
13 14 15 16 17 18	 parties, or is this something that is covered under the IOC, Order-in-Council? MR. FAGAN: A. Well any invoices to Hydro with respect to the O&M costs for these assets, I'm sure would be available to the Board. I mean, we've got forecasts of the costs, so the 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Would that be built into the contracts, do you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Any invoice that we get, we even get invoices from the Board that we go through an audit process and we check every item to
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 parties, or is this something that is covered under the IOC, Order-in-Council? MR. FAGAN: A. Well any invoices to Hydro with respect to the O&M costs for these assets, I'm sure would be available to the Board. I mean, we've got forecasts of the costs, so the actual costs would certainly be available to 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Would that be built into the contracts, do you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Any invoice that we get, we even get invoices from the Board that we go through an audit process and we check every item to make sure that the numbers are supported by
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 parties, or is this something that is covered under the IOC, Order-in-Council? MR. FAGAN: A. Well any invoices to Hydro with respect to the O&M costs for these assets, I'm sure would be available to the Board. I mean, we've got forecasts of the costs, so the actual costs would certainly be available to the Board to review as well. 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Would that be built into the contracts, do you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Any invoice that we get, we even get invoices from the Board that we go through an audit process and we check every item to make sure that the numbers are supported by activities behind the bills.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 parties, or is this something that is covered under the IOC, Order-in-Council? MR. FAGAN: A. Well any invoices to Hydro with respect to the O&M costs for these assets, I'm sure would be available to the Board. I mean, we've got forecasts of the costs, so the actual costs would certainly be available to the Board to review as well. MR. O'BRIEN: 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Would that be built into the contracts, do you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Any invoice that we get, we even get invoices from the Board that we go through an audit process and we check every item to make sure that the numbers are supported by activities behind the bills. MR. O'BRIEN:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 parties, or is this something that is covered under the IOC, Order-in-Council? MR. FAGAN: A. Well any invoices to Hydro with respect to the O&M costs for these assets, I'm sure would be available to the Board. I mean, we've got forecasts of the costs, so the actual costs would certainly be available to the Board to review as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right, and in terms of how Hydro will 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Would that be built into the contracts, do you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Any invoice that we get, we even get invoices from the Board that we go through an audit process and we check every item to make sure that the numbers are supported by activities behind the bills. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And will there be a formal one built into
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 parties, or is this something that is covered under the IOC, Order-in-Council? MR. FAGAN: A. Well any invoices to Hydro with respect to the O&M costs for these assets, I'm sure would be available to the Board. I mean, we've got forecasts of the costs, so the actual costs would certainly be available to the Board to review as well. MR. O'BRIEN: 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Would that be built into the contracts, do you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Any invoice that we get, we even get invoices from the Board that we go through an audit process and we check every item to make sure that the numbers are supported by activities behind the bills. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And will there be a formal one built into the contracts, do you know?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 parties, or is this something that is covered under the IOC, Order-in-Council? MR. FAGAN: A. Well any invoices to Hydro with respect to the O&M costs for these assets, I'm sure would be available to the Board. I mean, we've got forecasts of the costs, so the actual costs would certainly be available to the Board to review as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right, and in terms of how Hydro will 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Would that be built into the contracts, do you know? MR. FAGAN: A. Any invoice that we get, we even get invoices from the Board that we go through an audit process and we check every item to make sure that the numbers are supported by activities behind the bills. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And will there be a formal one built into

	10,2010		NE Hydro 2017 OKA
	Page 113	1	Page 115
	MR. O'BRIEN:	1	MR. O'BRIEN:
2	Q. Okay. Would there be any arbitration	2	Q. So from an accounting perspective, are those
3	process available under the dispute	3	costs still O&M costs? Are we still looking
4	resolution?	4	at O&M costs that just can't be capitalized,
5	MR. FAGAN:	5	and are they included in those test year
6	A. I don't know.	6	figures of 8.4 and –
7	MR. O'BRIEN:	7	MR. FAGAN:
8	Q. Just a few questions for you, Mr. Fagan,	8	A. No, they're not included in those figures.
9	just on what's included in the O&M costs, I	9	MR. O'BRIEN:
10	wonder if we could bring up Undertaking 54,	10	Q. Okay, all right.
11	attachment 1, page 11 and bullet three, so	11	MR. FAGAN:
12	the third bullet there, "Any operational	12	A. The costs in these figures are costs,
13	readiness costs prior to Q1 2018 for the	13	operating costs to be incurred during LIL
14	LTA, Q2 2018 for the LIL, and 2020 for the	14	and LTA being in this operating mode during
15	Muskrat Falls will be funded under	15	the pre-commissioning period.
16	LCP/Transitions to Operations' capital	16	MR. O'BRIEN:
17	budget and recovered from NLH following	17	Q. Okay.
18	Muskrat Falls full power through PPA/TFA."	18	MR. FAGAN:
19	Are you able to comment on what operational	19	A. So my interpretation of this is more related
20	readiness costs entail?	20	to operating costs during the capital aspect
21	(10:45 a.m.)	21	of it, but I'm not positive, but there are
22	MR. FAGAN:	22	those costs that that's how it's being
23	A. I looked at this before, it's my	23	treated for future recovery from Hydro, so
24	understanding that during the, so for	24	that's my understanding.
25	example, let's just stick with Muskrat Falls	25	MR. O'BRIEN:
1	D 111		D 444
	Page 114	1	Page 116
1	generation for a moment.	1	Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that
2	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN:	2	Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not
2 3	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay.	2 3	Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the
2 3 4	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4	Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs?
2 3 4 5	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of	2 3 4 5	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume –
2 3 4 5 6 7	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with accounting standards.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, okay, but the fact that they've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with accounting standards. MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, okay, but the fact that they've prorated the costs up to, down from 27.3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with accounting standards. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, okay, but the fact that they've prorated the costs up to, down from 27.3 down to –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with accounting standards. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, okay, but the fact that they've prorated the costs up to, down from 27.3 down to – MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with accounting standards. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So those, any of those costs would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, okay, but the fact that they've prorated the costs up to, down from 27.3 down to – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Down to 8.4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with accounting standards. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. O'BRIEN: A. So those, any of those costs would be treated as operating and recognized as	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, okay, but the fact that they've prorated the costs up to, down from 27.3 down to – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Down to 8.4 MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with accounting standards. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So those, any of those costs would be treated as operating and recognized as expenses by Muskrat Falls Corporation, but	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, okay, but the fact that they've prorated the costs up to, down from 27.3 down to – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Down to 8.4 MR. FAGAN: A 8.4, means whatever costs that were in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with accounting standards. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So those, any of those costs would be treated as operating and recognized as expenses by Muskrat Falls Corporation, but eventually charged to Hydro once the project	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, okay, but the fact that they've prorated the costs up to, down from 27.3 down to – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Down to 8.4 MR. FAGAN: A 8.4, means whatever costs that were in there, Hydro would not be paying for until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with accounting standards. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So those, any of those costs would be treated as operating and recognized as expenses by Muskrat Falls Corporation, but eventually charged to Hydro once the project is complete, and it's my interpretation of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, okay, but the fact that they've prorated the costs up to, down from 27.3 down to – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Down to 8.4 MR. FAGAN: A 8.4, means whatever costs that were in there, Hydro would not be paying for until it goes into, I guess, considered in service
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with accounting standards. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So those, any of those costs would be treated as operating and recognized as expenses by Muskrat Falls Corporation, but eventually charged to Hydro once the project is complete, and it's my interpretation of what that would mean would be costs that 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, okay, but the fact that they've prorated the costs up to, down from 27.3 down to – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Down to 8.4 MR. FAGAN: A 8.4, means whatever costs that were in there, Hydro would not be paying for until it goes into, I guess, considered in service for purposes of receiving power.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with accounting standards. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So those, any of those costs would be treated as operating and recognized as expenses by Muskrat Falls Corporation, but eventually charged to Hydro once the project is complete, and it's my interpretation of what that would mean would be costs that can't be capitalized during the capital 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, okay, but the fact that they've prorated the costs up to, down from 27.3 down to – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Down to 8.4 MR. FAGAN: A 8.4, means whatever costs that were in there, Hydro would not be paying for until it goes into, I guess, considered in service for purposes of receiving power.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 generation for a moment. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. Okay, because we're not into a debate of whether it's near commissioning or not. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So my understanding is that Muskrat Falls Corporation would incur some costs that it cannot capitalize in accordance with accounting standards. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. So those, any of those costs would be treated as operating and recognized as expenses by Muskrat Falls Corporation, but eventually charged to Hydro once the project is complete, and it's my interpretation of what that would mean would be costs that 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 Q. And has anyone looked at how to ensure that those operational readiness costs are not included, say in that 8.4 million and the 51.4 million for the O&M costs? MR. FAGAN: A. I assume – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. There's a certain amount of costs, I presume, let's say for 2018 that are being incurred up until the LIL is in service fully, right? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, okay, but the fact that they've prorated the costs up to, down from 27.3 down to – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Down to 8.4 MR. FAGAN: A 8.4, means whatever costs that were in there, Hydro would not be paying for until it goes into, I guess, considered in service for purposes of receiving power.

Augu	st 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
	Page 117		Page 119
1	proration is just based on time, versus are	1	reason, but I'm sure we'd certainly make
2	you certain that operational readiness costs	2	sure that we're not being charged for the
3	here that are going to be passed on after	3	assets for costs prior to the assets being
4	are not included in that 8.4 million, has	4	considered in service, and we certainly
5	anyone looked at that to be sure?	5	would have an obligation to check that.
6	MR. FAGAN:	6	MR. O'BRIEN:
7	A. I'm not sure. I'd be surprised if it isn't,	7	Q. And how would you be doing that, just to
8	but it certainly my group, I wasn't involved	8	ensure that?
9	to do that.	9	MR. FAGAN:
10	MR. O'BRIEN:	10	A. Well, because a bill is based on actual
11	Q. Okay. And for the—and it shouldn't make a	11	costs, okay, so it's got to provide the
12	difference in 2019 if the LIL comes in	12	support for the actual costs and I assume
13	service fully in 2018, but to the extent it	13	the support for the actual costs would also
14	does, will that be a consideration whether	14	be associated with the timelines that the
15	or not operational readiness costs will be	15	costs were incurred, so in that case, we
16	included in the 2019 test year, and how you	16	should be able to determine if they're
17	look at that?	17	charging us for costs incurred for the
18	MR. FAGAN:	18	spring of 2018 or the summer of 2018.
19	A. Well I'm reading this and it's indicating to	19	MR. O'BRIEN:
$\begin{array}{c} 19\\20\end{array}$	me that these operational readiness costs	20	Q. Okay. And you wouldn't have been party to
$20 \\ 21$	incurred prior to the assets going into	20	any discussions with Nalcor regarding the
		21	
22	service, are going to be punted for future		operational readiness costs?
23	recover, so if they're not charging for the	23	MR. FAGAN:
24	assets until they're in service, then beyond	24	A. None, no.
25	that, I assume that once they're viewed as	25	MR. O'BRIEN:
	Page 118		Page 120
1	in service, all the operating costs are	1	Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the
2	in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only—	2	Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational	2 3	Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3\\ 4 \end{vmatrix}$	in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service,	2 3 4	Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote
2 3 4 5	in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's –	2 3 4 5	Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases,
2 3 4 5 6	in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3 4 5 6	Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery
2 3 4 5 6 7	in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just says prior to Q1 2018 and Q2 2018. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just says prior to Q1 2018 and Q2 2018. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, I assume, that's what I was assuming,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just says prior to Q1 2018 and Q2 2018. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think the reasoning for that may 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, I assume, that's what I was assuming, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just says prior to Q1 2018 and Q2 2018. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think the reasoning for that may have been is their assumption for LIL back 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, I assume, that's what I was assuming, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only— so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just says prior to Q1 2018 and Q2 2018. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think the reasoning for that may have been is their assumption for LIL back then when I asked the questions, they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, I assume, that's what I was assuming, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only—so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just says prior to Q1 2018 and Q2 2018. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think the reasoning for that may have been is their assumption for LIL back then when I asked the questions, they assumed it was going to be in service in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, I assume, that's what I was assuming, yeah. MR. FAGAN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only—so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just says prior to Q1 2018 and Q2 2018. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think the reasoning for that may have been is their assumption for LIL back then when I asked the questions, they assumed it was going to be in service in April of 2018, so that may be tied to the 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	 Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, I assume, that's what I was assuming, yeah. MR. FAGAN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It wasn't Nalcor fees.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only—so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just says prior to Q1 2018 and Q2 2018. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think the reasoning for that may have been is their assumption for LIL back then when I asked the questions, they assumed it was going to be in service in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, I assume, that's what I was assuming, yeah. MR. FAGAN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only—so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just says prior to Q1 2018 and Q2 2018. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think the reasoning for that may have been is their assumption for LIL back then when I asked the questions, they assumed it was going to be in service in April of 2018, so that may be tied to the assumed in-service date at the time when the document was prepared, but I'm not sure of 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	 Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, I assume, that's what I was assuming, yeah. MR. FAGAN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. It wasn't Nalcor fees.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only—so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just says prior to Q1 2018 and Q2 2018. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think the reasoning for that may have been is their assumption for LIL back then when I asked the questions, they assumed it was going to be in service in April of 2018, so that may be tied to the assumed in-service date at the time when the 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, I assume, that's what I was assuming, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. It wasn't Nalcor fees. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay, and like an agency fee, exactly what does that entail?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only—so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just says prior to Q1 2018 and Q2 2018. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think the reasoning for that may have been is their assumption for LIL back then when I asked the questions, they assumed it was going to be in service in April of 2018, so that may be tied to the assumed in-service date at the time when the document was prepared, but I'm not sure of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, I assume, that's what I was assuming, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. It wasn't Nalcor fees. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay, and like an agency fee, exactly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 in service, all the operating costs are being charged to Hydro anyway, so it's only—so if your question is about the operational readiness cost prior to being in service, are we checking to see if that's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yes. MR. FAGAN: A. Oh, that's your question, I – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. I just want to make sure because it doesn't even say prior to being in service, it just says prior to Q1 2018 and Q2 2018. MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, I think the reasoning for that may have been is their assumption for LIL back then when I asked the questions, they assumed it was going to be in service in April of 2018, so that may be tied to the assumed in-service date at the time when the document was prepared, but I'm not sure of the timelines of the document being 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. I wonder if we could go to page 3 of the Supplemental Evidence and it's lines 2 to 5. We've already covered the savings there. I did want to actually look down at footnote 7, under Total Cost of Power Purchases, including the energy purchase cost, delivery cost incurred in the off-island supply, including agency fees, so you had mentioned to me already now about the types of delivery costs. The agency fees, is that the marketing fees that you were talking about? So that's something different. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, I assume, that's what I was assuming, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. It wasn't Nalcor fees. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, okay, and like an agency fee, exactly what does that entail?

	Page 121		Page 123
1	-	1	-
1	flowing power or trying to purchase power is		O&M, right.
2	dealing with entities in other	2	MR. O'BRIEN:
3	jurisdictions, so if they have to enter into	3	Q. I'm not either, but –
4	an agreement with some other marketing	4	MR. FAGAN:
5	agency, maybe there's an agency fee	5	A. In the contracts, and this is not so much
6	reflected in the costs of getting the power.	6	about the charges from LIL and LTA, but in
7	MR. O'BRIEN:	7	the contracts themselves, these contracts
8	Q. Are you able to parse out sort of how much	8	were prepared from a, make sure the costs
9	in the forecasts are associated with agency	9	recover from a financing agreement
10	fees, is that a significant amount or –	10	perspective, so they've defined things on
11	MR. FAGAN:	11	occasion in the contracts under O&M heading,
12	A. I don't know. I wouldn't expect to, but I	12	like sustaining capital will be charged to
13	don't know.	13	Hydro under the heading of O&M costs, and
14	(10:54 a.m.)	14	so, but then Hydro, from a regulated
15	MR. O'BRÍEN:	15	perspective, would have to not treat it as
16	Q. Can you look into that and provide us just	16	an O&M cost, but would have to deal with it
17	an update on what the amount of fees is?	17	through a proper amortization, so the
18	MR. FAGAN:	18	language being used in some of the Muskrat
19	A. I think I'd have to leave that to the	19	Falls contracts, the Muskrat Falls PPA
20	lawyers with regard to the confidentiality.	20	particularly, with regard to what they call
$20 \\ 21$	MR. O'BRIEN:	20	O&M costs, they may charge it to Hydro as an
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. And that's fine, if it's an issue with the	21	O&M, but Hydro wouldn't necessarily record
$\begin{bmatrix} 22\\23 \end{bmatrix}$	confidentiality –	22	it as an O&M or put it in purchase power
23	MR. FAGAN:	23	expense. There was one particular one, and
24 25	A. I think it might. And I'm not sure if	24 25	it's not in the LIL O&M costs, but with
23	A. I think it hight. And I in not sure if	25	It's not in the LIL Own costs, but with
	D 100		D 104
1	Page 122	1	Page 124
1	there's actually in there, but -	1	regard to in the agreements, I think you
2	there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN:	2	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block
2 3	there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay.	2 3	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if—
2 3 4	there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN:	2 3 4	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very
2 3 4 5	there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking?	2 3 4 5	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of
2 3 4 5 6	there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3 4 5 6	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it
2 3 4 5 6 7	there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with	2 3 4 5 6 7	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: A. Sure, we'll check. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays to pay all their debt costs, say in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: A. Sure, we'll check. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays to pay all their debt costs, say in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: A. Sure, we'll check. MS. GLYNN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays to pay all their debt costs, say in the first year, say 2020, for example, then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: A. Sure, we'll check. MS. GLYNN: Q. Okay, noted on the record. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays to pay all their debt costs, say in the first year, say 2020, for example, then there's a portion of the contract that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: A. Sure, we'll check. MS. GLYNN: Q. Okay, noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays to pay all their debt costs, say in the first year, say 2020, for example, then there's a portion of the contract that allows them to effectively borrow from Hydro
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: A. Sure, we'll check. MS. GLYNN: Q. Okay, noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Mr. LeBlanc had mentioned there was some 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays to pay all their debt costs, say in the first year, say 2020, for example, then there's a portion of the contract that allows them to effectively borrow from Hydro to pay that, those debt fees, and then they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: A. Sure, we'll check. MS. GLYNN: Q. Okay, noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Mr. LeBlanc had mentioned there was some things, possible things in the O&M costs which are not strictly O&M here. I think he 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays to pay all their debt costs, say in the first year, say 2020, for example, then there's a portion of the contract that allows them to effectively borrow from Hydro to pay that, those debt fees, and then they will pay Hydro back within, I think it's 36 months, which would include Hydro would get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: A. Sure, we'll check. MS. GLYNN: Q. Okay, noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Mr. LeBlanc had mentioned there was some things, possible things in the O&M costs which are not strictly O&M here. I think he used one reference as snowclearing. Is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays to pay all their debt costs, say in the first year, say 2020, for example, then there's a portion of the contract that allows them to effectively borrow from Hydro to pay that, those debt fees, and then they will pay Hydro back within, I think it's 36 months, which would include Hydro would get return back based on its cost of capital.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: A. Sure, we'll check. MS. GLYNN: Q. Okay, noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Mr. LeBlanc had mentioned there was some things, possible things in the O&M costs which are not strictly O&M here. I think he used one reference as snowclearing. Is there anything else that you would consider 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays to pay all their debt costs, say in the first year, say 2020, for example, then there's a portion of the contract that allows them to effectively borrow from Hydro to pay that, those debt fees, and then they will pay Hydro back within, I think it's 36 months, which would include Hydro would get return back based on its cost of capital. So Hydro is effectively a financer, in some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: A. Sure, we'll check. MS. GLYNN: Q. Okay, noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Mr. LeBlanc had mentioned there was some things, possible things in the O&M costs which are not strictly O&M here. I think he used one reference as snowclearing. Is there anything else that you would consider in the O&M costs that you are aware of that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays to pay all their debt costs, say in the first year, say 2020, for example, then there's a portion of the contract that allows them to effectively borrow from Hydro to pay that, those debt fees, and then they will pay Hydro back within, I think it's 36 months, which would include Hydro would get return back based on its cost of capital. So Hydro is effectively a financer, in some years if there's inadequate funding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: A. Sure, we'll check. MS. GLYNN: Q. Okay, noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Mr. LeBlanc had mentioned there was some things, possible things in the O&M costs which are not strictly O&M here. I think he used one reference as snowclearing. Is there anything else that you are aware of that may not be strictly O&M costs? 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays to pay all their debt costs, say in the first year, say 2020, for example, then there's a portion of the contract that allows them to effectively borrow from Hydro to pay that, those debt fees, and then they will pay Hydro back within, I think it's 36 months, which would include Hydro would get return back based on its cost of capital. So Hydro is effectively a financer, in some years if there's inadequate funding available through the rates that Hydro pays
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 there's actually in there, but - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, okay. MS. GLYNN: Q. Mr. O'Brien, did you want an undertaking? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. No, that's fine, I think we can deal with that just in terms of whether or not, if that's part of the application, if it's not, maybe you can give us an undertaking to confirm that arrangement. MR. FAGAN: A. Sure, we'll check. MS. GLYNN: Q. Okay, noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Mr. LeBlanc had mentioned there was some things, possible things in the O&M costs which are not strictly O&M here. I think he used one reference as snowclearing. Is there anything else that you would consider in the O&M costs that you are aware of that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regard to in the agreements, I think you questioned before about the base block recovery associated with Muskrat Falls, if— and I mentioned earlier that there's very little return in the early years because of the, this is the way it's set up, it required a two percent per year growth in the rate to provide—and so they got the return on the investments are back in to the contract. So in the early years, there's very little return and actually if they don't have enough funds from what Hydro pays to pay all their debt costs, say in the first year, say 2020, for example, then there's a portion of the contract that allows them to effectively borrow from Hydro to pay that, those debt fees, and then they will pay Hydro back within, I think it's 36 months, which would include Hydro would get return back based on its cost of capital. So Hydro is effectively a financer, in some years if there's inadequate funding

	D 105		
	Page 125	1	Page 127
	show up understand O&M, but it's not within		regulatory paradigm which we had presented.
2	your standard charges that you would so, so	2	MR. O'BRIEN:
3	it's almost like an affiliate loan that	3	Q. It would look different if you were coming
4	Hydro would get recovered later, but Nalcor	4	before the Board, you'd have that set up
5	would build it is as a revenue requirement	5	different.
6	from Hydro in their projections of what the	6	MR. FAGAN:
7	costs would be.	7	A. Right. And the focus on the numbers was
8	MR. O'BRIEN:	8	always, it was always looking at 2021
9	Q. So that's built into the costs post 2020?	9	numbers versus, because everybody was
10	MR. FAGAN:	10	looking at the 22.89 and what was behind it.
11	A. Yes.	11	There wasn't, Hydro never really did a lot
12	MR. O'BRIEN:	12	of regulatory review of the 2020 numbers, so
13	Q. Okay, so is there anything in the 2018, 2019	13	we put it in, but when the question, you
14	O&M costs that you're seeing from Nalcor	14	mentioned the question about the proportion,
15	that wouldn't be strictly O&M?	15	so I looked closer and that was one of the
16	MR. FAGAN:	16	items that I looked at.
17	A. I'm not, not that I'm aware of and I'm not	17	MR. O'BRIEN;
18	close enough to say, but not that I'm aware	18	Q. And that's where you saw that. Perhaps we
19	of. Those costs wouldn't be in '18 and '19.	19	can take a break at this point.
20	MR. O'BRIEN:	20	CHAIR:
21	Q. Those costs wouldn't be in '18 and '19.	20	Q. Thank you.
22	MR. FAGAN:	22	(RECESS 11:00 A.M.)
23	A. No.	23	(RECONVENED 11:33 A.M.)
24	MR. O'BRIEN:	24	CHAIR:
25	Q. But you're talking about a potential	25	Q. Did you want to note the—this is the updated
	Page 126		Page 128
1	Page 126 financing situation to recover debt into	1	Page 128 one. is it?
1 2	financing situation to recover debt into	1 2	one, is it?
2	financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21.	2	one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON:
2 3	financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN:	2 3	one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated
2 3 4	financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question	2 3 4	one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice
2 3 4 5	financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was	2 3 4 5	one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just
2 3 4 5 6	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for 	2 3 4 5 6	one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think there was about \$42 million dollars that was – 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit about Supplemental Evidence, if we can pull
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think there was about \$42 million dollars that was - MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit about Supplemental Evidence, if we can pull up, this is from July 20th, page 24, there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think there was about \$42 million dollars that was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit about Supplemental Evidence, if we can pull up, this is from July 20th, page 24, there's a section there on Expected Supply Scenario
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think there was about \$42 million dollars that was – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That was to cover that debt. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit about Supplemental Evidence, if we can pull up, this is from July 20th, page 24, there's a section there on Expected Supply Scenario Forecast Risk, and I think you've kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think there was about \$42 million dollars that was - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That was to cover that debt. MR. FAGAN: A. That was assumed in there as a revenue 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit about Supplemental Evidence, if we can pull up, this is from July 20th, page 24, there's a section there on Expected Supply Scenario Forecast Risk, and I think you've kind of touched on that already with your evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think there was about \$42 million dollars that was - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That was to cover that debt. MR. FAGAN: A. That was assumed in there as a revenue requirement to be recovered from Hydro, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit about Supplemental Evidence, if we can pull up, this is from July 20th, page 24, there's a section there on Expected Supply Scenario Forecast Risk, and I think you've kind of touched on that already with your evidence. So this particular section, there's some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think there was about \$42 million dollars that was – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That was to cover that debt. MR. FAGAN: A. That was assumed in there as a revenue requirement to be recovered from Hydro, but if Hydro is coming to the Board, Hydro 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit about Supplemental Evidence, if we can pull up, this is from July 20th, page 24, there's a section there on Expected Supply Scenario Forecast Risk, and I think you've kind of touched on that already with your evidence. So this particular section, there's some discussion about material uncertainty in the
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think there was about \$42 million dollars that was – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That was to cover that debt. MR. FAGAN: A. That was assumed in there as a revenue requirement to be recovered from Hydro, but if Hydro is coming to the Board, Hydro wouldn't put that in as a purchase power 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit about Supplemental Evidence, if we can pull up, this is from July 20th, page 24, there's a section there on Expected Supply Scenario Forecast Risk, and I think you've kind of touched on that already with your evidence. So this particular section, there's some discussion about material uncertainty in the savings in Hydro's fuel supply or supply
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think there was about \$42 million dollars that was - MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That was to cover that debt. MR. FAGAN: A. That was assumed in there as a revenue requirement to be recovered from Hydro, but if Hydro is coming to the Board, Hydro wouldn't put that in as a purchase power expense, Hydro would put that in as a debt 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit about Supplemental Evidence, if we can pull up, this is from July 20th, page 24, there's a section there on Expected Supply Scenario Forecast Risk, and I think you've kind of touched on that already with your evidence. So this particular section, there's some discussion about material uncertainty in the savings in Hydro's fuel supply or supply costs, and one of the uncertainties which it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think there was about \$42 million dollars that was – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That was to cover that debt. MR. FAGAN: A. That was assumed in there as a revenue requirement to be recovered from Hydro, but if Hydro is coming to the Board, Hydro wouldn't put that in as a purchase power expense, Hydro would put that in as a debt cost which would get future repayments for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit about Supplemental Evidence, if we can pull up, this is from July 20th, page 24, there's a section there on Expected Supply Scenario Forecast Risk, and I think you've kind of touched on that already with your evidence. So this particular section, there's some discussion about material uncertainty in the savings in Hydro's fuel supply or supply costs, and one of the uncertainties which it references is being referenced there as the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think there was about \$42 million dollars that was – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That was to cover that debt. MR. FAGAN: A. That was assumed in there as a revenue requirement to be recovered from Hydro, but if Hydro is coming to the Board, Hydro wouldn't put that in as a purchase power expense, Hydro would put that in as a debt cost which would get future repayments for an affiliate loan, so the interpretation and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit about Supplemental Evidence, if we can pull up, this is from July 20th, page 24, there's a section there on Expected Supply Scenario Forecast Risk, and I think you've kind of touched on that already with your evidence. So this particular section, there's some discussion about material uncertainty in the savings in Hydro's fuel supply or supply costs, and one of the uncertainties which it references is being referenced there as the availability of the LIL during the testing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 financing situation to recover debt into 2020 and '21. MR. FAGAN: A. So from 2020, you earlier asked a question about why 2020 the revenue requirement was higher than one would have anticipated for four months, versus a full year in 2021. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Right. MR. FAGAN: A. You think it might be one third, but I think there was about \$42 million dollars that was – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. That was to cover that debt. MR. FAGAN: A. That was assumed in there as a revenue requirement to be recovered from Hydro, but if Hydro is coming to the Board, Hydro wouldn't put that in as a purchase power expense, Hydro would put that in as a debt cost which would get future repayments for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 one, is it? MR. TEMPLETON: Q. Yes, Madam Chair, so it would be the updated U-79 has been circulated and you may notice that page 3 even appears different, just because of some formatting that we did to present it in that manner. CHAIR: Q. Thank you. Back to you, Mr. O'Brien. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Fagan, I wonder if we could move to, just speak a little bit about Supplemental Evidence, if we can pull up, this is from July 20th, page 24, there's a section there on Expected Supply Scenario Forecast Risk, and I think you've kind of touched on that already with your evidence. So this particular section, there's some discussion about material uncertainty in the savings in Hydro's fuel supply or supply costs, and one of the uncertainties which it references is being referenced there as the

August 6, 2018

NL Hydro 2017 GRA

	Page 129	_	Page 131
1	Hydro been able to put a probabilistic sort	1	Labrador at all, do you think?
2	of analysis on that, in terms of sort of the	2	MR. FAGAN:
3	likelihood of the LIL being available during	3	A. Yeah, this is the concept of the data
4	the test period?	4	centres and the uncertainty in how it would
5	MR. FAGAN:	5	be handling the cryptocurrency cost
6	A. I questioned the vice-president of	6	requests.
7	transmission for Nalcor a couple of weeks	7	MR. O'BRIEN:
8	ago, and he talked about working with GE	8	Q. Right.
9	feverishly but still targeting the date for	9	MR. FAGAN:
10	October 1st. But it's still uncertain.	10	A. The large number of requests we receive, we
10	MR. O'BRIEN:	11	still got ongoing separate proceedings
11		11	
	Q. Are there any sort of particular		dealing with that.
13	contingencies of concern that might delay	13	MR. O'BRIEN:
14	availability of the LIL?	14	Q. Right. And that's been carved out for a
15	MR. FAGAN:	15	separate matter, is there any other issue of
16	A. I can't say any more than that, that's	16	concern in terms of the amount of recapture
17	basically all I know.	17	energy, assuming that the LIL is in service,
18	MR. O'BRIEN:	18	say by the end of October, any other
19	Q. Okay. And in terms of the effect that would	19	concerns about, that might affect the amount
20	have on rates, I'm assuming that would just	20	of recapture that's available?
21	affect the savings that we talked about	21	MR. FAGAN:
22	earlier if there's a delay?	22	A. None that I was thinking of, no.
23	MR. FAGAN:	23	MR. O'BRIEN:
24	A. Well, I think it depends on how long it will	24	Q. Okay. And there's another uncertainty sort
25	be delayed because I believe from a	25	of reflected in this section about the
	-		
	Page 130		Page 132
1	Page 130 reliability perspective there's benefits of	1	Page 132 availability in pricing and purchases from
1 2	reliability perspective there's benefits of	1	availability in pricing and purchases from
2	reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter	2	availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the
2 3	reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island	2 3	availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere?
2 3 4	reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of	2 3 4	availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5	reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well.	2 3 4 5	availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both.
2 3 4 5 6	reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3 4 5 6	availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7	availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that this is not available, the LIL is not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that this is not available, the LIL is not available, any extra steps? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it depends if you've got another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that this is not available, the LIL is not available, any extra steps? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it depends if you've got another agreement that you can bring power in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that this is not available, the LIL is not available, any extra steps? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think we believe that's an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it depends if you've got another agreement that you can bring power in through Quebec, say, so that would, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that this is not available, the LIL is not available, any extra steps? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think we believe that's an expectation, so I'm not sure if we've gone 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it depends if you've got another agreement that you can bring power in through Quebec, say, so that would, the availability of how much you can bring in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that this is not available, the LIL is not available, any extra steps? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think we believe that's an expectation, so I'm not sure if we've gone down that road. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it depends if you've got another agreement that you can bring power in through Quebec, say, so that would, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that this is not available, the LIL is not available, any extra steps? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think we believe that's an expectation, so I'm not sure if we've gone 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it depends if you've got another agreement that you can bring power in through Quebec, say, so that would, the availability of how much you can bring in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that this is not available, the LIL is not available, any extra steps? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think we believe that's an expectation, so I'm not sure if we've gone down that road. 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it depends if you've got another agreement that you can bring power in through Quebec, say, so that would, the availability of how much you can bring in versus the cost of that power, that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that this is not available, the LIL is not available, any extra steps? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think we believe that's an expectation, so I'm not sure if we've gone down that road. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And there's another reference there about 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it depends if you've got another agreement that you can bring power in through Quebec, say, so that would, the availability of how much you can bring in versus the cost of that power, that would come into play, not just with regard to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that this is not available, the LIL is not available, any extra steps? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think we believe that's an expectation, so I'm not sure if we've gone down that road. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it depends if you've got another agreement that you can bring power in through Quebec, say, so that would, the availability of how much you can bring in versus the cost of that power, that would come into play, not just with regard to bringing over power over the Maritime Link.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that this is not available, the LIL is not available, any extra steps? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think we believe that's an expectation, so I'm not sure if we've gone down that road. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And there's another reference there about some uncertainty in the amount of recapture energy available from Labrador, and I 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it depends if you've got another agreement that you can bring power in through Quebec, say, so that would, the availability of how much you can bring in versus the cost of that power, that would come into play, not just with regard to bringing over power over the Maritime Link. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And is there any further sort of steps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 reliability perspective there's benefits of having of LIL in service for the winter season as well, so it isn't just off-island purchases, but the capacity benefits of being interconnected as well. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So not just sayings, yeah. MR. FAGAN: A. From a winter readiness perspective it was delayed longer. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And has Hydro done anything in terms of being prepared for winter in the event that this is not available, the LIL is not available, any extra steps? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't think we believe that's an expectation, so I'm not sure if we've gone down that road. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And there's another reference there about some uncertainty in the amount of recapture 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 availability in pricing and purchases from other jurisdictions, is that all over the Maritime Link or is that elsewhere? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's both. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Both? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And what kind of, can you speak to the nature of that risk, and how Hydro has assessed it? MR. FAGAN: A. I think it depends if you've got another agreement that you can bring power in through Quebec, say, so that would, the availability of how much you can bring in versus the cost of that power, that would come into play, not just with regard to bringing over power over the Maritime Link. MR. O'BRIEN:

Ê	agas	D 100		
		Page 133		Page 135
	1	A. I'm not aware of anything.	1	through this as well just in terms of the
	2	MR. O'BRIEN:	2	revenue deficiencies/credit I guess for
	3	Q. And from a sort of overall perspective –	3	2018, that could outstrip that entire
	4	MR. FAGAN:	4	credit, say, for island industrial—sorry,
	5	A. So I was referring—sorry, but I was assuming	5	island interconnected customers, is that
	6	through Quebec in the discussions through	6	fair?
	7	the reservation.	7	MR. FAGAN:
	8	MR. O'BRIEN:	8	A. That's fair.
	9		9	MR. O'BRIEN:
		Q. Yeah, no, I understand. In terms of the		
	10	overall outcome for customers, we talk about	10	Q. As I think we're looking at sort of a 21
	11	the recapture power and what's available, if	11	million dollar credit that could outstrip
	12	that amount that we talked about earlier,	12	that –
	13	say the 493 gigawatt hours, if that's	13	MR. FAGAN:
	14	overstated, how would that affect rates for	14	A. Prior to the update we were assuming 12.3
	15	customers?	15	million.
1	16	MR. FAGAN:	16	MR. O'BRIEN:
1	17	A. So if the, so that would reduce the amount	17	Q. Right.
1	18	of, primarily reduce the amount of recapture	18	MR. FAGAN:
	19	that could be brought down, and it would	19	A. But even then, that was assuming that you
	20	increase the Holyrood production.	20	had LIL in July, but you could only run it
	21	MR. O'BRIEN:	21	at, that was 110 megawatts in the late fall,
	22	Q. Right, so reduce savings.	22	but yes, you certainly would have, you could
	23	MR. FAGAN:	23	have costs for, you may have a deficiency in
	24	A. For example, if we went to Table 3,	23	2018 because when Hydrophology or if you
	25	actually, let's go to Table 1 first, please,	24	went back to the isolated systems scenario,
L_	25		23	•
	1	Page 134	1	Page 136
	1	page 2. So we've got 493 gigawatt hour	1	there's huge amount of capital investments
	2	assumption in 2018, and if we lost, if it	2	since the 2015 test year, so you're dealing
	3	was delayed, you know, say two months, so	3	with over 500 million dollars in additional
	4	we're dealing with October, November,	4	capital costs, so what we're doing is we're
	5	December, and that's during those last three	5	taking all these savings and avoiding an
	6	months is where it assumes you could be at	6	increase to recover all those costs, and so
	7	225 megawatts, so it's a fairly safe	7	if we don't get the savings, then those
	8	assumption you would lose at least half of	8	costs are still there.
	9	that, I would expect.	9	MR. O'BRIEN:
	10	MR. O'BRIEN:	10	Q. Still there, yes. And since it could have
	11	Q. Yes.	11	that kind of an impact, what steps has Hydro
	12	MR. FAGAN:	12	done to ensure that things are moving along
	13	A. And so if you took 250 gigawatt hours at the	13	appropriately, say with the LIL? Has Hydro
	14	price of fuel in the current, for financial	14	constantly updated and trying to make sure
	14	results in 2018 as 10.4 cents, so you're	14	that the LIL is moving forward as planned,
	16		15 16	
		dealing with about, you know, \$25 million	10	as best Hydro can do?
	17	dollars in the swing, that would be in the		MR. FAGAN:
	18	deferral account for future recovery from	18	A. It's my understanding that there's meetings
	19	customers. Because the cost of that power	19	frequently on that matter and they are kept
	20	is .2 cents, so it's really almost nothing,	20	informed. I thought your initial question
		1 C		was going to be what steps have Hydro done
		Q. Okay. Compared to the savings, yes. So		going to say we proposed the off-island
1 2	24	you're looking at a significant swing then	24	purchase deferral account, but with regard
	25	and if you look at, and I'll take you	25	to the system perspective, yes, I think
	21 22 23 24	you're looking at a significant swing then		with regard to a rate perspective, and I was going to say we proposed the off-island purchase deferral account, but with regard

	\$1.0, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 OKA
	Page 137		Page 139
1	we're closely engaged with the people who	1	MR. O'BRIEN:
2	are working on it with regard to the timing	2	Q. Okay. Can we turn to Table 5 on page 9 of
3	and status updates, I'm sure our	3	this document? I just wanted to touch on
4	transmission people have updates all the	4	the revenue surplus credit, I guess I'll
5	times.	5	call it, for 2018, so this is your revenue,
6	MR. O'BRIEN:	6	projected test year revenue deficiency or
7	Q. Let's suppose you did have a couple of month	7	excess by customer class.
8	delay there, would there ever be a point	8	MR. FAGAN:
9	where you could see the O&M costs	9	A. Yes.
10	outstripping the savings in 2018?	10	MR. O'BRIEN:
11	MR. FAGAN:	11	Q. So that shows the impact of settlement
12	A. We see, if the assets continue to be	12	agreements on revenue requirement, is that
13	delayed, we won't be paying the O&M costs.	13	right?
14	MR. O'BRIEN:	14	MR. FAGAN:
15	Q. Yeah, no, I understand that, I'm just	15	A. Yes, that's correct.
16	wondering if depending on how much energy is	16	MR. O'BRIEN:
17	available, say it's a delay in December and	17	Q. And so in terms of what I read there for
18	there's only so much energy is available,	18	Newfoundland Power's customers, you're
19	would you be paying the—is there ever an	19	expecting in 2018 based on the expected
$\frac{1}{20}$	opportunity where that, or a possibility	20	supply, I guess at this point, to have a
$\frac{20}{21}$	where the O&M costs could outstrip those	20	21.2 million dollar credit?
$21 \\ 22$	*	21	(11:45 a.m.)
$\begin{bmatrix} 22\\23 \end{bmatrix}$	savings? MR. FAGAN:	22	MR. FAGAN:
$23 \\ 24$	A. I haven't done the math, but I mean, if	23 24	A. Yes, that's correct, so the revenue forecast
24	you're down to, for three months, you're	24 25	that was computed in that table would be
23		23	
1	Page 138	1	Page 140
	paying 8 million, so if it was even for one	1	based on the rates that were in play for the
2	month then, so you'd be paying say 2.7		tingt give months of '10 and than the next
		2	first six months of '18 and then the new
3	million, if it was just one third of it,	3	rates that went in play for July 1st.
4	million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could	3 4	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN:
4 5	million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them	3 4 5	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at –
4 5 6	million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that.	3 4	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN:
4 5 6 7	million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN:	3 4 5 6 7	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on
4 5 6 7 8	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. 	3 4 5 6 7 8	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the
4 5 6 7 8 9	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way or another from customers? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and so that includes the interim rates
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way or another from customers? MR. FAGAN: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and so that includes the interim rates as of July 1?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way or another from customers? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, strictly for '18 if that did happen, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and so that includes the interim rates as of July 1? MR. FAGAN:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way or another from customers? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, strictly for '18 if that did happen, that's the mechanics of it. I just don't 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and so that includes the interim rates as of July 1? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way or another from customers? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, strictly for '18 if that did happen, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and so that includes the interim rates as of July 1? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way or another from customers? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, strictly for '18 if that did happen, that's the mechanics of it. I just don't know if it's practical that it could even occur. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and so that includes the interim rates as of July 1? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And that interim rate included, was it 2.8
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way or another from customers? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, strictly for '18 if that did happen, that's the mechanics of it. I just don't know if it's practical that it could even 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and so that includes the interim rates as of July 1? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way or another from customers? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, strictly for '18 if that did happen, that's the mechanics of it. I just don't know if it's practical that it could even occur. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and so that includes the interim rates as of July 1? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And that interim rate included, was it 2.8 percent increase related to the GRA proposals and the rest was –
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way or another from customers? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, strictly for '18 if that did happen, that's the mechanics of it. I just don't know if it's practical that it could even occur. MR. O'BRIEN: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and so that includes the interim rates as of July 1? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And that interim rate included, was it 2.8 percent increase related to the GRA
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way or another from customers? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, strictly for '18 if that did happen, that's the mechanics of it. I just don't know if it's practical that it could even occur. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's not likely to happen. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and so that includes the interim rates as of July 1? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And that interim rate included, was it 2.8 percent increase related to the GRA proposals and the rest was – MR. FAGAN: A. Our preliminary estimates was 2.8, but once
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way or another from customers? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, strictly for '18 if that did happen, that's the mechanics of it. I just don't know if it's practical that it could even occur. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's not likely to happen. MR. FAGAN: A. Well if the reduced purchases are related to the LIL being in service, I don't think it 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and so that includes the interim rates as of July 1? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And that interim rate included, was it 2.8 percent increase related to the GRA proposals and the rest was – MR. FAGAN:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 million, if it was just one third of it, okay. I'm sure that in one month we could get enough savings over recall even in them few days to offset that. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So I don't think that would be a concern. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. If there was, then that would be recovered in the deferral account, one way or another from customers? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, strictly for '18 if that did happen, that's the mechanics of it. I just don't know if it's practical that it could even occur. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's not likely to happen. MR. FAGAN: A. Well if the reduced purchases are related to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 rates that went in play for July 1st. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the interim rates are at – MR. FAGAN: A. So it's the full revenue forecast based on the rates in effect for '18 and against the cost of service study for 2018 reflecting the savings from off-island purchases, you get the 420 million, so you'd compute the excess revenues of 21.2. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, and so that includes the interim rates as of July 1? MR. FAGAN: A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And that interim rate included, was it 2.8 percent increase related to the GRA proposals and the rest was – MR. FAGAN: A. Our preliminary estimates was 2.8, but once

Augus	st 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GKA
	Page 141		Page 143
1	MR. O'BRIEN:	1	MR. O'BRIEN:
2	Q. 2.6, okay.	2	Q. Yeah, okay, so if we turn to the next page
3	MR. FAGAN:	3	there, I think we will see that table, keep
4	A. And it was 4.2 for the RSP effect, so	4	turning, it's Table 7, I think it is. Yeah,
5	overall 6.8 percent.	5	so is that the net there then?
6	MR. O'BRIEN:	6	MR. FAGAN:
7	Q. 6.8, okay, so that was the overall figure	7	A. That's showing the deferred supply costs of
8	and that included CDM adjustments as well,	8	the 60 million and the excess revenues of
9	is that right?	9	the 21.2, so if you take that difference and
10	MR. FAGAN:	10	divide by 20 months, you get the 1.9 million
11	A. Yes, but 2.6 would have been the effect of	11	per month.
12	the interim rates.	12	MR. O'BRIEN:
13	MR. O'BRIEN:	13	Q. Okay, so that's netting out both of those.
14 15	Q. Of the interim rates, okay, yes, and did that include rural deficit allocations	14 15	MR. FAGAN:
15		15 16	A. That's correct, yes. MR. O'BRIEN:
17	picked up by Newfoundland Power? MR. FAGAN:	10	
17	A. Could you explain your question a bit	17	Q. Okay. Let me ask you in terms of the 21.2 million for 2018 and that excess, I presume
10	clearer with regard to the—oh, with regard	10	that would be understated if the Board does
$\frac{19}{20}$	to the test year revenue requirement?	20	not approve recovery of the LIL and LTA O&M
$20 \\ 21$	MR. O'BRIEN:	20	costs? Would there be a higher figure?
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. Yes.	21	MR. FAGAN:
$\begin{vmatrix} 22\\23 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. FAGAN:	22	A. Well, yes, currently there will be 8 million
24	A. So the test year revenue requirement	23	dollars in 2018, so if you remove those, the
25	reflected there does reflect the allocation	25	excess revenue, well, that 8 million
	Page 142		Page 144
1	of the rural deficit in the 2018 test year.	1	dollars, 8.4 million would go to the cost of
2	MR. O'BRIEN:	2	service of which Newfoundland Power would
3	Q. Okay. So I understand at this point that	3	get about 90 percent, so you'd probably have
4	this credit, the 21.2 excess that from the	4	about a 7 million dollar increase at the
5	evidence here that Hydro is proposing to	5	excess revenue in 2018.
6	credit that against the balance claimed in	6	MR. O'BRIEN:
7	the energy supply cost variance deferral	7	Q. Okay, that's what I was going to ask.
8	account, is that right? How does that work?	8	MR. FAGAN:
9	MR. FAGAN:	9	A. I think that would be a fair –
10	A. Well the settlement agreement provides for a	10	MR. O'BRIEN:
11	20-month amortization for both the excess	11	Q. In that range of 7 million dollars, 90
12	revenues or revenue deficiency when we	12	percent of whatever that ballpark?
13	settled.	13	MR. FAGAN:
14	MR. O'BRIEN:	14	A. Ballpark, yeah.
15	Q. Right.	15	MR. O'BRIEN:
16	MR. FAGAN:	16	Q. Okay, and would, in the event that's the
17	A. As well as a 20-month amortization	17	case, would Hydro be, I guess using—that 21
18	associated with whatever amount the Board	18	would be a 28 here on that table, under fee,
19	approves for the deferred supply costs, so	19	something in that range?
20	the net of the two is effectively what's	20	MR. FAGAN:
21	being computed in the evidence.	21	A. Yes, assuming the Board approved the
22	MR. O'BRIEN:	22	deferred supply costs, the full recovery of
23	Q. Okay, in the next table after.	23	the 60.1 million.
24	MR. FAGAN:	24	MR. O'BRIEN:
25	A. Right.	25	Q. Right, okay, yes, exactly, that's my next

	st 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
	Page 145		Page 147
1	question, sort of assuming that the complete	1	MR. O'BRIEN:
2	deferred supply cost is recoverable, if	2	Q. Right.
3	there is a reduction in what's proposed,	3	MR. FAGAN:
4	versus what's recoverable, then that monthly	4	A. So say 37 million, so if you didn't have any
5	charge would be affected as well?	5	savings –
6	MR. FAGAN:	6	MR. O'BRIEN:
		7	
7	A. Yes, this assumes the deferred supply cost		Q. If you didn't have any savings, you'd
8	for recovery over 20 months, as well as the,	8	outstrip it, there'd be an increase in 2018.
9	in your case would be the recalculation of	9	MR. FAGAN:
10	the cost of service excluding LIL, LTA and	10	A. Yeah, you'd have, like, 16 million dollars—I
11	O&M for 2018, you end up with power being at	11	think if you went back to our, one of our
12	2.5 million monthly, instead of 1.9 type	12	applications where we've shown a revenue
13	thing.	13	deficiency for Newfoundland Power of around
14	MR. O'BRIEN:	14	15 to 16 million dollars, so that's what we
15	Q. Okay.	15	would be talking about.
16	MR. FAGAN:	16	MR. O'BRIEN:
17	A. So just take 7 million divide it by 12 and	17	Q. Yeah, okay.
18	added extra 1.9.	18	MR. FAGAN:
19	MR. O'BRIEN:	19	A. Now, of course, that depends on what the
20	Q. Sorry, can you take me through that again?	20	fuel price assumption is, as you're losing
$20 \\ 21$	MR. FAGAN:	20	it with, if you're losing your purchases,
$21 \\ 22$		21	
	A. Oh, okay, so if the 7 million extra		we've assumed the certain fuel price, but if
23	estimated savings, you just divided that by	23	you've got a delay in LIL and the fuel costs
24	12, you get \$600,000 a month—sorry, I should	24	go up as well, you get your worse case
25	have divided by 20 because it's been over 20	25	scenario.
	Page 146		Page 148
1	months.	1	MR. O'BRIEN:
2	months. MR. O'BRIEN:	2	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for
	months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period.	2 3	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better?
2	months. MR. O'BRIEN:	2	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for
2 3	months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period.	2 3	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better?
2 3 4	months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better?MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5	months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month.	2 3 4 5 6	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact.
2 3 4 5 6 7	months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3 4 5 6	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that affect that figure? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down, then it affects it, but –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that affect that figure? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down, then it affects it, but – MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that affect that figure? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it could affect it materially. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down, then it affects it, but – MR. FAGAN: A. Then it's still bad, but it's just not as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that affect that figure? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it could affect it materially. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down, then it affects it, but – MR. FAGAN: A. Then it's still bad, but it's just not as bad.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that affect that figure? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it could affect it materially. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and it could, like you said earlier, 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down, then it affects it, but – MR. FAGAN: A. Then it's still bad, but it's just not as bad. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that affect that figure? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it could affect it materially. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and it could, like you said earlier, it could actually outstrip it? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down, then it affects it, but – MR. FAGAN: A. Then it's still bad, but it's just not as bad. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Not as bad. The monthly charge there, well
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that affect that figure? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it could affect it materially. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and it could, like you said earlier, it could actually outstrip it? MR. FAGAN: 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down, then it affects it, but – MR. FAGAN: A. Then it's still bad, but it's just not as bad. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Not as bad. The monthly charge there, well let me ask you, the monthly charge there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that affect that figure? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it could affect it materially. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and it could, like you said earlier, it could actually outstrip it? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, you'd have a—well, we've got 44 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down, then it affects it, but – MR. FAGAN: A. Then it's still bad, but it's just not as bad. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Not as bad. The monthly charge there, well let me ask you, the monthly charge there is in a cost there, I wonder can you break out,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that affect that figure? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it could affect it materially. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and it could, like you said earlier, it could actually outstrip it? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, you'd have a—well, we've got 44 million dollars in the cost of service study 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down, then it affects it, but – MR. FAGAN: A. Then it's still bad, but it's just not as bad. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Not as bad. The monthly charge there, well let me ask you, the monthly charge there is in a cost there, I wonder can you break out, I did ask Ms. Hutchens this, but in terms of
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that affect that figure? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it could affect it materially. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and it could, like you said earlier, it could actually outstrip it? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, you'd have a—well, we've got 44 million dollars in the cost of service study in 2018, of which 90 percent of that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down, then it affects it, but – MR. FAGAN: A. Then it's still bad, but it's just not as bad. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Not as bad. The monthly charge there, well let me ask you, the monthly charge there is in a cost there, I wonder can you break out, I did ask Ms. Hutchens this, but in terms of the cost per kilowatt hour of the 21 million
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that affect that figure? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it could affect it materially. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and it could, like you said earlier, it could actually outstrip it? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, you'd have a—well, we've got 44 million dollars in the cost of service study in 2018, of which 90 percent of that approximately would go to Newfoundland 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down, then it affects it, but – MR. FAGAN: A. Then it's still bad, but it's just not as bad. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Not as bad. The monthly charge there, well let me ask you, the monthly charge there is in a cost there, I wonder can you break out, I did ask Ms. Hutchens this, but in terms of the cost per kilowatt hour of the 21 million and the 60, are you able to break those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 months. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's a 20-month period. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, so that would be \$350,000 a month. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So the monthly charge would be reduced by that? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd need about 2.3 million. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. And if that 21.2 million, if there's a delay in the LIL coming in, would that affect that figure? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, it could affect it materially. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and it could, like you said earlier, it could actually outstrip it? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, you'd have a—well, we've got 44 million dollars in the cost of service study in 2018, of which 90 percent of that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, and if they go down, it could be for the better? MR. FAGAN: A. Well it wouldn't be as much of an impact. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. So if you've got a delay, it's not good, but if the fuel cost is up, then it's even worse. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's even worse, and if fuel costs go down, then it affects it, but – MR. FAGAN: A. Then it's still bad, but it's just not as bad. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Not as bad. The monthly charge there, well let me ask you, the monthly charge there is in a cost there, I wonder can you break out, I did ask Ms. Hutchens this, but in terms of the cost per kilowatt hour of the 21 million

Augus	st 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GKA
	Page 149		Page 151
1	MR. FAGAN:	1	on the wholesale.
2	A. I did it in total, but the, so what we would	2	MR. O'BRIEN:
3	normally do, and it wouldn't matter if you	3	Q. On the wholesale, yes.
4	broke it separate or in total, we've,	4	MR. FAGAN:
5	Newfoundland Power's tail block rate is set	5	A. For purposes of the Supplemental Evidence,
6	based on the price of fuel in Holyrood.	6	we've assumed a January 1st implementation on
7	MR. O'BRIEN:	7	certain, I'm calling it implementation, but
8	Q. Okay.	8	for the purposes of the math, that's what
9	MR. FAGAN:	9	we've done.
10	A. So we've continued that practice, so what	10	MR. O'BRIEN:
11	would happen here is, on a cent per kilowatt	11	Q. So if you got into a July 1 implementation,
12	hour, you'd just put it on the first block	12	the math itself.
13	energy charge, so from a rate design	13	MR. FAGAN:
14	perspective, that would be about .777 cents	14	A. You've got a rate increase required for
15	per kilowatt hour, that would be the monthly	15	2019, so you would have to build a revenue
16	charge.	16	deficiency –
17	MR. O'BRIEN:	17	MR. O'BRIEN:
18	Q. That's the monthly charge, the 1.9?	18	Q. Into that, yeah.
19	MR. FAGAN:	19	MR. FAGAN:
20	A. Yes.	20	A into building what your rate change would
20	MR. O'BRIEN:	20	be for July, so I'd be concerned as well
$21 \\ 22$		21	because I mean, if Muskrat Falls, the
22	Q. Okay. That's recovered in a rider then over that 20 month pariod?	22	
	that 20 month period? MR. FAGAN:		generating plants to be in service September
24 25		24	1, 2020, would be hoping to have a
23	A. Yes, and that would just be discontinued,	25	proceeding in trying to get rates in play
	Page 150		Page 152
	say, on September 1st of 2020.	1	for the fall of 2020.
2	MR. O'BRIEN:	2	MR. O'BRIEN:
3	Q. And I take it that that's calculated on the	3	Q. In advance, right.
4	basis or the assumption of in-service at	4	MR. FAGAN:
5	Muskrat Falls in September, was that the	5	A. So doing both, including cost of service
6	plan?	6	hearing, rate design for Newfoundland Power,
7	MR. FAGAN:	7	supply cost mechanism, if you, all at the
8	A. Yes, but if it was, even if it was delayed,	8	same time of not having a new compliance
9	the rider would expire, or you could,	9	filing for this CRA, would put things in a
10	there's other option, you could build it	10	very difficult position for implementing
11	into it as an amortization and then it would	11	rates for Muskrat Falls.
12	just be considered part of the costs in the	12	MR. O'BRIEN:
13	2020 test year. So there's a couple of	13	Q. But if you did go to July in terms of that,
14	different ways of doing it, but from a-but	14	you'd have to recover the revenue deficiency
15	it provides full recovery and it ensures the	15	anyway for –
16	customer doesn't overrun their pay by doing	16	MR. FAGAN:
17	it as a rider and a fixed amount per month.	17	A. Yeah, you'd have a higher rate increase.
1 1 0	MR. O'BRIEN:	18	MR. O'BRIEN:
18		10	Q. A higher rate increase, but –
18 19	Q. Yes, okay. So in terms of 2019 rates, I	19	Q. If inglief fate increase, out
	Q. Yes, okay. So in terms of 2019 rates, I gather from this evidence that Hydro	19 20	MR. FAGAN:
19	Q. Yes, okay. So in terms of 2019 rates, I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19 20	Q. Yes, okay. So in terms of 2019 rates, I gather from this evidence that Hydro	20	MR. FAGAN:
19 20 21	Q. Yes, okay. So in terms of 2019 rates, I gather from this evidence that Hydro projects an increase to Newfoundland Power's	20 21	MR. FAGAN: A. Slightly high rate, well, it's about, I'm
19 20 21 22	Q. Yes, okay. So in terms of 2019 rates, I gather from this evidence that Hydro projects an increase to Newfoundland Power's customers as of January 1 of 1.2 percent, is	20 21 22	MR. FAGAN:A. Slightly high rate, well, it's about, I'm not going to do the math in my head, in this
19 20 21 22 23	Q. Yes, okay. So in terms of 2019 rates, I gather from this evidence that Hydro projects an increase to Newfoundland Power's customers as of January 1 of 1.2 percent, is that right?	20 21 22 23	MR. FAGAN:A. Slightly high rate, well, it's about, I'm not going to do the math in my head, in this case.

Augu	\$1.0, 2018		NL HYUIO 2017 OKA
	Page 153		Page 155
1	MR. FAGAN:	1	Schedule 5, page 2 of 3, so about six
2	A. But if you're dealing with a 1.9 percent	2	columns, 2019 test year revenue requirement.
3	increase, generally for Newfoundland Power's	3	MR. O'BRIEN:
4	customers, probably 60 percent of the	4	Q. Right.
5	billings are in the first six months and 40	5	MR. FAGAN:
6	percent in the second six months, so it	6	A. So that's the revenue requirement for
7	would be more than double, the increase if	7	Newfoundland Power, including some of the
8	you pushed it with respect to the 2019 test	8	adjustments, the 444 including RSP is the
9	year revenue requirement itself.	9	impact strictly of the GRA, the 2019 test
10	MR. O'BRIEN:	10	year. And then you've got the excess
11	Q. Okay, all right.	11	revenue going against it there, the 12
12	MR. FAGAN:	12	million.
13	A. And your amortizations then as well, that	13	MR. O'BRIEN:
14	would have started in January, wouldn't	14	Q. Okay.
15	start until July, so –	15	MR. FAGAN:
16	MR. O'BRIEN:	16	A. You've got your recovery of deferred supply
17	Q. That would have to be built in.	17	costs, so those numbers of 12.7 and 36,
18	MR. FAGAN:	18	they're annualized numbers.
19	A. That would have to build up the increase	19	MR. O'BRIEN:
20		20	
	higher as well, and I think in July, there's currently a RSP credit rider applied to		
21	5 11	21	MR. FAGAN:
22	customers for a—so in updating the RSP last	22	A. So you got the total divided by the 20 times
23	year, there would have been a credit balance	23	12.
24	for this position and so, we've effectively	24	MR. O'BRIEN:
25	refund flowing against our current rates, so	25	Q. Yeah, okay.
	Page 154		Page 156
1	the rates are set lower and I think the	1	MR. FAGAN:
2	expiration of that credit would result in an	2	A. And came up with the 468 and so that gets
3	increase of around 2.7 percent next July, so	3	our change of 8.8 million which is around
4	that would be compounded on whatever you	4	1.9 percent on wholesale, which is estimated
5	would be doing with regard to the GRA.	5	at 1.2 percent on the end consumer.
6	MR. O'BRIEN:	6	MR. O'BRIEN:
7	Q. So that 1.2 percent, does that include the	7	Q. Okay, so in terms of the proposal, say for
8	supply cost rate rider?	8	the rate case, in terms of the Holyrood
9	MR. FAGAN:	9	supply or deferral account and the isolated
10	A. That's all in.	10	systems deferral account, all of that is
11	MR. O'BRIEN:	11	based into that recovery of deferred supply
12	Q. It's all in, okay, so that includes Holyrood	12	costs, is that right?
13			-
	conversion account isolated systems	13	MR. FAGAN:
	conversion account, isolated systems account all of that?	13 14	MR. FAGAN: A That's correct
14	account, all of that?	14	A. That's correct.
14 15	account, all of that? MR. FAGAN:	14 15	A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN:
14 15 16	account, all of that? MR. FAGAN: A. Can you just repeat that?	14 15 16	A. That's correct.MR. O'BRIEN:Q. Okay, so there's nothing left out in terms
14 15 16 17	account, all of that? MR. FAGAN: A. Can you just repeat that? MR. O'BRIEN:	14 15 16 17	 A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, so there's nothing left out in terms of any material costs?
14 15 16 17 18	account, all of that? MR. FAGAN: A. Can you just repeat that? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, just trying to get a flavour for the	14 15 16 17 18	 A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, so there's nothing left out in terms of any material costs? MR. FAGAN:
14 15 16 17 18 19	account, all of that? MR. FAGAN: A. Can you just repeat that? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, just trying to get a flavour for the 1.2 that it includes all of the supply cost	14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, so there's nothing left out in terms of any material costs? MR. FAGAN: A. The only thing that is left out is because
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	account, all of that? MR. FAGAN: A. Can you just repeat that? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, just trying to get a flavour for the 1.2 that it includes all of the supply cost rate rider and –	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, so there's nothing left out in terms of any material costs? MR. FAGAN: A. The only thing that is left out is because this was a projection, assumed to be January
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	account, all of that? MR. FAGAN: A. Can you just repeat that? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, just trying to get a flavour for the 1.2 that it includes all of the supply cost rate rider and – MR. FAGAN:	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, so there's nothing left out in terms of any material costs? MR. FAGAN: A. The only thing that is left out is because this was a projection, assumed to be January 1st, is that we didn't include the July 1st
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	account, all of that? MR. FAGAN: A. Can you just repeat that? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, just trying to get a flavour for the 1.2 that it includes all of the supply cost rate rider and – MR. FAGAN: A. Let's go to the evidence for a minute, the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, so there's nothing left out in terms of any material costs? MR. FAGAN: A. The only thing that is left out is because this was a projection, assumed to be January 1st, is that we didn't include the July 1st assumption for the conclusion of a RSP
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	account, all of that? MR. FAGAN: A. Can you just repeat that? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, just trying to get a flavour for the 1.2 that it includes all of the supply cost rate rider and – MR. FAGAN: A. Let's go to the evidence for a minute, the schedules and calculates a rate change for	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, so there's nothing left out in terms of any material costs? MR. FAGAN: A. The only thing that is left out is because this was a projection, assumed to be January 1st, is that we didn't include the July 1st assumption for the conclusion of a RSP credit, which is assumed to be 2.7 in July
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	account, all of that? MR. FAGAN: A. Can you just repeat that? MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Yeah, just trying to get a flavour for the 1.2 that it includes all of the supply cost rate rider and – MR. FAGAN: A. Let's go to the evidence for a minute, the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's correct. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay, so there's nothing left out in terms of any material costs? MR. FAGAN: A. The only thing that is left out is because this was a projection, assumed to be January 1st, is that we didn't include the July 1st assumption for the conclusion of a RSP

Page 157Page 1572Page 1582N.R. FAGAN:3A. That's correct.4M.R. FAGAN:5Q. Okay.5Q. Okay.6Q. And you mentioned earlier about all of this7A. We did if for island industrial customers'8because the island industrial customers'9rates, RSP would be updated at the same time10as the GRA assumption for January 1s, we10as the GRA assumption for January 1s, we11as the GRA assumption for January 1s, we12well.13RC Parcent for 2019.14Q. Okay. all right. But you didn't include it15for July 1st for -16MR. FAGAN:17A. No, because we were strictly talking about18MR. FAGAN:19MR. C'BRIEN:19A. Utimit's 2.7.20Q. So that 1.2, once that 2.321percent for 2019.23A. Tubrik i's 2.7.24MR. FAGAN:25Q. I's 2.7, sorry.26Q. So the 1.2, once that 2.327A. Tubrik i's 2.7.28Page 15874Page 15875		30,2010		NE Hydio 2017 OKA
2 MR. FAGAN: 2 A. Iwouldh' be surprised if it's around 6, between 6 and 7 percent. 3 MR. O'BRIFN: 3 between 6 and 7 percent. 4 MR. O'BRIFN: 5 Q. Okay, 5 Q. Okay, 5 Q. And you mentioned earlier about all of this solved on the remainder of T 7 A. We did it for island industrial customers' 5 Q. And you mentioned earlier about all of this solved on the remainder of Hydro's proposals being accepted by the earlier and interms of the GRA, as well as the GRA assumption for January 1st, well. 10 as the GRA assumption for January 1st, well. 10 let's say the O&M costs for the Lift and LTA and LTA ind the event, let's say the O&M costs for the Lift and LTA ind the event of the GRA, as well as the GRA assumption for January 1st. 11 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 12 percent for 2019. 14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it for slaw you didn't include it for SAGAN: 17 Q. And by ully, where does it look? 15 A. Think is '2.7. 16 MR. FAGAN: 17 Q. And by ully, where does it look? 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 20 would happen from a July perspective is that unwild be a slightly higher 26 Q. Think it's 2.7. 21 acculated before wouid be a slighthy higher	1 1		1	•
3 A. That's correct. 3 between 6 and 7 percent. 4 MR. O'BRIEN: 4 MR. O'BRIEN: 5 Q. Okay. 6 MR. C'BRIEN: 6 MR. FAGAN: 6 S. Q. Mad you mentioned earlier about all of this is speed on the remainder of the GRA, as well as the or rates, RSP would be updated at the same time of the GRA assumption for January 1st, well. 6 9 Geferred supply costs, but in the event, and LTA are not included by the Board in terms of the GRA, as well as the or rates, RSP would and it include it for fully 1st for – 11 are not included by the Board in terms of 2019. 14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it for fully 1st for – 13 A. No, because we were strictly talking about the rate change January 1st, well. 13 14 MR. FAGAN: 15 for July 1st for – 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 16 MR. PAGAN: 17 Q. And by July, where does it look? 18 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 20 Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 20 Nowell happen from a July perspective is that it would happen from a July perspective is that it would happen from a July perspective is that it would be divided by a lower base revenue, it would happen from a July perspective is that it would happen from a July perspective is that it would happen from a July perspective is that it woul				
4 MR. O'BRLEN: 4 MR. O'BRLEN: 5 Q. Okay; 5 Q. And you mentioned earlier about all of this 6 MR. FAGAN: 5 Q. And you mentioned earlier about all of this 7 A. We did it for island industrial customers' 5 Q. And you mentioned earlier about all of this 9 rates, RSP would be updated at the same time 6 is, I presume, is based on the remainder of 10 as the GRA assumption for January 1st, well. 10 let's say the O&M costs for the LL and LTA 11 included the RSP effect in their math as 12 what's recoverable, where does that put the 12 well. 13 2 percent for 2019. 14 14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it 15 A. It becomes -5.1, I'm pretty sure. 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 2 percent for 2019. 17 A. No, because we were strictly talking about the AGAN: 17 Q. And hy July, where does it look? 17 MR. O'BRIEN: 20 Q. So that 1.2, once that 2.3 20 would happen from July perspective is that 20 Veah, Asky, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 20 So that the number that would have	1			-
5 Q. Ckay. 5 Q. And you mentioned earlier about all of this is, 1 presume, is based on the remainder of 7 7 A. We did it for island industrial customers' 6 6 6 8 because the island industrial customers' 8 Board in terms of the GRA, as well as the 9 deferred supply costs, but in the event, 10 as the GRA assumption for January 1st, well. 10 let's say the O&M costs for the L1L and LTA are not included by the Board in terms of 4 13 MR, O'TBRIEN: 11 are not included by the Board in terms of 4 14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it is of or July 1st for – 13 MR, FAGAN: 16 MR, FAGAN: 14 MR, FAGAN: 16 17 A. No, because we vere strictly talking about the rate change January 1st. 17 Q. And thy July, where does it look? 18 mR, FAGAN: 17 Q. And thy MR, Well I haven't run it through, all that would have been calculated before would be a slightly higher 20 Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 20 would happen from a July perspective is that it would be divided by a lower base revenue, and the manet that would have been calculated before would be a slightly higher 21 MR, O'BRIEN: 2	1			
6 MR. FAGAN: 6 is. J presume, is based on the remainder of 7 A. We did it for island industrial customers' 8 8 because the island industrial customers' 8 9 rates, RSP would be updated at the same time 9 10 as the GRA assumption for January 1st, we 10 lef's asy the O&M costs for the LL and LTA 11 included the RSP effect in their math as 11 are not included by the Board in terms of 12 well. 12 percent for 2019. 14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it 15 A. No, because we were strictly talking about 15 for July 1st for - 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 10 Q. Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 21 percent from July of 2019 - 21 percent from July of 2019 - 21 it would be divided by a lower base revenue, so that the number that would have been 23 A. I think is 0. 21 it would be divided by a lower base revenue, we are strictly talking about it for Same and the sex priring for the RSP. 2 MR. FAGAN: 22 so that the number that would have been 23 A. I think is 0. 30 So l	1			
7 A. We did it for island industrial customers 7 Hydro's proposals being accepted by the 8 Board in terms of the GRA, as well as the 9 rates, RSP would be updated at the same time 9 10 as the GRA assumption for January 1st, we 10 11 included the RSP effect in their math as 11 12 well. 12 13 MR, O'BRIEN: 13 14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it 14 15 for July 1st for – 13 16 MR. FAGAN: 14 19 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 19 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 10 yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 17 21 yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 20 22 Q. M's 2.7, sory. 21 23 A. 1 think it's 2.7. 23 24 MR. FAGAN: 24 25 Q. I's 2.7, sory. 24 26 Q. N's 2.7, sory. 24 27 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3				
8 because the island industrial customers' rates, RSP would be updated at the same time included the RSP effect in their math as well. 8 Board in terms of the GRA, as well as the deferred supply costs, but in the event, included the RSP effect in their math as what's recoverable, where does that put the 1.2 percent for 2019. 14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it for July 1st for – 16 MR. FAGAN: 15 A. No, because we were strictly talking about the rate change January 1st, percent for July of 2019 – 16 MR. FAGAN: 19 MR. O'BRIEN: 19 A. Well haven't run it through, all that percent for July of 2019 – 20 Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 percent for July 1st 5.7. 16 MR. FAGAN: 24 MR. O'BRIEN: 19 A. Hink ix 5.7. 21 24 MR. O'BRIEN: 22 so that the number that would have been calculated before would be a slightly higher 25 Q. It's 2.7, sorry. 22 So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at arate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? 6 MR. FAGAN: 1 amount that's expiring for the RSP. 7 A. I think is 0. 1 amount that's expiring for the RSP. 11 MR. O'BRIEN:				
9 rates, RSP would be updated at the same time as the GRA assumption for January 1st, we lineluded the RSP effect in their math as well. 9 deferred supply costs, but in the event, let's say the O&M costs for the LIL and LTA are not included by the Board in terms of what's recoverable, where does that put the l.2 percent for 2019. 11 me. O'BRIEN: 12 what's recoverable, where does that put the l.2 percent for 2019. 14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it for July 1st for - 16 MR. FAGAN: 17 A. No, because we were strictly talking about the rate change January 1st. 16 MR. FAGAN: 10 Q. Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 percent from July of 2019 - 21 it would be divided by a lower base revenue, so that the number that would have been calculated before would have been so that the number that would have been so that the number that would have been so that the number that would have been so that the number. 2 MR. FAGAN: 2 amount that's expiring for the RSP. 2 A. I think so. 2 mount that's expiring for the RSP. 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's so that the number, but, fotomotes, I thought. 7 A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking - 9 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's supply cost piece would change because it would be doride focures, the Board man	1			
10 as the GRA assumption for January 1st, we 10 lef's say the O&R toots for the LL and LTA are not included the RSP effect in their math as 11 included the RSP effect in their math as are not included by the Board in terms of are not included by the Board in terms of a what's recoverable, where does that put the 12 13 MR. O'BRIEN: 13 1.2 percent for 2019. 14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it for July 1st for – 16 MR. FAGAN: 16 MR. FAGAN: 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 19 MR. O'BRIEN: 18 MR. FAGAN: 10 Q. Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 20 would happen from a July perspective is that it would be divided by a lower base revenue, so that the number that would have been calculated before would be a slightly figher percentage because the denominator would be alight fly the dollar 24 MR. FAGAN: 1 arount that's expiring for the RSP. 24 Q. And I think No. 1 arount that's expiring for the RSP. 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 2 MR. FAGAN: 4 Q. And I think Iad 2.3 from one of the footnotes, Ithought. 5 3 5 footnotes, Ithought. 5 3 2 6 MR. FAGAN:	1			
11 included the RSP effect in their math as well. 11 are not included by the Board in terms of what's recoverable, where does that put the l.2 percent for 2019. 14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it for July 1st for – 13 1.2 percent for 2019. 15 for July 1st for – 14 MR. FAGAN: 15 A. It becomes -5.1, I'm pretty sure. 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 Q. And by July, where does it look? 19 MR. O'BRIEN: 16 MR. FAGAN: 17 Q. And by July, where does it look? 10 percent from July of 2019 – 21 would happen from July perspective is that 21 percent from July of 2019 – 23 calculated before would be a slightly higher 23 A. I think i's 2.7. 23 calculated before would be a slightly higher 24 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 percentage because the denominator would be 25 Q. It's 2.7, sorry. 23 calculated before would be as lightly higher 25 Q. It's 2.7, sorry. 24 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 arount that's expiring for the RSP. 2 A. I think so. 7 A. Woulin't change in 2019, so well as	1	· ·		
12 well. 12 what's recoverable, where does that put the 13 MR. O'BRIEN: 13 1.2 percent for 2019. 14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it 14 MR. FAGAN: 16 MR. FAGAN: 15 A. It becomes -5.1, I'm pretty sure. 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 19 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 Q. And by July, where does it look? 18 the rate change January 1st. 18 MR. O'BRIEN: 19 MR. O'BRIEN: 19 A. Well 1 haven't run it through, all that 20 Q. Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 20 would happen from a July perspective is that 21 percent from July of 2019 – 21 it would be divided by a lower base revenue, 23 A. I think is 2.7. 23 calculated before would be a slightly higher 24 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 percentage because the denominator would be 25 Q. And I think Iso. 3 Q. So lef's assume you got a -5.1. You're 4 Q. And I think Isd 2.3 from one of the 5 as 2018 if that were to occur? 6 MR. FAGAN: 7	1			
13 MR. O'BRIEN: 13 1.2 percent for 2019. 14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it 14 MR. FAGAN: 15 for July 1st for – 15 A. It becomes -5.1, I'm pretty sure. 16 MR. FAGAN: 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 A. No, because we were strictly talking about 17 Q. And by July, where does it look? 18 the rate change January 1st. 19 MR. O'BRIEN: 10 20 Q. Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 10 WR. FAGAN: 20 21 percent from July of 2019 – 21 it would be divided by a lower base revenue, so that the number that would have been 23 23 A. I think i's 2.7. 23 calculated before would be a slightly higher 24 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 moot that's sepriring for the RSP. 25 Q. It's 2.7, sorry. 25 MR. O'BRIEN: 30 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 30 So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're 4 Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the 5 footnotes, I thought. 5 5 footnotes, I bought. 5 MR. O'BRIEN: 30<	1			
14 Q. Okay, all right. But you didn't include it for July 1st for – 14 MR. FAGAN: 15 for July 1st for – 15 A. It becomes -5.1, I'm pretty sure. 16 MR. FAGAN: 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 19 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 Q. And by July, where does it look? 19 MR. O'BRIEN: 18 MR. FAGAN: 20 Q. Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 20 21 percent from July of 2019 – 21 22 MR. FAGAN: 23 3 A. I think i's 2.7. 23 24 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 25 Q. It's 2.7, sorry. 25 26 It's 2.7, sorry. 25 27 MR. O'BRIEN: 1 28 A. I think iso. 2 39 MR. O'BRIEN: 1 40 A. I think So. 2 5 footnotes, I thought. 5 6 MR. FAGAN: 7 7 A. You might be right. 7 8 MR. O'BRIEN: 9 9 Q. So one that's taken into ac				-
15 for July 1st for – 15 A. It becomes -5.1, I'm pretty sure. 16 MR. FAGAN: 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 19 MR. O'BRIEN: 18 MR. FAGAN: 20 Q. Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 70 A. 21 percent from July of 2019 – 21 it would be divided by a lower base revenue, so that the number that would have been 23 A. I think it's 2.7. 22 so that the number that would have been 23 A. I think it's 2.7. 22 so that the number that would have been 24 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 percentage because the denominator would be 25 Q. It's 2.7, sorry. 25 less. It wouldn't change the July 1st dollar 26 MR. FAGAN: 2 mount that's expiring for the RSP. 2 2 A. I think is 0.3 Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2018, you see. 4 MR. O'BRIEN: 9 MR. O'BRIEN: 9 <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>	1			-
16 MR. FAGAN: 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 A. No, because we were strictly talking about 17 Q. And by July, where does it look? 18 mR. O'BRIEN: 18 MR. FAGAN: 20 Q. Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 20 21 percent from July of 2019 – 21 23 A. I think it's 2.7. 23 24 MR. O'BRIEN: 22 25 Q. It's 2.7, sorry. 23 26 Q. It's 2.7, sorry. 23 27 Page 158 Page 160 18 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 26 Q. It's 2.7, sorry. 25 27 A. I think so. 3 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 4 Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. 3 5 footnotes, I thought. 3 6 MR. FAGAN: 7 7 A. You might be right. 7 8 MR. O'BRIEN: 9 9 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 10 2.0 sor once that's taken into account	1			
17 A. No, because we were strictly talking about the rate change January 1st. 17 Q. And by July, where does it look? 18 MR. O'BRIEN: 18 MR. FAGAN: 19 A. Well I haven't run it through, all that 20 Q. Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 20 Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 20 Well I haven't run it through, all that 21 percent from July of 2019 – 21 it would be divided by a lower base revenue, 22 23 A. I think i's 2.7. 23 calculated before would have been 24 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 percent from July of 2019 – 23 24 MR. O'BRIEN: 23 calculated before would have been 25 Q. It's 2.7, sorry. 24 percentage because the denominator would be less. It wouldn't change the July 1st dollar 7 A. Tom MR. FAGAN: 1 amount that's expiring for the RSP. 2 A. I think so. 2 MR. O'BRIEN: 2 3 MR. O'BRIEN: 3 Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're 4 Q. A. You might be right. <td< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	1			
18 the rate change January 1st. 18 MR. O'BRIEN: 19 MR. O'BRIEN: 19 A. Well I haven't run it through, all that 20 Q. Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 20 would happen from a July perspective is that 21 percent from July of 2019 – 21 it would be divided by a lower base revenue, 22 MR. FAGAN: 22 so that the number that would have been 23 A. I think it's 2.7. 23 calculated before would be a slightly higher 24 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 percentage because the denominator would be 25 Q. It's 2.7, sorry. 25 less. It wouldn't change the July 1st dollar Page 158 7 A. I think so. 20 So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're 4 Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the 5 as 2018 if that were to occur? 6 MR. FAGAN: 7 A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, sou see. 7 A. You might be right. 7 A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. 8 MR. O'BRIEN: 7 A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. 9 Q. So once that's taken				
19MR. O'BRIEN:19A.Well I haven't run it through, all that20Q.Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.320would happen from a July perspective is that21mercent from July of 2019 –21it would be divided by a lower base revenue,23A.I think it's 2.7.23calculated before would have been24MR. O'BRIEN:24percentage because the denominator would be25Q.It's 2.7, sorry.25less. It wouldn't change the July 1st dollar26Page 1581amount that's expiring for the RSP.2A.I think ink so.2MR. O'BRIEN:3MR. O'BRIEN:3Q.So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're4Q.And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, 1 thought.3Q.So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're6MR. FAGAN:3Q.So once that's taken into account, if it's3Q.So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're9Q.So once that's taken into account, if it's9MR. O'BRIEN:9MR. O'BRIEN:102.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.910Q.Because of your credit.11becomes a higher figure by July.12A.Yes, if you were going to make a July13reduce your 2019. So rates are what they14increase, the 1.2 would-well, the deforred14are.14are.15supply cost piece would change because it would be done16Q.A.	1			
 Q. Yeah, okay, so that 1.2, once that 2.3 percent from July of 2019 – MR. FAGAN: A. I think it's 2.7. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. It's 2.7, sorry. Page 158 MR. FAGAN: A. I think so. MR. FAGAN: A. I think so. MR. O'BRIEN: So once that's taken into account, if it's footnotes, I thought. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. C'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 Q. Back to the customer take they at account account is it or a aborter period, I would assume, but, 18 MR. O'BRIEN: MR. O	1			
21percent from July of 2019 –21it would be divided by a lower base revenue, so that the number that would have been22MR. FAGAN:22so that the number that would have been23A.I think it's 2.7.23calculated before would be a slightly higher24MR. O'BRIEN:24percentage because the denominator would be25Q.It's 2.7, sorry.25less. It wouldn't change the July 1st dollarPage 158Page 158Page 1601MR. FAGAN:3Q.So tet's assume you got a -5.1. You're2Q.A. I think so.3Q.So tet's assume you got a -5.1. You're4Q.And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought.3Q.So tet's assume you got a -5.1. You're6MR. FAGAN:7A.You might be right.7A.Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see.7A.You might be right.7A.Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see.88MR. O'BRIEN:10Q.Because of your credit.11MR. FAGAN:102.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.910Q.Because of your credit.11becomes a higher figure by July.12A.Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are.13A.Yes, if you were going to make a July13are.14increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piecer	1			
22MR. FÅGAN:22so that the number that would have been calculated before would be a slightly higher percentage because the denominator would be 2524MR. O'BRIEN:249percentage because the denominator would be less. It wouldn't change the July 1st dollar25Page 1581amount that's expiring for the RSP.2A. I think so.2So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're3MR. O'BRIEN:3Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're4Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought.3Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're6MR. FAGAN:3Q. So one that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.969Q. So onee that's taken into account, if it's 10Q. Because of your credit.11becomes a higher figure by July.1112MR. FAGAN:1213A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would-well, the deferred supply cost piece would change because it would be done ro ever a shorter period, I would assume, but, ro f ourse, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They wouldn't guess the number, but it's - wouldn't guess the number, but it's - wouldn't give a ballpark, like say2424Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say24Q. Back to the customers.				
23A.I think it's 2.7.23calculated before would be a slightly higher24MR. O'BRIEN:24percentage because the denominator would be less. It wouldn't change the July 1st dollar24Q.It's 2.7, sorry.23mount that's expiring for the RSP.2A.I think so.1amount that's expiring for the RSP.2A.I think so.2MR. O'BRIEN:3MR. O'BRIEN:3Q.So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're4Q.And I think I had 2.3 from one of the 5footnotes, I thought.36MR. FAGAN:3Q.So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're7A.You might be right.3Q.8MR. O'BRIEN:6MR. O'BRIEN:9Q.So once that's taken into account, if it's9MR. O'BRIEN:10Q.So once that's taken into account, if it's10Q.11becomes a higher figure by July.10Q.Because of your credit.11becomes a higher figure by July.11MR. FAGAN:12A.Yes, if you were going to make a July13reduce your 2019. So rates are what they14increase, the 1.2 wouldwell, the deferred15MR. O'BRIEN:15supply cost piece would change and the16Q.All right, so what would you be proposing in16excess would change because it would be done16Q.All right, so what would you be proposing in17orcurse, th	1	1 5		
24MR. O'BRIEN:24percentage because the denominator would be less. It wouldn't change the July 1st dollar2Page 158Page 1601MR. FAGAN:1amount that's expiring for the RSP.2A. I think so.2MR. O'BRIEN:3MR. O'BRIEN:3Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're4Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought.3Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're6MR. FAGAN:3Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're7A. You might be right.6MR. FAGAN:7A. You might be right.7A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see.8MR. O'BRIEN:9MR. O'BRIEN:9Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's becomes a higher figure by July.111becomes a higher figure by July.1012MR. FAGAN:113A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done for ourse, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—110amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—124Q. So you couldn't gives a ballpark, like say2424Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say2424Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say24 <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	1			
25Q.It's 2.7, sorry.25less. It wouldn't change the July 1st dollarPage 1581MR. FAGAN:1amount that's expiring for the RSP.2A.I think so.1amount that's expiring for the RSP.3MR. O'BRIEN:3Q.So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're4Q.And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought.3Q.So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're6MR. FAGAN:3Q.So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're6MR. FAGAN:6MR. FAGAN:7A.You might be right.7A.8MR. O'BRIEN:6MR. FAGAN:9Q.So once that's taken into account, if it's9MR. O'BRIEN:102.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.910Q.Because of your credit.11becomes a higher figure by July.11MR. FAGAN:1212MR. FAGAN:12A.Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are.15supply cost piece would change and the 16excess would change because it would be done 1716Q.All right, so what would you be proposing in terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the case? The same thing?18of course, the Board may not want to 19amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They anortize it over, you know, 12 months. They anortize it over, you know, 12 months. They anortize it over, you know, 12 mon				
Page 158Page 1601MR, FAGAN:amount that's expiring for the RSP.2A.I think so.3MR. O'BRIEN:34Q.And I think I had 2.3 from one of the35footnotes, I thought.36MR, FAGAN:47A.You might be right.8MR. O'BRIEN:69Q.So once that's taken into account, if it's102.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.911becomes a higher figure by July.12MR, FAGAN:13A.14increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred15supply cost piece would change and the16excess would change because it would be done17over a shorter period, I would assume, but,18of course, the Board may not want to19amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They10may change the amortization period, but yes,20may change the amortization period, but yes,21so the numbers would change, so you might—I22wouldn't guess the number, but it's -23MR. O'BRIEN:24Q.24Q.24Q.24Q.24Q.24Q.24Q.24Q.24Q.24Q.24Q.24Q.24Q.24Q.2425 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
1MR. FAGAN:1amount that's expiring for the RSP.2A.I think so.2MR. O'BRIEN:3MR. O'BRIEN:3Q.So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're4Q.And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought.3Q.So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're6MR. FAGAN:3Q.So let's assume you got a -5.1. You'relooking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well6MR. FAGAN:6MR. FAGAN:7A.You're just aking -9Q.So once that's taken into account, if it's9MR. O'BRIEN:9MR. O'BRIEN:102.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9becomes a higher figure by July.10Q.Because of your credit.11becomes a higher figure by July.11MR. FAGAN:12A.Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they13A.Yes; if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would-well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done16Q.All right, so what would you be proposing in terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the case? The same thing?19amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They outh't guess the number, but it's - wouldh't gues the abilipark,	25	Q. It's 2.7, sorry.	25	less. It wouldn't change the July 1st dollar
 A. I think so. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: MR. O'BRIEN: MR. O'BRIEN:<				-
 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's Decomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: MR. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done of course, the Board may not want to omortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—I so the numbers would change, so you might—I wouldn't guess the number, but it's – MR. O'BRIEN: MR. O'BRIEN: So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say A. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 		MR. FAGAN:	1	amount that's expiring for the RSP
 4 Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. 6 MR. FAGAN: 7 A. You might be right. 8 MR. O'BRIEN: 9 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 11 becomes a higher figure by July. 12 MR. FAGAN: 13 A. Yes, if you were going to make a July 14 increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred 15 supply cost piece would change and the 16 excess would change because it would be done 17 over a shorter period, I would assume, but, 18 of course, the Board may not want to 19 amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They 20 may change the amortization period, but yes, 21 so the numbers would change, so you might—I 22 wouldn't guess the number, but it's – 23 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 				
 footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: MR. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—I so the numbers would change, so you might—I wouldn't guess the number, but it's – MR. O'BRIEN: MR. O'BRIEN: So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 	1		2	MR. O'BRIEN:
 6 MR. FAGAN: 7 A. You might be right. 8 MR. O'BRIEN: 9 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 11 becomes a higher figure by July. 12 MR. FAGAN: 13 A. Yes, if you were going to make a July 14 increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred 15 supply cost piece would change and the 16 excess would change because it would be doen 17 over a shorter period, I would assume, but, 18 of course, the Board may not want to 19 amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They 10 martize it over, you know, 12 months. They 11 so the numbers would change, so you might—I 12 wouldn't guess the number, but it's – 13 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 	3	MR. O'BRIEN:	2 3	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're
 A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done or over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They so the numbers would change, so you might—I so the numbers would change, so you might—I wouldn't guess the number, but it's – MR. O'BRIEN: MR. O'BRIEN: So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. MR. O'BRIEN: 	3 4	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the	2 3 4	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well
 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. FAGAN: MR. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—I so the numbers would change, so you might—I wouldn't guess the number, but it's – MR. O'BRIEN: KR. O'BRIEN: MR. O'BRIEN: 	3 4 5	MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought.	2 3 4 5	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur?
 9 Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 10 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 11 becomes a higher figure by July. 12 MR. FAGAN: 13 A. Yes, if you were going to make a July 14 increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred 15 supply cost piece would change and the 16 excess would change because it would be done 17 over a shorter period, I would assume, but, 18 of course, the Board may not want to 19 amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They 10 Q. Because of your credit. 11 MR. FAGAN: 12 A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to 13 R. O'BRIEN: 14 increase, the 1.2 would assume, but, 16 excess would change because it would be done 17 over a shorter period, I would assume, but, 18 of course, the Board may not want to 19 amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They 20 may change the amortization period, but yes, 21 so the numbers would change, so you might—I 22 wouldn't guess the number, but it's – 23 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 24 Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 	3 4 5 6	MR. O'BRIEN:Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought.MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN:
102.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.910Q.Because of your credit.11becomes a higher figure by July.11MR. FAGAN:11MR. FAGAN:12MR. FAGAN:12A.Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to13A.Yes, if you were going to make a July13reduce your 2019. So rates are what they14increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred13reduce your 2019. So rates are what they15supply cost piece would change and the15MR. O'BRIEN:16excess would change because it would be done16Q.17over a shorter period, I would assume, but,17terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the18of course, the Board may not want to18case? The same thing?19amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They19MR. FAGAN:20may change the amortization period, but yes,20A.Well, we actually haven't sat down and21so the numbers would change, so you might—I21figured out if we would propose anything22wouldn't guess the number, but it's –23MR. O'BRIEN:24Q.So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say24Q.Back to the customers.	3 4 5 6 7	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see.
 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They so the numbers would change, so you might—I so the numbers would change, so you might—I wouldn't guess the number, but it's – MR. O'BRIEN: 	3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking –
 MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They so the numbers would change, so you might—I so the numbers would change, so you might—I wouldn't guess the number, but it's – MR. O'BRIEN: So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN:
 A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—I wouldn't guess the number, but it's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say Iso the curve of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit.
 increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—I so the numbers would change, so you might—I wouldn't guess the number, but it's – MR. O'BRIEN: So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN:
 15 supply cost piece would change and the 16 excess would change because it would be done 17 over a shorter period, I would assume, but, 18 of course, the Board may not want to 19 amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They 20 may change the amortization period, but yes, 21 so the numbers would change, so you might—I 22 wouldn't guess the number, but it's – 23 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 15 MR. O'BRIEN: 16 Q. All right, so what would you be proposing in terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the case? The same thing? 17 MR. FAGAN: 20 MR. FAGAN: 20 A. Well, we actually haven't sat down and figured out if we would propose anything 22 other than flowing the numbers through. 23 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to
16excess would change because it would be done16Q.All right, so what would you be proposing in17over a shorter period, I would assume, but,16Q.All right, so what would you be proposing in18of course, the Board may not want to17terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the19amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They19MR. FAGAN:20may change the amortization period, but yes,20A.Well, we actually haven't sat down and21so the numbers would change, so you might—I21figured out if we would propose anything22wouldn't guess the number, but it's –23MR. O'BRIEN:24Q.So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say24Q.Back to the customers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they
 17 over a shorter period, I would assume, but, 18 of course, the Board may not want to 19 amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They 20 may change the amortization period, but yes, 21 so the numbers would change, so you might—I 22 wouldn't guess the number, but it's – 23 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 17 terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the 18 case? The same thing? 19 MR. FAGAN: 20 A. Well, we actually haven't sat down and 21 figured out if we would propose anything 22 other than flowing the numbers through. 23 MR. O'BRIEN: 24 Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are.
18of course, the Board may not want to18case? The same thing?19amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They19MR. FAGAN:20may change the amortization period, but yes,20A.21so the numbers would change, so you might—I21figured out if we would propose anything22wouldn't guess the number, but it's –22other than flowing the numbers through.23MR. O'BRIEN:23MR. O'BRIEN:24Q.So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say24Q.24Q.Back to the customers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are. MR. O'BRIEN:
19amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—I 2219MR. FAGAN: 2021so the numbers would change, so you might—I 2220A.Well, we actually haven't sat down and figured out if we would propose anything 2223MR. O'BRIEN: 2423MR. O'BRIEN: 2323MR. O'BRIEN: 2424Q.So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say24Q.Back to the customers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so what would you be proposing in
20may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—I 2220A.Well, we actually haven't sat down and figured out if we would propose anything 2222wouldn't guess the number, but it's – 2322other than flowing the numbers through.23MR. O'BRIEN: 2423MR. O'BRIEN: 242324Q.So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say24Q.25Back to the customers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so what would you be proposing in terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the
21so the numbers would change, so you might—I21figured out if we would propose anything22wouldn't guess the number, but it's –22other than flowing the numbers through.23MR. O'BRIEN:23MR. O'BRIEN:24Q.So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say24Q.24Back to the customers.24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so what would you be proposing in terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the case? The same thing?
22wouldn't guess the number, but it's -22other than flowing the numbers through.23MR. O'BRIEN:23MR. O'BRIEN:24Q.So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say24Q.24Back to the customers.24	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so what would you be proposing in terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the case? The same thing? MR. FAGAN:
23MR. O'BRIEN:23MR. O'BRIEN:24Q.So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say24Q.Back to the customers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so what would you be proposing in terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the case? The same thing? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, we actually haven't sat down and
24 Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 24 Q. Back to the customers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so what would you be proposing in terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the case? The same thing? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, we actually haven't sat down and figured out if we would propose anything
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—I wouldn't guess the number, but it's – 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so what would you be proposing in terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the case? The same thing? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, we actually haven't sat down and figured out if we would propose anything other than flowing the numbers through.
25July where this –25MR. FAGAN:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—I wouldn't guess the number, but it's – 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so what would you be proposing in terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the case? The same thing? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, we actually haven't sat down and figured out if we would propose anything other than flowing the numbers through. MR. O'BRIEN:
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. And I think I had 2.3 from one of the footnotes, I thought. MR. FAGAN: A. You might be right. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So once that's taken into account, if it's 2.3 or 2.7, then that 1.2 percent or 1.9 becomes a higher figure by July. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, if you were going to make a July increase, the 1.2 would—well, the deferred supply cost piece would change and the excess would change because it would be done over a shorter period, I would assume, but, of course, the Board may not want to amortize it over, you know, 12 months. They may change the amortization period, but yes, so the numbers would change, so you might—I wouldn't guess the number, but it's – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So you couldn't give a ballpark, like say 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 MR. O'BRIEN: Q. So let's assume you got a -5.1. You're looking at a rate decrease in 2019, as well as 2018 if that were to occur? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, rates won't change in 2018, you see. You're just taking – MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Because of your credit. MR. FAGAN: A. Savings from 2018 and putting it forward to reduce your 2019. So rates are what they are. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. All right, so what would you be proposing in terms of a savings for 2019 if that were the case? The same thing? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, we actually haven't sat down and figured out if we would propose anything other than flowing the numbers through. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Back to the customers.

Augus	t 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
	Page 161		Page 163
1	A. Because we've already put forward positions	1	MR. FAGAN:
2	on options to deal with moving rates up	2	A. I think we put it in the evidence that we
3	gradually towards Muskrat, and if they're	3	viewed it as a one summary rider, called it
4	not going to be accepted or - and there's	4	a GRA, 2017 GRA cost recovery rider.
5	going to be any movement towards that, rates	5	MR. O'BRIEN:
6	will be what the numbers will be.	6	Q. Okay.
7	MR. O'BRIEN:	7	MR. FAGAN:
8	Q. So if you flow through, would you be looking	8	A. The single one to handle both.
9	at a refund to customers, or roll back the	9	MR. O'BRIEN:
10	interim rates?	10	Q. To handle both?
11	MR. FAGAN:	11	MR. FAGAN:
11	A. Certainly wouldn't have anticipated a	12	A. Yeah.
13	refund. There would be a rate reduction.	13	MR. O'BRIEN:
14	MR. O'BRIEN:	14	Q. Okay.
15	Q. Yeah, okay.	15	MR. FAGAN:
16	MR. FAGAN:	16	A. So we proposed that in the compliance
17	A. Refunds are only related to past costs, so	17	filing.
18	this would be more on a future cost basis.	18	MR. O'BRIEN:
19	MR. O'BRIEN:	19	Q. And so would that cover off - I suppose, the
20	Q. Okay. So if anything, say, short of a Board	20	Board's Order comes into 2019, that would
21	Order then in terms of a rate reduction or	21	cover off anything short of the Board Order,
22	if the revenue excess increases into 2019,	22	is that fair, or would you – and then rates
23	all of that would flow through the – on that	23	would be reduced from there?
24	Table 7 we saw in terms of reducing, I	24	MR. FAGAN:
25	guess, netting off with the energy supply	25	A. Yes, we would calculate – well, it isn't
	Page 162		Page 164
1	costs, but anything after that would be a	1	desirable, but what we did before, and the
2	reduction in rates?	2	way we've done our math with regard to the
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. FAGAN:	$\frac{2}{3}$	excess revenues, and the way we calculate
4	A. Could you just repeat that? I got	4	the RSP's mentioned in the evidence, is
5	distracted.	5	consistent with the approach we did in the
			11
	MR. O'BRIEN:	6	last compliance filing was that you had a
7	Q. I'm just trying to get a sense. So, like,	7	Board Order related to a prior test year, so
8	in 2018 the plan is to net off the credit or	8	we went back and recalculated the revenue
9	the excess against the supply cost, the	9	deficiency, giving consideration to how the
10	deferred supply cost, and –	10	RSP operated during the previous year, and
11	MR. FAGAN:	11	so what we presented in this case would be
12	A. Well, they're really done as explicit – two	12	the exact same approach, which Grant
13	explicit ones, but the net effect is –	13	Thornton reviewed and considered it
14	MR. O'BRIEN:	14	reasonable the last time.
15	Q. The net effect is just showing in that	15	MR. O'BRIEN:
16	table?	16	Q. Right, wouldn't be a change in that?
17	MR. FAGAN:	17	MR. FAGAN:
18	A. Right.	18	A. No.
19	MR. O'BRIEN:	19	MR. O'BRIEN:
20	Q. So you'd have two separate riders?	20	Q. I just wonder $-$ I just had a couple of more
	MR. FAGAN:	20	questions, Mr. Fagan. There was an
1 21		21	indication, and I think you might have
21	A You could but I don't know if you'd want to		
22	A. You could, but I don't know if you'd want to bother		
22 23	bother.	23	touched on this already, that the average
22	-		

1	\$1.0, 2018		INL HYDIO 2017 GRA
	Page 165		Page 167
1	in 2019, is that right?	1	filing in 2019, so we're still targeting
2	MR. FAGAN:	2	filing in 2019.
3	A. Go to the table –	3	MR. O'BRIEN:
4	MR. O'BRIEN:	4	Q. Some time in 2019, and the cost of service
5	Q. I think it's at page 14.	5	review you're filing in November, is that
6	MR. FAGAN:	6	the present plan?
		7	MR. FAGAN:
	, , ,		
8	MR. O'BRIEN:	8	A. Yeah, I believe the date we put there might
9	Q. Yeah. So that's 2019 year, and that's	9	be November 15th or something like that.
10	assuming a 1.2 percent increase?	10	MR. O'BRIEN:
11	MR. FAGAN:	11	Q. And do you anticipate any other major
12	A. Yes.	12	regulatory processes between now and GRA?
13	MR. O'BRIEN:	13	MR. FAGAN:
14	Q. And if the LIL and LTA, O & M costs were	14	A. Well, there's a – I wouldn't describe them
15	disallowed, what would you foresee that 12.4	15	as major regulatory processes, but there's
16	looking like?	16	certainly regulatory processes. There was
	e	10	discussion when Ms. Williams was on the
17	MR. FAGAN:		
18	A. One second. So the 1.2 percent increase	18	stand of a ponding agreement.
19	would change to a 5.1 percent decrease for	19	MR. O'BRIEN:
20	Newfoundland Power. For Island Industrials,	20	Q. Uh-hm.
21	a 6.1 percent increase would change to an	21	MR. FAGAN:
22	8.2 percent decrease. It depends on the	22	A. And I don't know if she talked much about
23	math and the average unit cost, but it's a	23	the ponding agreement and how it all worked,
24	6.3 percent decrease relative to the 12.4.	24	but the concept is that you can import
25	MR. O'BRIEN:	25	power, say, during the night time, for
1	Daga 166		Daga 169
	Page 166	1	Page 168
1	Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide	1	example, over the Maritime Link, store it,
2	Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking?	2	example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it
	Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.)	2 3	example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it.
2	Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking?	2	example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.)	2 3	example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it.
2 3 4 5	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4	example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: 	2 3 4 5	example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the bigger picture with regard to an agreement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as we're working on – while we're working on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as we're working on – while we're working on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the bigger picture with regard to an agreement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as we're working on – while we're working on filing our evidence for the cost of service 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the bigger picture with regard to an agreement for when Muskrat Falls project is completed that you've got Muskrat Falls provides
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as we're working on – while we're working on filing our evidence for the cost of service methodology review. It's dependent on the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the bigger picture with regard to an agreement for when Muskrat Falls project is completed that you've got Muskrat Falls provides capacity and energy, but there's a lot more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as we're working on – while we're working on filing our evidence for the cost of service methodology review. It's dependent on the conclusion of this one, obviously. So when 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the bigger picture with regard to an agreement for when Muskrat Falls project is completed that you've got Muskrat Falls provides capacity and energy, but there's a lot more energy available relative to the island
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as we're working on – while we're working on filing our evidence for the cost of service methodology review. It's dependent on the conclusion of this one, obviously. So when we started down this road, we thought we'd 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the bigger picture with regard to an agreement for when Muskrat Falls project is completed that you've got Muskrat Falls provides capacity and energy, but there's a lot more energy available relative to the island forecast than, say, capacity, right, so
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as we're working on – while we're working on filing our evidence for the cost of service methodology review. It's dependent on the conclusion of this one, obviously. So when we started down this road, we thought we'd have the hearing over sooner and we could be 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the bigger picture with regard to an agreement for when Muskrat Falls project is completed that you've got Muskrat Falls provides capacity and energy, but there's a lot more energy available relative to the island forecast than, say, capacity, right, so you've got this excess energy which some of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as we're working on – while we're working on filing our evidence for the cost of service methodology review. It's dependent on the conclusion of this one, obviously. So when we started down this road, we thought we'd have the hearing over sooner and we could be filing around mid year of 2019, some time in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the bigger picture with regard to an agreement for when Muskrat Falls project is completed that you've got Muskrat Falls provides capacity and energy, but there's a lot more energy available relative to the island forecast than, say, capacity, right, so you've got this excess energy which some of it may be Hydro's because Hydro has a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as we're working on – while we're working on filing our evidence for the cost of service methodology review. It's dependent on the conclusion of this one, obviously. So when we started down this road, we thought we'd have the hearing over sooner and we could be filing around mid year of 2019, some time in June. I don't think that's achievable. So 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the bigger picture with regard to an agreement for when Muskrat Falls project is completed that you've got Muskrat Falls provides capacity and energy, but there's a lot more energy available relative to the island forecast than, say, capacity, right, so you've got this excess energy which some of it may be Hydro's because Hydro has a predefined schedule of energy available to
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as we're working on - while we're working on filing our evidence for the cost of service methodology review. It's dependent on the conclusion of this one, obviously. So when we started down this road, we thought we'd have the hearing over sooner and we could be filing around mid year of 2019, some time in June. I don't think that's achievable. So it depends. It certainly – if you're going 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the bigger picture with regard to an agreement for when Muskrat Falls project is completed that you've got Muskrat Falls provides capacity and energy, but there's a lot more energy available relative to the island forecast than, say, capacity, right, so you've got this excess energy which some of it may be Hydro's because Hydro has a predefined schedule of energy available to it in the Muskrat Falls PPA.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as we're working on - while we're working on filing our evidence for the cost of service methodology review. It's dependent on the conclusion of this one, obviously. So when we started down this road, we thought we'd have the hearing over sooner and we could be filing around mid year of 2019, some time in June. I don't think that's achievable. So it depends. It certainly – if you're going to get rates in in the fall of 2020, even if 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the bigger picture with regard to an agreement for when Muskrat Falls project is completed that you've got Muskrat Falls provides capacity and energy, but there's a lot more energy available relative to the island forecast than, say, capacity, right, so you've got this excess energy which some of it may be Hydro's because Hydro has a predefined schedule of energy available to it in the Muskrat Falls PPA. MR. O'BRIEN:
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 Q. Can I ask you to do that math and provide that as an undertaking? (12:08 p.m.) MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. MS. GLYNN: Q. Noted on the record. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. A couple more questions. So your next GRA, when do you anticipate filing that? MR. FAGAN: A. That's a good question. We've already started working on planning for our next general rate application at the same time as we're working on - while we're working on filing our evidence for the cost of service methodology review. It's dependent on the conclusion of this one, obviously. So when we started down this road, we thought we'd have the hearing over sooner and we could be filing around mid year of 2019, some time in June. I don't think that's achievable. So it depends. It certainly – if you're going 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 example, over the Maritime Link, store it, and then at opportune times sell it when it has more value. So you're ponding it. Anyway, so we're in the process of preparing an application for the Board for approval of a ponding agreement to allow that process to go in place and provide savings to customers. So that – I mean, we're trying to file that as soon as we can. MR. O'BRIEN: Q. Okay. MR. FAGAN: A. That's well along, but then there's the bigger picture with regard to an agreement for when Muskrat Falls project is completed that you've got Muskrat Falls provides capacity and energy, but there's a lot more energy available relative to the island forecast than, say, capacity, right, so you've got this excess energy which some of it may be Hydro's because Hydro has a predefined schedule of energy available to it in the Muskrat Falls PPA.

Augus	t 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
1	Page 169	1	Page 171
	MR. FAGAN:	1	cost estimates. Also in 2016, we filed a
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. Anything in excess of that is owned by	2	report with respect to rate design for
3	Muskrat Falls Corporation. Now there's no	3	Newfoundland Power and the Island Industrial
4	requirement within the contracts for those	4	Customers. So we'll be filing an update on
5	savings to come back to customers, but	5	that as well because I know – I was looking
6	Government has indicated to provide the –	6	at Mr. Feehan's evidence and he was talking
7	going to use export value for rate	7	about elasticity effects, and elasticity
8	mitigation, but that's not legislative, it's	8	effects are indeed concerning. However, you
9	a government decision. In order to get the	9	can't just look at the average cost for
10	maximum value for exports, Hydro and Nalcor	10	customers when looking at elasticity. You
11	and the owners would work on an agreement	11	also got to look at the marginal prices
12	for optimization of exports, both the	12	you're going to see after increased load.
13	Muskrat Falls exports and any exports that	13	So in the rate design report that we filed,
14	Hydro could make, and the sharing agreement	14	we talked about a way that Newfoundland
15	on that, so it's anticipated that will come	15	Power could see the marginal price in the
16	to the Board for review as well, and I think	16	rate design and presented some discussion on
17	Mr. Haynes indicated later in this year. So	17	that. So the plan is to have a rate design
18	those are on the regulatory calendar, and	18	for Newfoundland Power that recovers the
19	we've got the discussion on crypto currency	19 20	cost, but also gives them a good marginal
20 21	with regard to – and the Labrador East issue with regard to load restrictions, and we've	20 21	signal, because the marginal cost of energy is well below the imbedded cost of energy
$21 \\ 22$	got the proposal with regard to temporary	21	looking forward. So you want to give
$\begin{bmatrix} 22\\23 \end{bmatrix}$	service with a customer in Lab West and	22	Newfoundland Power proper signal, so that if
23	crypto currency, so we've got those. Of	23	load increases, it increases by the marginal
25	course, Hydro will be filing its capital	25	cost, not the average imbedded cost, and if
	Page 170	20	Page 172
1	application – actually, did last week.	1	it decreases, they get the savings relative
2	MR. O'BRIEN:	2	to the marginal cost. So we've presented
$\overline{3}$	Q. Did last week, yeah.	3	reports on that. So we'll be doing an
4	MR. FAGAN:	4	analysis on that, and we also have proposals
5	A. And, of course, there may be some occasional	5	on the island industrial customers. That's
6	CIAC, but – and we've also got to file an	6	on our calendar as well, as well as
7	update to our marginal cost. So in 2016, I	7	compliance filing.
8	mentioned we filed our marginal cost study,	8	MR. O'BRIEN:
9	okay.	9	Q. Thank you, Mr. Fagan. I don't have any
10	MR. O'BRIEN:	10	further questions for Mr. Fagan.
11	Q. Right.	11	CHAIR:
12	MR. FAGAN:	12	Q. Thank you, Mr. O'Brien. Mr. Browne.
13	A. So one of the things about the marginal cost	13	(12:15 a.m.)
14	study, marginal cost of energy is not that	14	BROWNE, Q.C.:
15	difficult to assess. You're tied to the	15	Q. Some questions arising, thank you, Madam
16	North American grid, so you're looking at	16	Chair. Just some questions arising from the
17	the market value of energy, but with the	17	questions put forward by my colleague, Mr.
18	marginal cost of capacity, that's different	18	O'Brien. In reference to those data
19	because you're looking at the requirement	19	centres, what are you selling energy for,
20	for generation on the island and how many	20	what rate are you selling energy to the data
21	gas turbines are you going to need on the	21	centres currently in Labrador?
22	island beyond Muskrat, and that comes into	22	MR. FAGAN:
23	play in determining marginal cost and	23	A. Well, they meet the general service rate.
24	capacity. So we've got to file an updated	24	They're not on the industrial rate. I don't
25	report on our marginal cost, our marginal	25	know them off the top of my head, but it's

	Page 173	4	Page 175
1	probably in the neighbourhood of around 3	1	only so much recall, is there not?
2	cents a kilowatt hour on average.	2	MR. FAGAN:
3	BROWNE, Q.C.:	3	A. Well, that's true, but certainly the data
4	Q. Three cents a kilowatt hour?	4	centre load growths so far, it's not - it's
5	MR. FAGAN:	5	going to have some impact, I think, on
6	A. On average, I expect.	6	winter demands and some impact on what we
7	BROWNE, Q.C.:	7	can bring down, but it's - I think Mr.
8	Q. And how much power are they using up there	8	Haynes presented some evidence before that
9	in terms of megawatts?	9	even with the core open, if that reopens,
10	MR. FAGAN:	10	there's still a material amount of recapture
11	A. Just a second now.	11	that's available. It's just at peak times
12	BROWNE, Q.C.:	12	you're limited in what you can bring down
13	Q. Just roughly.	13	more than on average.
14	MR. FAGAN:	14	BROWNE, Q.C.:
15	A. Just give me a second and I'll have some	15	Q. And you're also bringing electricity in
16	information. I know for 2019, I think we've	16	through the Maritime Link to displace usages
17	anticipated maybe about an extra 60 gigawatt	17	at Holyrood. Where you're selling
18	hours probably, changing the load forecast	18	electricity to data centres for the 3 cents,
19	in Labrador Interconnected, Hydro Rural	19	have you got that factored in when you're
20	Labrador Interconnected. I think that's	20	purchasing power from outside sources
21	primarily driven by data centres.	21	through these confidentiality agreements,
22	BROWNE, Q.C.:	22	that you're actually selling power up in
23	Q. Now while you're selling it to data centres	23	Labrador for 3 cents when maybe some island
24	for 3 cents, you're importing electricity	24	customers might be interested in that for
25	for the LIL currently through Nalcor Energy	25	paying for the rates?
-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	
	Page 174		Page 176
1	Page 174 Marketing I assume that you're importing it	1	Page 176 MR FAGAN
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Marketing, I assume that you're importing it	1	MR. FAGAN:
2	Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're	2	MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the
2 3	Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to –	2 3	MR. FAGAN:A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior
2 3 4	Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're
2 3 4 5	Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's	2 3 4 5	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it
2 3 4	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. 	2 3 4 5 6	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details with respect to how much is coming from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of approximately 12.1 cents a kilowatt hour,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details with respect to how much is coming from where. It's my understanding with respect 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of approximately 12.1 cents a kilowatt hour, okay, which is a pretty high rate, but the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details with respect to how much is coming from where. It's my understanding with respect to one of the contracts from off-island 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of approximately 12.1 cents a kilowatt hour, okay, which is a pretty high rate, but the savings are really occurring relative to
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ \end{array} $	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details with respect to how much is coming from where. It's my understanding with respect to one of the contracts from off-island purchases, it allows us to firm up our – 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of approximately 12.1 cents a kilowatt hour, okay, which is a pretty high rate, but the savings are really occurring relative to Holyrood fuel. So during 2018, the cost of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details with respect to how much is coming from where. It's my understanding with respect to one of the contracts from off-island purchases, it allows us to firm up our – effectively, allows us to use more 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of approximately 12.1 cents a kilowatt hour, okay, which is a pretty high rate, but the savings are really occurring relative to Holyrood fuel. So during 2018, the cost of Holyrood fuel has been high, so the savings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details with respect to how much is coming from where. It's my understanding with respect to one of the contracts from off-island purchases, it allows us to firm up our – effectively, allows us to use more recapture. So because that contract is in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of approximately 12.1 cents a kilowatt hour, okay, which is a pretty high rate, but the savings are really occurring relative to Holyrood fuel. So during 2018, the cost of Holyrood fuel has been high, so the savings we're getting over the Maritime Link are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details with respect to how much is coming from where. It's my understanding with respect to one of the contracts from off-island purchases, it allows us to firm up our – effectively, allows us to use more recapture. So because that contract is in place, we can use more recapture and get 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of approximately 12.1 cents a kilowatt hour, okay, which is a pretty high rate, but the savings are really occurring relative to Holyrood fuel. So during 2018, the cost of Holyrood fuel has been high, so the savings we're getting over the Maritime Link are providing savings relative to Holyrood fuel.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details with respect to how much is coming from where. It's my understanding with respect to one of the contracts from off-island purchases, it allows us to firm up our – effectively, allows us to use more recapture. So because that contract is in place, we can use more recapture and get more .2 cents, so it's still materially less 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of approximately 12.1 cents a kilowatt hour, okay, which is a pretty high rate, but the savings are really occurring relative to Holyrood fuel. So during 2018, the cost of Holyrood fuel has been high, so the savings we're getting over the Maritime Link are providing savings relative to Holyrood fuel. Once we've got LIL in service, then you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details with respect to how much is coming from where. It's my understanding with respect to one of the contracts from off-island purchases, it allows us to firm up our – effectively, allows us to use more recapture. So because that contract is in place, we can use more recapture and get more .2 cents, so it's still materially less than the 3 cents. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of approximately 12.1 cents a kilowatt hour, okay, which is a pretty high rate, but the savings are really occurring relative to Holyrood fuel. So during 2018, the cost of Holyrood fuel has been high, so the savings we're getting over the Maritime Link are providing savings relative to Holyrood fuel. Once we've got LIL in service, then you wouldn't be buying over the Maritime Link at
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details with respect to how much is coming from where. It's my understanding with respect to one of the contracts from off-island purchases, it allows us to firm up our – effectively, allows us to use more recapture. So because that contract is in place, we can use more recapture and get more .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of approximately 12.1 cents a kilowatt hour, okay, which is a pretty high rate, but the savings are really occurring relative to Holyrood fuel. So during 2018, the cost of Holyrood fuel has been high, so the savings we're getting over the Maritime Link are providing savings relative to Holyrood fuel. Once we've got LIL in service, then you wouldn't be buying over the Maritime Link at such a high price when you could get it over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details with respect to how much is coming from where. It's my understanding with respect to one of the contracts from off-island purchases, it allows us to firm up our – effectively, allows us to use more recapture. So because that contract is in place, we can use more recapture and get more .2 cents, so it's still materially less than the 3 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But recapture power can't be used at all 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of approximately 12.1 cents a kilowatt hour, okay, which is a pretty high rate, but the savings are really occurring relative to Holyrood fuel. So during 2018, the cost of Holyrood fuel has been high, so the savings we're getting over the Maritime Link are providing savings relative to Holyrood fuel. Once we've got LIL in service, then you wouldn't be buying over the Maritime Link at such a high price when you could get it over the Labrador Island Link for much cheaper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Marketing, I assume that you're importing it for no greater than 3 cents if you're selling it to – MR. FAGAN: A. Well, if it's coming over the LIL and it's recaptured, then it's .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q2 cents, yeah, but you're also importing some from outside sources, are you not, to bring down through the LIL? MR. FAGAN: A. I don't know if I can get into the details with respect to how much is coming from where. It's my understanding with respect to one of the contracts from off-island purchases, it allows us to firm up our – effectively, allows us to use more recapture. So because that contract is in place, we can use more recapture and get more .2 cents. BROWNE, Q.C.: 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 MR. FAGAN: A. Well, the customers – the purchases over the Maritime Link certainly thus far are prior to LIL being in service. So we're purchasing it at a rate that's less than it would have cost the customer. Actually, I think if we go to Table 1 for a moment on page 2 or 3 of the evidence. Page 2. So the off-island purchases in 2018 forecast, 113 gigawatt hours, and on Table 2 we show the cost of those purchases of around 13.7 million dollars. So that's about a rate of approximately 12.1 cents a kilowatt hour, okay, which is a pretty high rate, but the savings are really occurring relative to Holyrood fuel. So during 2018, the cost of Holyrood fuel has been high, so the savings we're getting over the Maritime Link are providing savings relative to Holyrood fuel. Once we've got LIL in service, then you wouldn't be buying over the Maritime Link at such a high price when you could get it over

Augus	t 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
1	Page 177	1	Page 179
	BROWNE, Q.C.:	1	Hydro requested them to attempt to adopt the
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. It still seems expensive when there's	2	same system as the American system in Canada
3	evidence before this Board that the hub	3	where there is no pancaking?
4	price, the New England price, is in the 4	4	MR. FAGAN:
5	cent range?	5	A. Well, I know the system that we've adopted
6	MR. FAGAN:	6	with regard to the proposed transmission
7	A. I think if you incorporate all the	7	tariffs is consistent with open access
8	transmission tariffs, and by the time you	8	principles that are applied in the United
9	get it here, I actually think it may be	9	States, so that the same principles are
10	higher than that 12 cents. I think there	10	followed in developing the tariffs that are
11	was – don't have that RFI, but getting the	11	applied elsewhere. With regard to $-$ I'm not
12	power here is expensive because you come	12	sure if it's the case if in one jurisdiction
13	through New England, and then you got to pay	13	in the US if you've got to get power from
14	transmission tariffs, and you've got losses.	14	another RTO that you wouldn't have to pay a
15	So the numbers – call it "pancaking" because	15	tariff. So, I'm not informed enough with
16	you're paying through a number of different	16	respect to that matter to say if that's
17	jurisdictions by the time you get it here,	17	fully how it operates in the US, but the
18	the pancaking of the tariffs add up fairly	18	principles apply.
19	quickly.	19	BROWNE, Q.C.:
20	BROWNE, Q.C.:	20	Q. Our experts tell us it's not, but they say
21	Q. There's no pancaking allowed in the United	21	there's no pancaking allowed there. We have
22	States, is there?	22	an exception here because we're in Canada,
$\frac{-}{23}$	MR. FAGAN:	23	but I can't give evidence and if you're not
24	A. I'm not an expert on transmission tariffs.	24	familiar with it, I guess you can't give the
25	BROWNE, Q.C.:	25	evidence either.
	Page 178		Page 180
1	Q. But you might have heard that somewhere, you	1	MR. FAGAN:
2	being the Director of Regulatory Affairs for	2	A. I accept that.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	Hydro	3	BROWNE, Q.C.:
4	MR. FAGAN:	4	Q. But that brings up an interesting problem
5	A. Well, you see, in the United States most of	5	because Nalcor Energy has entered into these
6	the transmission is done through – they call	6	agreements which have been deemed
7	it RTOs, regional transmission	7	confidential by this Board, the Public
8	organizations. So under regional	8	Utilities Board, at the request of Hydro,
9	transmission organizations they have a	9	and we have no way really of getting around
10	number of states pooled together, so they	10	them to really examine these in detail in a
10	· · ·	10	public hearing to determine if they've
11	don't pay, they're not required – if they're	11	gotten the best value or the lowest possible
	paying a transmission tariff, even if it's		e 1
13	from another state, if they're in the same	13	cost for consumers in these arrangements.
14	RTO, then they don't have to pay a	14	MR. FAGAN:
15	transmission tariff associated with another	15	A. Well, I think – and sometimes it's not
16	state. In Atlantic Canada, there's no	16	always easy to demonstrate least cost, but
17	regional transmission organization, so each	17	if you go to Table 3 on page 5 of the
18	jurisdiction has got to pay for transmission	18	evidence for a moment. So, it shows that
19	tariffs as it flows through, so it's not an	19	the fuel savings in 2018 that we forecast,
20	extra on the American stuff, but certainly	20	there's 67 million dollars and the projected
21	if – the further away they go to get the	21	cost of off-island purchases is 23.2. Now,
22	power and bring it into the island, the more	22	I don't know if that's the maximum value,
23	it's going to cost.	23	but it's a lot of value and so customers are
24	BROWNE, Q.C.:	24	getting very good benefit for the off-island
25	Q. And in terms of Canada, has Nalcor Energy or	25	purchases and the use of the assets. So,

	Page 181		Page 183
1	yeah, there's, I guess, more detail and more	1	unless we put it under considerable scrutiny
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	scrutiny could be applied, but from a high	2	in a public hearing if those who are
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	level, there's certainly nothing obvious	$\frac{2}{3}$	purchasing this power, which ultimately has
1		4	
4	that looks like there's anything unfair to		been paid for by consumers, if it is the
5	customers because there's huge savings going	5	lowest possible cost they have? How will we
6	on.	6	know? How would they know? It seems like
7	The rate increase to customers if we	7	we have to put all our confidence in the
8	weren't using the Labrador Transmission	8	Board, the auditors, Hydro, what's being
9	Assets would be – well, the Isolated System	9	presented, but there's no public scrutiny in
10	scenario and when we were doing our – we	10	reference to it, which is a deficiency.
11	were getting the last round of negotiations	11	Would you not agree?
12	and we start looking at the off-island	12	MR. FAGAN:
13	purchases and saying okay, look, under the	13	A. Well, I don't know. As a customer – and
14	deferral account scenario that we had, the	14	there's been a lot of rate increases. I
15	rate increases reflecting Holyrood, I think	15	mean, we know last year there was a material
16	we talked about for all Industrial Customers	16	increase in July. We had the – this past
17	if Holyrood fuel was in place for 2018 and	17	July, there was 6.8 percent for customers.
18	we didn't – and in '19, you didn't have	18	But, coming out of this GRA as a result of
19	these savings, we could be talking like 24	19	entering into these agreements that we can
20	percent increases for Island Industrial	20	say oh, 1.2 percent increase, and so
21	Customers. And I think for retail	20	basically keeping rates flat coming out of
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$	customers, we may have been talking 12 or 13	22	the General Rate Application. So, I think
23	percent retail increases.	23	customers aren't in – they're never going to
23	So, the benefits to customers of the	23	be into the detail that much, but if they
24	off-island purchases to me are obvious.	24 25	recognize that there's a process in place to
23	1	23	
1	Page 182	1	Page 184
	It's just a question of demonstrating least	1	provide scrutiny for it and if the Board and
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	cost is not always easy with regard to	2	the Board's auditors are reviewing it in
3	purchases when you've got to go out and	3	they're ruling that yeah, these numbers look
4	negotiate these agreements and try and	4	reasonable and customers are getting
5	maintain confidentiality.	5	reasonable benefit, I think the fact that if
6	So, I believe as long as the Board and	6	rates are not going up materially, I think
7	their auditors and the parties have a right	7	that should give them some assuredness that
8	to review the numbers confidentially and can	8	the process is working.
9	see that there's savings for customers, I	9	BROWNE, Q.C.:
10	think sometimes you've got to compromise	10	Q. And complicating the matter further is that
11	from the transparency that is desirable, I	11	you're effectively dealing with a sister
12	know, but sometimes it's the best interest	12	company because the LIL is shared with Emera
13	of customers that we limit it somewhat so	13	and the Maritime Link is shared and one
14	that we can get better benefit by keeping	14	could surmise that it's all very comfortable
15	confidentiality. I think they're getting	15	and probably requires some public scrutiny
16	better benefit. If we can't maintain the	16	as to what's gone on here.
17	confidentiality, then you can't enter into	17	(12:30 p.m.)
18	the agreements and you can't get the savings	18	MR. FAGAN:
19	for them. So, I think it's part of the	19	A. Well, the O&M costs, there's no return as
20	package.	20	such built into those O&M costs, so – and
20	BROWNE, Q.C.:	20	I'm not an expert on what are the proper O&M
21 22	Q. And I'll allow, of course, that if you're	22	costs, but what costs we're being charged
	getting it at 12.3 cents and you're paying	22	are being charged to Hydro for the operating
1 / 4		4.)	
$\begin{vmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{vmatrix}$			
23 24 25	more at Holyrood, there's certainly value. But the point I make is how will we know	24 25	costs of Nalcor Power Supply and none of those costs are going to Emera. So, they're

	st 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
	Page 185		Page 187
1	costs being incurred by Nalcor for the	1	you've got options. So, you negotiate. You
2	provision of LIL and LTA. So, I don't see	2	can get a better deal because you don't have
3	how – I don't think Emera comes into play.	3	to go and say "I don't just have Holyrood
4	Once the project's fully in-service, then	4	anymore. That's not my marginal cost
5	Emera gets their share of their investment,	5	anymore. I've got other suppliers." Okay?
6	return on their investment. But in the	6	So, it impacts your negotiations once you've
7	meantime, there's no funds going to Emera	7	got the two lines versus the one line.
8	with respect to the O&M costs that are being	8	BROWNE, Q.C.:
9	paid.	9	Q. Some would argue just the opposite; that
10	BROWNE, Q.C.:	10	everyone knew what the cost was and you said
11	Q. If you're purchasing from an Emera company,	11	what the cost was. Like for instance,
12	you're paying just less than what the costs	12	Vermont bought from Quebec some years ago,
13	will be of producing at Holyrood?	13	5.3 cents a kilowatt in a 15-year contract
14	MR. FAGAN:	14	that everyone knows what you're paying. So,
15	A. Sorry, you're referring to the off-island	15	therefore some party might come forward and
16	purchases?	16	say "here's what they're charging you is
17	BROWNE, Q.C.:	17	12.3 cents. That's a bit outrageous. We'd
18	Q. Yes.	18	actually do it for you for eight cents or
	MR. FAGAN:	10	
19			seven cents or six cents." Could you see my
20	A. I thought you were referring to the O&M.	20	point in reference to that?
21	Well, I'm not sure who – well, it's	21	MR. FAGAN:
22	confidential on who the purchase agreements	22	A. Well, it's certainly – I think there's two
23	are. However, while – prior to LIL being	23	sides to that aspect because when you're –
24	in-service and the Maritime Link is in-	24	if you're negotiating in these – I use these
25	service, the marketing agencies out there or	25	bilateral agreements because like the New
	Page 186		Page 188
1	the other, you know, people who sell power	1	England Power Pool, so it's published price
2	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they	2	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements
1	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood,	2 3	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price,
2	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our	2 3 4	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get
2 3	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what	2 3	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price,
2 3 4 5 6	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our	2 3 4 5 6	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your
2 3 4 5	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what	2 3 4 5	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a
2 3 4 5 6	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next	2 3 4 5 6	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your
2 3 4 5 6 7	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're	2 3 4 5 6 7	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm only going to pay you four or five cents a kilowatt hour" when they know the cost that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it, but you still got customers a better price. So, there's a balancing, I think, that has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm only going to pay you four or five cents a kilowatt hour" when they know the cost that we'd be experiencing say if the cost is \$80	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it, but you still got customers a better price.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm only going to pay you four or five cents a kilowatt hour" when they know the cost that we'd be experiencing say if the cost is \$80 a barrel in 2018, say on average, may not be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it, but you still got customers a better price. So, there's a balancing, I think, that has to go on with respect to transparency versus cost.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm only going to pay you four or five cents a kilowatt hour" when they know the cost that we'd be experiencing say if the cost is \$80 a barrel in 2018, say on average, may not be far off so far, which is say 13 cents a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it, but you still got customers a better price. So, there's a balancing, I think, that has to go on with respect to transparency versus cost. BROWNE, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm only going to pay you four or five cents a kilowatt hour" when they know the cost that we'd be experiencing say if the cost is \$80 a barrel in 2018, say on average, may not be far off so far, which is say 13 cents a kilowatt hour. So, they know you're going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it, but you still got customers a better price. So, there's a balancing, I think, that has to go on with respect to transparency versus cost. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. In reference to your position, you gave
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm only going to pay you four or five cents a kilowatt hour" when they know the cost that we'd be experiencing say if the cost is \$80 a barrel in 2018, say on average, may not be far off so far, which is say 13 cents a kilowatt hour. So, they know you're going to spend 13 cents a kilowatt hour if they	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	 England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it, but you still got customers a better price. So, there's a balancing, I think, that has to go on with respect to transparency versus cost. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. In reference to your position, you gave evidence that you're dealing with Nalcor as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm only going to pay you four or five cents a kilowatt hour" when they know the cost that we'd be experiencing say if the cost is \$80 a barrel in 2018, say on average, may not be far off so far, which is say 13 cents a kilowatt hour. So, they know you're going to spend 13 cents a kilowatt hour if they don't sell to you. So, they're looking at	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	 England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it, but you still got customers a better price. So, there's a balancing, I think, that has to go on with respect to transparency versus cost. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. In reference to your position, you gave evidence that you're dealing with Nalcor as Director of Regulatory Affairs and you'll be
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm only going to pay you four or five cents a kilowatt hour" when they know the cost that we'd be experiencing say if the cost is \$80 a barrel in 2018, say on average, may not be far off so far, which is say 13 cents a kilowatt hour. So, they know you're going to spend 13 cents a kilowatt hour if they don't sell to you. So, they're looking at that and they're going to look and say	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it, but you still got customers a better price. So, there's a balancing, I think, that has to go on with respect to transparency versus cost. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. In reference to your position, you gave evidence that you're dealing with Nalcor as Director of Regulatory Affairs and you'll be scrutinizing, you know, through Hydro or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm only going to pay you four or five cents a kilowatt hour" when they know the cost that we'd be experiencing say if the cost is \$80 a barrel in 2018, say on average, may not be far off so far, which is say 13 cents a kilowatt hour. So, they know you're going to spend 13 cents a kilowatt hour if they don't sell to you. So, they're looking at that and they're going to look and say "well, I'm going to try and get a deal with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it, but you still got customers a better price. So, there's a balancing, I think, that has to go on with respect to transparency versus cost. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. In reference to your position, you gave evidence that you're dealing with Nalcor as Director of Regulatory Affairs and you'll be scrutinizing, you know, through Hydro or through – as Director of Regulatory Affairs,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm only going to pay you four or five cents a kilowatt hour" when they know the cost that we'd be experiencing say if the cost is \$80 a barrel in 2018, say on average, may not be far off so far, which is say 13 cents a kilowatt hour. So, they know you're going to spend 13 cents a kilowatt hour if they don't sell to you. So, they're looking at that and they're going to look and say "well, I'm going to try and get a deal with you for close to your marginal cost because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it, but you still got customers a better price. So, there's a balancing, I think, that has to go on with respect to transparency versus cost. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. In reference to your position, you gave evidence that you're dealing with Nalcor as Director of Regulatory Affairs and you'll be scrutinizing, you know, through Hydro or through – as Director of Regulatory Affairs, I guess you would have some real work to do
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm only going to pay you four or five cents a kilowatt hour" when they know the cost that we'd be experiencing say if the cost is \$80 a barrel in 2018, say on average, may not be far off so far, which is say 13 cents a kilowatt hour. So, they know you're going to spend 13 cents a kilowatt hour if they don't sell to you. So, they're looking at that and they're going to look and say "well, I'm going to try and get a deal with you for close to your marginal cost because you've got to" – they've got you captive as	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it, but you still got customers a better price. So, there's a balancing, I think, that has to go on with respect to transparency versus cost. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. In reference to your position, you gave evidence that you're dealing with Nalcor as Director of Regulatory Affairs and you'll be scrutinizing, you know, through Hydro or through – as Director of Regulatory Affairs, I guess you would have some real work to do in reference to this, as to exactly what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the other, you know, people who sell power on the other side of the Maritime Link, they know Hydro's marginal cost is Holyrood, okay. It's no secret. We've got it in our marginal cost. So, everybody knows what it's going to cost you for your next kilowatt hour on the island. So, if they're going to come into an agreement with you, they're negotiating with you based on what they know your cost is if you don't buy from them. So, it makes it hard to say "oh, I'm only going to pay you four or five cents a kilowatt hour" when they know the cost that we'd be experiencing say if the cost is \$80 a barrel in 2018, say on average, may not be far off so far, which is say 13 cents a kilowatt hour. So, they know you're going to spend 13 cents a kilowatt hour if they don't sell to you. So, they're looking at that and they're going to look and say "well, I'm going to try and get a deal with you for close to your marginal cost because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 England Power Pool, so it's published price out there, okay, but on these agreements when you may be able to get a better price, okay. So, if transparency forces you to get a higher cost because you've got to use a published price, then – and put all your transmission tariffs on, that's not necessarily in the best interest of customers if you can negotiate a bilateral agreement but sacrifice transparency in that you can't give the full information on it, but you still got customers a better price. So, there's a balancing, I think, that has to go on with respect to transparency versus cost. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. In reference to your position, you gave evidence that you're dealing with Nalcor as Director of Regulatory Affairs and you'll be scrutinizing, you know, through Hydro or through – as Director of Regulatory Affairs, I guess you would have some real work to do

Tugu.	50,2010		
	Page 189		Page 191
1	one of your jobs as we see these things come	1	A. There's going to be an agreement with regard
2	to fruition?	2	to the use of the transmission assets, but
3	MR. FAGAN:	3	that won't be a confidential agreement.
4	A. Well, we get the – currently – are you	4	That's going to be provided to the Board
5	talking post or pre or both?	5	when it's completed.
6	BROWNE, Q.C.:	6	BROWNE, Q.C.:
7	Q. Yeah, just now. Now we're into certain	7	Q. Well, what confidentiality agreements is
8	agreements and that are coming up. You	8	your counsel referring to? Are you party to
9	know, at the end of the hearing, they're	9	that or do you know anything about that?
10	going to be filed and they're seeking	10	Because they're bringing it forward while
11	confidentiality of certain matters.	11	you're on the stand here.
12	MR. FAGAN:	12	MR. FAGAN:
13	A. Yes, any agreements that will come to the	13	A. No, I'm not aware of the particular
14	Board.	14	agreements you're talking about.
15	BROWNE, Q.C.:	15	BROWNE, Q.C.:
16	Q. It's all very important stuff. What's that?	16	Q. Chair, it's just $-$ it's a bit objectionable
17	MR. FAGAN:	10	that agreements are going to be brought
17	A. Any agreements that come to the Board, so	17	forward at the end of the hearing and filed
10	the Ponding Agreement that we referred to or	10	when there would be no one to speak to
	the agreement with regard to the benefits of	20	
20	e e	20	those. I don't know if counsel plans to put forward witnesses to speak to these
21	exports and the sharing of the benefits		1
22	between Hydro and Muskrat Falls Corporation,	22	undertakings of agreements that are being
23	any agreements that will come to the Board	23	filed and which they're seeking some kind of
24	on matters like that, certainly come to	24	confidentiality, but it's in the public
25	Regulatory for our review before we sign	25	interest that these matters be debated
	Page 190		Page 192
1	off.	1	publicly, and people know what's going on
2	BROWNE, Q.C.:	2	with an open and transparent proceeding. I
3	Q. And your counsel here has notified that	3	don't know if counsel can undertake to brief
4	they're bringing on some of these	4	the witness overnight as to what they're
5	agreements, but they are looking for	5	doing so the witness can speak to it and be
6	confidentiality. Can you tell us what	6	questioned on it. I think that that's
7	agreements they're bringing on and what	7	important.
8	confidentiality is that they are seeking?	8	CHAIR:
9	MR. FAGAN:	9	Q. Just for clarification, are you referring to
10	A. I'm not sure if the agreements – the	10	Notice of Motion that was made this morning?
11	matters, the undertakings they were talking	11	BROWNE, Q.C.:
12	about this morning were related to	12	Q. The Notice of Motion and -
13	agreements or they're related to	13	CHAIR:
14			
1	transactions and whether they were broken	14	Q. The agreements that Ms. Hutchens, I think,
15		14 15	Q. The agreements that Ms. Hutchens, I think, Ms. Hutchens referred to as well as -
15 16	transactions and whether they were broken		· · · ·
	transactions and whether they were broken down adequately for purposes of $-$ so that if	15	Ms. Hutchens referred to as well as - BROWNE, Q.C.:
16	transactions and whether they were broken down adequately for purposes of $-$ so that if you broke them down enough, you'd end up with regard to releasing confidentiality	15 16	Ms. Hutchens referred to as well as -
16 17 18	transactions and whether they were broken down adequately for purposes of $-$ so that if you broke them down enough, you'd end up with regard to releasing confidentiality associated with power purchases and the	15 16 17 18	Ms. Hutchens referred to as well as - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah. Well, anything within the framework
16 17 18 19	transactions and whether they were broken down adequately for purposes of – so that if you broke them down enough, you'd end up with regard to releasing confidentiality associated with power purchases and the source of power purchases. So, I'm not sure	15 16 17 18 19	Ms. Hutchens referred to as well as - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah. Well, anything within the framework of confidentiality. CHAIR:
16 17 18 19 20	transactions and whether they were broken down adequately for purposes of – so that if you broke them down enough, you'd end up with regard to releasing confidentiality associated with power purchases and the source of power purchases. So, I'm not sure what particular agreements that we're	15 16 17 18 19 20	Ms. Hutchens referred to as well as - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah. Well, anything within the framework of confidentiality. CHAIR: Q. Okay. So, nothing specific?
16 17 18 19 20 21	transactions and whether they were broken down adequately for purposes of – so that if you broke them down enough, you'd end up with regard to releasing confidentiality associated with power purchases and the source of power purchases. So, I'm not sure what particular agreements that we're talking about or that they're proposing.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ms. Hutchens referred to as well as - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah. Well, anything within the framework of confidentiality. CHAIR: Q. Okay. So, nothing specific? BROWNE, Q.C.:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	transactions and whether they were broken down adequately for purposes of – so that if you broke them down enough, you'd end up with regard to releasing confidentiality associated with power purchases and the source of power purchases. So, I'm not sure what particular agreements that we're talking about or that they're proposing. BROWNE, Q.C.:	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ms. Hutchens referred to as well as - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah. Well, anything within the framework of confidentiality. CHAIR: Q. Okay. So, nothing specific? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. No.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 transactions and whether they were broken down adequately for purposes of – so that if you broke them down enough, you'd end up with regard to releasing confidentiality associated with power purchases and the source of power purchases. So, I'm not sure what particular agreements that we're talking about or that they're proposing. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Is there to be a power purchase agreement 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ms. Hutchens referred to as well as - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah. Well, anything within the framework of confidentiality. CHAIR: Q. Okay. So, nothing specific? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. No. CHAIR:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	transactions and whether they were broken down adequately for purposes of – so that if you broke them down enough, you'd end up with regard to releasing confidentiality associated with power purchases and the source of power purchases. So, I'm not sure what particular agreements that we're talking about or that they're proposing. BROWNE, Q.C.:	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ms. Hutchens referred to as well as - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Yeah. Well, anything within the framework of confidentiality. CHAIR: Q. Okay. So, nothing specific? BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. No.

r ugu	51 0, 2010		
	Page 193	1	Page 195
	Q. Yeah. And they're not – we haven't seen the	1	Q. We'll let it go and we'll let – we'll see
2	motion, but the process is under scrutiny	2	what it is. It's just an observation.
3	because they're bringing it forward at the	3	There's a lot of confidentiality starting to
4	end of the hearing when all the witnesses	4	come from Nalcor or Hydro for the Board to
5	are gone home. So, is there going to be a	5	give its imprimatur. There's only so much
6	witness to speak to these or is the public	6	that will be tolerated by the public I'm
7	going to be barred from this through a	7	thinking in reference to these matters and
8	confidentiality cloak? Maybe -	8	open, transparent systems seem to get the
9	MR. TEMPLETON:	9	better results as we've seen in the past,
10	Q. Madam Chair, perhaps we can offer some	10	not too distant past. But I'll move on.
11	clarification.	11	CHAIR:
12	CHAIR:	12	Q. Thank you, Mr. Browne.
13	Q. Yes.	13	BROWNE, Q.C.:
14	MR. TEMPLETON:	14	Q. The figures that you're giving us in
15	Q. With respect to the notice for the	15	reference to just about everything comes
16	confidentiality motion that we intend to	16	from Nalcor directly or indirectly. Is that
17	bring, it's in respect of the three	17	fair enough? Like your tables in terms of -
18	undertakings that I referred to earlier	18	MR. FAGAN:
19	today. The issue that we have is that each	19	A. No, I wouldn't -
20	of those undertakings relates to the off-	20	BROWNE, Q.C.:
21	island purchases, any breakdown of the	21	Q the LIL. Let's just go to that, your
22	figures that we've provided in respect of	22	table in terms of the LIL and the 51
23	those in the aggregate. So, if we are to	23	million.
24	divide those down, our confidentiality	24	MR. FAGAN:
25	concern is that armed with that information,	25	A. Okay. With respect to the operating
	Page 194		Page 196
1	there is some reverse engineering that can	1	maintenance costs you're referring to?
2	be done, calculations that can be made that	2	BROWNE, Q.C.:
3	would otherwise demonstrate what some of	3	Q. Yes.
4	that pricing numbers are. So, the	4	MR. FAGAN:
5	confidentiality concerns that we have are no	5	A. Okay.
6	different than the confidentiality concerns	6	BROWNE, Q.C.:
7	that we have raised in relation to the RFIs	7	Q. That comes from Nalcor?
8	previously and that the Board has already	8	MR. FAGAN:
9	ordered in respect of.	9	A. Yes.
10	Certainly it's – we are well attuned to	10	BROWNE, Q.C.:
11	the transparency that is appropriate to	11	Q. How can we be certain – so, you're a
12	these proceedings. The issue though is one	12	conveyor of the message? You're bringing
13	of protecting the commercial interests that	13	the message to the Board from Nalcor, "this
14	ultimately go to the benefit of the	14	is what we need for that"? Is that fair
15	ratepayer at the end of the day.	15	comment?
16	And so, what we are going to be	16	MR. FAGAN:
17	proposing in the written motion will be the	17	A. With respect to the O&M costs, this is –
18	same outcome as has already transpired in	18	these are Nalcor's estimates that they
19	the previous order with respect to	19	provided to Hydro what it would cost to –
20	confidentiality undertakings being signed	20	for operating maintenance costs for 2018 and
21	off by counsel and the represented parties	21	Hydro's planning to enter into agreement to
22	and then there being access to that	22	pay those costs subject to a number of
23	information. But it's not in relation to	23	conditions, I'm sure, to provide the savings
24	any new agreements per se.	24	to customers that are presented in Table 3,
25	BROWNE, Q.C.:	25	which is the 44 million dollars in '18 and

	D 107		NL Hydio 2017 OKA
1	Page 197	1	Page 199
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	the 85 million dollars in '19.	1	BROWNE, Q.C.:
2	BROWNE, Q.C.:	2	Q. Yeah, sure, I -
3	Q. And what's proposed here, is Hydro taking an	3	MR. FAGAN:
4	invoice from Nalcor and presenting this	4	A. And their costs are audited the same as
5	invoice to the Board or are we just going by	5	Hydro's costs are audited.
6	this general information that you're	6	BROWNE, Q.C.:
7	providing which comes from a slideshow, as	7	Q. I understand, but you have no invoice at
8	far as I can tell?	8	this hearing to present to the Board in
9	MR. FAGAN:	9	reference "this is the invoice that Nalcor
10	A. Well, it's a forecast of costs. So, when	10	has given us. We've looked it over. It
11	the actual costs come in, Hydro will be	11	looks good to us. Now we're presenting it
12	billed the actual costs as it would be with	12	to the hearing to be subject to public
13	any supplier, requesting and providing all	13	scrutiny," the scrutiny of the lawyers here
14	the details behind the costs that we would	14	and so on -
15	want. So, I'm sure the information provided	15	MR. FAGAN:
16	will be reviewed in detail to ensure it's	16	A. Well, at this stage -
17	reflective of costs incurred by Nalcor in	17	BROWNE, Q.C.:
18	providing service. Now, I'm not an expert	18	Q and the Board counsel. You have nothing
19	on what our right of refusal to pay is.	19	like that to present at this juncture.
20	That's a legal matter. But the information	20	(12:45 p.m.)
21	will be provided with respect to what the	21	MR. FÁGÁN:
22	costs are.	22	A. We've got their forecast expenditures.
23	BROWNE, Q.C.:	23	We'll have updated forecasts in September.
24	Q. And really how realistic would that be?	24	But they're the forecast expenditures. So,
25	Your parent company is telling you these are	25	we won't have the invoice with regard to
	Page 198		B 200
			Page 200
1	e e	1	what the actual costs are until after. But
	the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to	1 2	ç
2	the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to	2	what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last –
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	the costs. You're looking at the invoice.		what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well,
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3\\ 4 \end{vmatrix}$	the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN:	2 3	what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account
2 3 4 5	the costs. You're looking at the invoice.Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way?MR. FAGAN:A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is	2 3 4 5	what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3\\ 4 \end{vmatrix}$	the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way?MR. FAGAN:A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the	2 3 4	what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account
2 3 4 5 6 7	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its 	2 3 4 5 6 7	what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reporting. They've got to file 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reporting. They've got to file financial reports for each division of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day. BROWNE, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reporting. They've got to file financial reports for each division of Nalcor, the same as Hydro would have to file 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But are you suggesting that this is going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reports for each division of Nalcor, the same as Hydro would have to file its financial reports. They got quarterly 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But are you suggesting that this is going to be an internal process? That the costs will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reporting. They've got to file financial reports for each division of Nalcor, the same as Hydro would have to file its financial reports. They got quarterly reporting that they file as well. So, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But are you suggesting that this is going to be an internal process? That the costs will come and will be given over to the Board;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reporting. They've got to file financial reports for each division of Nalcor, the same as Hydro would have to file its financial reports. They got quarterly reporting that they file as well. So, they'd go out with – Nalcor has their 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But are you suggesting that this is going to be an internal process? That the costs will come and will be given over to the Board; the Board's auditors will review it, using
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reporting. They've got to file financial reports for each division of Nalcor, the same as Hydro would have to file its financial reports. They got quarterly reporting that they file as well. So, they'd go out with – Nalcor has their quarterly financial release Mr. Marshall 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But are you suggesting that this is going to be an internal process? That the costs will come and will be given over to the Board; the Board's auditors will review it, using normal auditing standards, I guess, what's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reports for each division of Nalcor, the same as Hydro would have to file its financial reports. They got quarterly reporting that they file as well. So, they'd go out with – Nalcor has their quarterly financial release Mr. Marshall goes out with and it's got all their costs 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But are you suggesting that this is going to be an internal process? That the costs will come and will be given over to the Board; the Board's auditors will review it, using normal auditing standards, I guess, what's required, and then the Board will pass it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reporting. They've got to file financial reports for each division of Nalcor, the same as Hydro would have to file its financial reports. They got quarterly reporting that they file as well. So, they'd go out with – Nalcor has their quarterly financial release Mr. Marshall goes out with and it's got all their costs that are incurred. So, behind all those 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But are you suggesting that this is going to be an internal process? That the costs will come and will be given over to the Board; the Board's auditors will review it, using normal auditing standards, I guess, what's required, and then the Board will pass it? Or are you suggesting that that has to go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reporting. They've got to file financial reports for each division of Nalcor, the same as Hydro would have to file its financial reports. They got quarterly reporting that they file as well. So, they'd go out with – Nalcor has their quarterly financial release Mr. Marshall goes out with and it's got all their costs that are incurred. So, behind all those costs, a subset of those costs would be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But are you suggesting that this is going to be an internal process? That the costs will come and will be given over to the Board; the Board's auditors will review it, using normal auditing standards, I guess, what's required, and then the Board will pass it? Or are you suggesting that that has to go through a public scrutiny, a public hearing,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reporting. They've got to file financial reports for each division of Nalcor, the same as Hydro would have to file its financial reports. They got quarterly reporting that they file as well. So, they'd go out with – Nalcor has their quarterly financial release Mr. Marshall goes out with and it's got all their costs that are incurred. So, behind all those costs, a subset of those costs would be these operating costs that are being 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But are you suggesting that this is going to be an internal process? That the costs will come and will be given over to the Board; the Board's auditors will review it, using normal auditing standards, I guess, what's required, and then the Board will pass it? Or are you suggesting that that has to go through a public scrutiny, a public hearing, where maybe some people will come here from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reports for each division of Nalcor, the same as Hydro would have to file its financial reports. They got quarterly reporting that they file as well. So, they'd go out with – Nalcor has their quarterly financial release Mr. Marshall goes out with and it's got all their costs that are incurred. So, behind all those costs, a subset of those costs would be these operating costs that are being incurred by Power Supply of Nalcor to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But are you suggesting that this is going to be an internal process? That the costs will come and will be given over to the Board; the Board's auditors will review it, using normal auditing standards, I guess, what's required, and then the Board will pass it? Or are you suggesting that that has to go through a public scrutiny, a public hearing, where maybe some people will come here from Nalcor and say "these are our costs" and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the costs. You're looking at the invoice. Who's protecting the public in reference to that invoice that's headed this way? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I guess, Nalcor, like any company, is required to comply with the law on the accounting standards in reporting its financial transactions. So, the cost that they would report and charge to us would be consistent with the cost that they would report as expenses on their own, you know, financial reporting. They've got to file financial reports for each division of Nalcor, the same as Hydro would have to file its financial reports. They got quarterly reporting that they file as well. So, they'd go out with – Nalcor has their quarterly financial release Mr. Marshall goes out with and it's got all their costs that are incurred. So, behind all those costs, a subset of those costs would be these operating costs that are being 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 what the actual costs are until after. But if the costs are different after last – well, I guess, if they're more as well, they'll go into this deferral account because the savings are less the costs of off-island purchases. So, the net numbers and the – so, once we file – we've got our final information on what goes into the deferral accounts to be exposed to the customers, the Board's auditors can review those costs. So, the costs are there to be seen at the end of the day. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But are you suggesting that this is going to be an internal process? That the costs will come and will be given over to the Board; the Board's auditors will review it, using normal auditing standards, I guess, what's required, and then the Board will pass it? Or are you suggesting that that has to go through a public scrutiny, a public hearing, where maybe some people will come here from

	50,2018		NL Hydro 2017 OKA
1 1	Page 201	1	Page 203
	this way. How can you, as a witness, in	1	different legal argument.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	fairness to you, speak to those costs to	2	BROWNE, Q.C.:
3	ensure that they were fairly and reasonably	3	Q. Are you aware of any overture that was made
4	attributed to the invoice?	4	by Hydro or Nalcor to Government to change
5	MR. FAGAN:	5	the Order in Council which is the subject of
6	A. Well, this one's a little bit of $a - it's$	6	this legal debate?
7	different than most costs in that there's	7	MR. FAGAN:
8	legal debate of authority to refuse the	8	A. I wouldn't have been involved in anything.
9	costs. But I don't think that reduces	9	No, I'm -
10	Hydro's obligation to review to ensure that	10	BROWNE, Q.C.:
11	the types of costs being incurred by Nalcor	11	Q. I didn't ask were you involved. I asked
12	Supply are consistent with costs that Hydro	12	were you aware.
13	would incur in doing its own transmission	13	MR. FAGAN:
14	maintenance, O&M costs for transmission.	14	A. I'm not aware. I'm also somewhat of a
15	So, I think we're limited to what we have	15	latecomer to it, but the – because I joined
16	with respect to right to refuse, but I don't	16	Hydro in 2014. I know there's always been
17	think that removes our obligation to review	17	discussions and I've talked to Government
18	the costs.	18	staff myself with respect to the costs of –
19	So, yes, public process with regard to	19	O&M costs and the fact that, you know, "gee,
20	testing of the costs, I don't think – and	20	it's too bad about those O&M costs because
21	I'll leave it to the lawyers to determine if	21	the savings would be a lot more for
22	that's – if that can occur because it's my	22	customers" and it's – but with regard to if
23	understanding that the Board may not have	23	the costs are reasonable, that's not for me
24	authority.	24	to say. But certainly we can look at it
25		25	from a high level and say "well, even if we
	Page 202		Page 204
1	BROWNE, Q.C.:	1	think they're high, the value for customers
2	Q. Yeah, and of course, the public is starting	2	is really high." So, I don't know if I can
1 2		- `	meet the least cost standard, but I know it
3	to view a lot of this stuff and the public	3	
4	deserves some transparency here. There's a	4	provides benefits to customers and the
4 5	deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now.	4 5	provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So,
4 5 6	deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers,	4 5 6	provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know
4 5 6 7	deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from	4 5 6 7	provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory
4 5 6 7 8	deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters.	4 5 6 7 8	provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want.
4 5 6 7 8 9	deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN:	4 5 6 7 8 9	provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro is just complying with what the Government 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or indirectly, the O&M costs for the entire LIL
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro is just complying with what the Government direction would be. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or indirectly, the O&M costs for the entire LIL as if it was operational bringing, I guess,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro is just complying with what the Government direction would be. BROWNE, Q.C.: 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or indirectly, the O&M costs for the entire LIL as if it was operational bringing, I guess, what it can bring down, 900 megawatts
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro is just complying with what the Government direction would be. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, someone might say that – I guess the 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or indirectly, the O&M costs for the entire LIL as if it was operational bringing, I guess, what it can bring down, 900 megawatts eventually, when we're told that it can only
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro is just complying with what the Government direction would be. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, someone might say that – I guess the lawyers could argue this point now; that 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or indirectly, the O&M costs for the entire LIL as if it was operational bringing, I guess, what it can bring down, 900 megawatts eventually, when we're told that it can only give 45 megawatts right now and we don't
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro is just complying with what the Government direction would be. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, someone might say that – I guess the lawyers could argue this point now; that Hydro is not complying because the Order in 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or indirectly, the O&M costs for the entire LIL as if it was operational bringing, I guess, what it can bring down, 900 megawatts eventually, when we're told that it can only give 45 megawatts right now and we don't know what the end result would be? Why
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro is just complying with what the Government direction would be. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, someone might say that – I guess the lawyers could argue this point now; that Hydro is not complying because the Order in Council is quite specific and that you're 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or indirectly, the O&M costs for the entire LIL as if it was operational bringing, I guess, what it can bring down, 900 megawatts eventually, when we're told that it can only give 45 megawatts right now and we don't know what the end result would be? Why would ratepayers be paying the full total
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro is just complying with what the Government direction would be. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, someone might say that – I guess the lawyers could argue this point now; that Hydro is not complying because the Order in Council is quite specific and that you're trying to get costs to which you're not 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or indirectly, the O&M costs for the entire LIL as if it was operational bringing, I guess, what it can bring down, 900 megawatts eventually, when we're told that it can only give 45 megawatts right now and we don't know what the end result would be? Why would ratepayers be paying the full total when they're only getting a partial benefit
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro is just complying with what the Government direction would be. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, someone might say that – I guess the lawyers could argue this point now; that Hydro is not complying because the Order in Council is quite specific and that you're trying to get costs to which you're not entitled at this juncture. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or indirectly, the O&M costs for the entire LIL as if it was operational bringing, I guess, what it can bring down, 900 megawatts eventually, when we're told that it can only give 45 megawatts right now and we don't know what the end result would be? Why would ratepayers be paying the full total when they're only getting a partial benefit in this regard? Have you raised that with
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro is just complying with what the Government direction would be. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, someone might say that – I guess the lawyers could argue this point now; that Hydro is not complying because the Order in Council is quite specific and that you're trying to get costs to which you're not entitled at this juncture. MR. FAGAN: 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or indirectly, the O&M costs for the entire LIL as if it was operational bringing, I guess, what it can bring down, 900 megawatts eventually, when we're told that it can only give 45 megawatts right now and we don't know what the end result would be? Why would ratepayers be paying the full total when they're only getting a partial benefit in this regard? Have you raised that with Nalcor?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro is just complying with what the Government direction would be. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, someone might say that – I guess the lawyers could argue this point now; that Hydro is not complying because the Order in Council is quite specific and that you're trying to get costs to which you're not entitled at this juncture. MR. FAGAN: A. Well, that's debate over whether they're 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or indirectly, the O&M costs for the entire LIL as if it was operational bringing, I guess, what it can bring down, 900 megawatts eventually, when we're told that it can only give 45 megawatts right now and we don't know what the end result would be? Why would ratepayers be paying the full total when they're only getting a partial benefit in this regard? Have you raised that with Nalcor? MR. FAGAN:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 deserves some transparency here. There's a lot on the go in this province right now. So, as the representative of the ratepayers, you could see fairly where I'm coming from in reference to these matters. MR. FAGAN: A. I understand, but the legislation is what put it in place. So, it's – or Government direction, whichever the case, but so Hydro is just complying with what the Government direction would be. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, someone might say that – I guess the lawyers could argue this point now; that Hydro is not complying because the Order in Council is quite specific and that you're trying to get costs to which you're not entitled at this juncture. MR. FAGAN: 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 provides benefits to customers and the benefits to customers are very high. So, that reduces my concern somewhat, but I know it may not meet the normal regulatory transparency standard that one would want. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. As the Director of Regulatory Affairs for Hydro, does it concern you at all that Nalcor is charging Hydro, directly or indirectly, the O&M costs for the entire LIL as if it was operational bringing, I guess, what it can bring down, 900 megawatts eventually, when we're told that it can only give 45 megawatts right now and we don't know what the end result would be? Why would ratepayers be paying the full total when they're only getting a partial benefit in this regard? Have you raised that with Nalcor?

Augus	t 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
1	Page 205	1	Page 207
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	what's incremental, what's incremental O&M costs associated with the provision of	1 2	October 1 and that would have been provided by Ms. Williams' group.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	service; because we're using it, how much	2 3	BROWNE, Q.C.:
4	extra costs is being incurred. It's	3 4	Q. But it still doesn't take away from my
5	somewhat of $a - well$, a difficult concept to	5	initial assertion. Why are consumers paying
	quantify, I guess, but I guess from a	6	for the full cost, the 51 million, when at
67	customer perspective and a Hydro perspective	0 7	this time, until Muskrat Falls is
8	is that if we want to get these savings for	8	commissioned, until the project is
9	customers and keep their rates down in 2018	0 9	commissioned, why would they be paying the
10	and '19 or, in Hydro's initial proposal, to	10	full cost when they're not getting the full
11	put the savings aside for future customers,	11	cost of the benefit? Wouldn't that just go
12	going to have to use the assets. And if you	12	to testing or construction or whatever it is
12	can't use the assets unless you pay to use	13	they do there?
14	the assets, but the savings are way above	14	MR. FAGAN:
15	the costs being incurred to do so, it's –	15	A. Well, there are a number of ways to look at
16	you've got an obligation to do it.	16	it, but excluding your legal argument of
17	BROWNE, Q.C.:	17	whether it should be in or not, customers,
18	Q. Well, they're up there today, I assume,	18	according to legislation, are going to be
19	doing their testing or whatever they're	19	required to pay. I mean, legislation says
20	doing on the LIL. Who's paying for that?	20	customers are required to pay the full cost.
21	MR. FAGAN:	20	So, if they don't pay now, they have to pay
22	A. Well, it's not $-$ it hasn't met the required	22	later. The customer's net – current
23	standard to be considered reliable enough	23	customers getting the benefits would be for
24	for us to consider it in-service. So, I	24	'18 and '19. So, should you give the
25	assume that's all being capitalized for part	25	current customers material lower rates in
	Page 206		Page 208
1	of a future recovery from customers because	1	'19 and drive up the costs, future costs of
2	the asset is not considered in-service for	2	that 50 million for recovery from future
3	providing service to Hydro during its pre-	3	customers when the future customers didn't
4	commissioning period. It's strictly testing	4	get the benefits of the savings that
5	phase is still ongoing.	5	occurred as a result of (unintelligible) in
6	BROWNE, Q.C.:	6	O&M efforts?
7	Q. So, while it's testing, Hydro is not	7	BROWNE, Q.C.:
8	accepting any invoices from Nalcor in	8	Q. Was the delay the fault of the ratepayers,
9	reference to this?	9	the delays in producing power at Muskrat
10	MR. FAGAN:	10	Falls?
11	A. That's correct. That's why the 27 million	11	MR. FAGAN:
12	that was originally forecast for 2018 has	12	A. No, it's not, but in our end rates, we $-I$
13	reduced to about 8.4 million, because of the	13	mean, if you look at – I know, in the
14	delay to October 1.	14	Board's 2007 GRA Order, they go through the
15	BROWNE, Q.C.:	15	regulatory paradigm and they lay out the
16	Q. And you're telling us the delay is to	16	principles which they follow and
17	October 1, but subject to check, when the	17	intergenerational equity is one of the big
18	Production Panel was testifying, I thought	18	principles that the Board follows and
19	the date that we were given was October 31st,	19	fairness. And so, when you look at the
20	the end of October, not the beginning. Is	20	fairness and intergenerational equity aspect
21	that your recollection or my bad	21	of it, then you'd say well, it's trying to
22	recollection?	22	manage the benefits with the costs. So, if
23	MR. FAGAN:	23	the benefits of getting the off-island
24	A. Well, I can say that the production plan	24	purchases during the pre-commission period
25	reflect that was provided to Hydro reflects	25	are going to the current customers, well,

	Page 209		Page 211
1	from that perspective, they should also pay	1	from a generation perspective because the
2	for the costs because why should they get –	2	transmission assets are ready in advance of
3	if you look at Table 3, why should they get	3	the generation. So, the savings resulting
4	67 million in 2018 and 149 say for example,	4	from the delay has actually been flowing
5	which is all the fuel savings, without	5	through to customers under this expected
6	paying costs for the purchases? And now, I	6	supply scenario. So, the customers are not
7	know, most of the – that's not exact math	7	paying more because of the delay, at least
8	because it's got some other purchases in	8	the current customers aren't. The future
9	there, but strictly the O&M are in there.	9	customers may be. So, there's no costs in
10	So, from a regulatory principle perspective,	10	here for current customers because of delay
11	if the customers are getting the benefit of	11	in Muskrat Falls. It's actually savings for
12	the off-island purchases, they should be	12	customers because of delay in Muskrat Falls.
13	willing to pay the cost of the off-island	13	BROWNE, Q.C.:
14	purchases, especially where it's, you know,	14	Q. And we really don't know what the legitimate
15	very good benefit for them.	15	cost of Muskrat Falls is or the LIL or any
16	BROWNE, Q.C.:	16	of the components which are the subject of
17	Q. But the customers themselves, the	17	some scrutiny here because all that has been
18	ratepayers, they would have nothing to do	17	subject to a forensic audit undertaken by
10		10 19	the Commission of Inquiry, is it not?
	with some of the reasons for the delay, for	19 20	MR. FAGAN:
20	instance that big dome that they created up		
21	there, you know, the big dome, the Mile One	21	A. Yeah, I think you're right. I'm not close
22	style dome which was well advertised was	22	on the Inquiry, thank goodness, but the -
23	going to expedite construction and it was	23	BROWNE, Q.C.:
24	all erected then it all had to be taken	24	Q. And I don't intend to go there, but who
25	down, and sure it'll be result – once all	25	knows what might – we might see once the
	Page 210		Page 212
1	the facts come out, it would be one of the	1	forensic audit is complete and subject to
2	the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers	2	forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's
1	the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay?	2 3	forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it.
2	the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers	2 3 4	forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's
2 3	the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays	2 3	forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of –
2 3 4	the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the	2 3 4 5 6	forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5	the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays	2 3 4 5	forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of –
2 3 4 5 6	the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be	2 3 4 5 6	forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that
2 3 4 5 6 7	the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But,	2 3 4 5 6 7	 forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor-MR. FAGAN: A. Well - 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor-MR. FAGAN: A. Well - BROWNE, Q.C.: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in the neighbourhood of just under 23 cents a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor-MR. FAGAN: A. Well - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q and why are customers now being on the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in the neighbourhood of just under 23 cents a kilowatt hour looks like a reasonable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor- MR. FAGAN: A. Well - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q and why are customers now being on the hook because of these delays and bad 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in the neighbourhood of just under 23 cents a kilowatt hour looks like a reasonable estimate of the projected average unit cost
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor- MR. FAGAN: A. Well - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q and why are customers now being on the hook because of these delays and bad judgment? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in the neighbourhood of just under 23 cents a kilowatt hour looks like a reasonable estimate of the projected average unit cost of Muskrat. If the delays caused an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor- MR. FAGAN: A. Well - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q and why are customers now being on the hook because of these delays and bad judgment? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in the neighbourhood of just under 23 cents a kilowatt hour looks like a reasonable estimate of the projected average unit cost of Muskrat. If the delays caused an increase in costs which contributed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor- MR. FAGAN: A. Well - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q and why are customers now being on the hook because of these delays and bad judgment? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, my view of – if delays increase costs, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in the neighbourhood of just under 23 cents a kilowatt hour looks like a reasonable estimate of the projected average unit cost of Muskrat. If the delays caused an increase in costs which contributed to getting to the 23 and Government, I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor- MR. FAGAN: A. Well - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q and why are customers now being on the hook because of these delays and bad judgment? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, my view of – if delays increase costs, well, those increased costs are being paid 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in the neighbourhood of just under 23 cents a kilowatt hour looks like a reasonable estimate of the projected average unit cost of Muskrat. If the delays caused an increase in costs which contributed to getting to the 23 and Government, I think it'll increase the mitigation that – well,
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor- MR. FAGAN: A. Well - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q and why are customers now being on the hook because of these delays and bad judgment? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, my view of – if delays increase costs, well, those increased costs are being paid by future customers because those increased 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in the neighbourhood of just under 23 cents a kilowatt hour looks like a reasonable estimate of the projected average unit cost of Muskrat. If the delays caused an increase in costs which contributed to getting to the 23 and Government, I think it'll increase the mitigation that – well, the Government's committed to providing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor- MR. FAGAN: A. Well - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q and why are customers now being on the hook because of these delays and bad judgment? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, my view of – if delays increase costs, well, those increased costs are being paid by future customers because those increased costs are going to be reflected in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in the neighbourhood of just under 23 cents a kilowatt hour looks like a reasonable estimate of the projected average unit cost of Muskrat. If the delays caused an increase in costs which contributed to getting to the 23 and Government, I think it'll increase the mitigation that – well, the Government's committed to providing mitigation. If we went to IC-022 for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor- MR. FAGAN: A. Well - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q and why are customers now being on the hook because of these delays and bad judgment? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, my view of – if delays increase costs, well, those increased costs are being paid by future customers because those increased costs are going to be reflected in the capital costs of the project to be recovered 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in the neighbourhood of just under 23 cents a kilowatt hour looks like a reasonable estimate of the projected average unit cost of Muskrat. If the delays caused an increase in costs which contributed to getting to the 23 and Government, I think it'll increase the mitigation that – well, the Government's committed to providing mitigation. If we went to IC-022 for a minute, I think it actually did show what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor- MR. FAGAN: A. Well - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q and why are customers now being on the hook because of these delays and bad judgment? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, my view of – if delays increase costs, well, those increased costs are being paid by future customers because those increased costs are going to be reflected in the capital costs of the project to be recovered in the future. Okay. What customers now, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in the neighbourhood of just under 23 cents a kilowatt hour looks like a reasonable estimate of the projected average unit cost of Muskrat. If the delays caused an increase in costs which contributed to getting to the 23 and Government, I think it'll increase the mitigation that – well, the Government's committed to providing mitigation. If we went to IC-022 for a minute, I think it actually did show what the projected in-service averaging of cost
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the facts come out, it would be one of the reasons for the delay. Why should customers have to pay for what is essentially a delay? If we were getting the full benefit of the LIL and we would if there were no delays and it's all ready to come, we wouldn't be having this argument here, would we? But, we are because there were delays which had to do with bad judgment ultimately by Nalcor- MR. FAGAN: A. Well - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q and why are customers now being on the hook because of these delays and bad judgment? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, my view of – if delays increase costs, well, those increased costs are being paid by future customers because those increased costs are going to be reflected in the capital costs of the project to be recovered 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	forensic audit is complete and subject to scrutiny in the public hearing that's envisaged in the presentation of it. MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, but I mean, from the perspective of – we touched on earlier with Mr. O'Brien that we did some math based on the information that was provided, and we'll provided the detailed numbers supporting that math, that indicated that based on the cost estimates that are published cost estimates, the projected estimate of between, you know, in the neighbourhood of just under 23 cents a kilowatt hour looks like a reasonable estimate of the projected average unit cost of Muskrat. If the delays caused an increase in costs which contributed to getting to the 23 and Government, I think it'll increase the mitigation that – well, the Government's committed to providing mitigation. If we went to IC-022 for a minute, I think it actually did show what

	\$1.0, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GKA
	Page 213		Page 215
1	at sanction.	1	MR. FAGAN:
2	So, the rates, even if they never even	2	A. That's correct, yes.
3	went over budget, we'd still be looking at	3	BROWNE, Q.C.:
4	material increases compared to where you are	4	Q. And how many staff did Newfoundland Power at
5	now. We're at 12.4 cents. So, we'd still	5	that time, to the best of your memory, have
6	be here, even if they were on target and if	6	in their Regulatory Affairs department?
7	the cost didn't double. We'd still be	7	MR. FAGAN:
8	talking 25 to 30 percent increase over where	8	A. Well, structured slightly different than
9	we are now. So, what we're dealing with in	9	Hydro, but the number of people involved was
10	this GRA is not about any delays in Muskrat	10	similar. In my group, we've got our rates
11	and not about any cost overruns in Muskrat.	11	team which has four people; one team lead
12	It's really just about – well, it is	12	and three analysts. That was similar to
13	somewhat of a result of delay in Muskrat, in	13	when I was Director of Rates. I had three
14	that there's an opportunity for savings.	14	analysts under rates. There was also a
15	So, the question here is $-$ the debate is	15	manager of rates, Mr. Henderson, which I
16	what will you do with the savings and of	16	reported to. We had administrative people.
17	course, one of the debates is the reasonable	17	There was a couple of administrative people.
18	of the O&M costs. To use those assets to	18	Then we had a senior engineer who was
19	give those savings to customers is trying to	19	responsible for the capital and there was
20	ensure that the customer is getting good	20	also a senior engineer that contributed to
21	value for their money. But I can't say if	21	operating. But see, those people didn't
22	their costs on O&M are reasonable. I'm not	22	actually report to Regulatory. They would
23	close enough to the numbers to say. But I	23	report to the VP of Operations even though
24	can say that customers are getting good	24	they worked heavily in Regulatory.
25	value for the costs that are forecast to be	25	
	Page 214		Page 216
1	required from customers based on the savings	1	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power,
2	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast.	2	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.)	2 3	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was
2 3 4	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.:	2 3 4	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before.
2 3 4 5	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory	2 3 4 5	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in
2 3 4 5 6	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were	2 3 4 5 6	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory.
2 3 4 5 6 7	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time	2 3 4 5 6 7	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Director of Rates?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved in, well, since I've got there, been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Director of Rates? MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved in, well, since I've got there, been involved in the ongoing, I call it ongoing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Director of Rates? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but I was involved in a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved in, well, since I've got there, been involved in the ongoing, I call it ongoing GRA. It started—I think they started
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \end{array} $	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Director of Rates? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but I was involved in a lot of different aspects over the years, between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved in, well, since I've got there, been involved in the ongoing, I call it ongoing GRA. It started—I think they started preparing back in 2012. I never got there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Director of Rates? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but I was involved in a lot of different aspects over the years, between customer service and contribution net	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved in, well, since I've got there, been involved in the ongoing, I call it ongoing GRA. It started—I think they started preparing back in 2012. I never got there until March of '14. So, at the upcoming GRA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Director of Rates? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but I was involved in a lot of different aspects over the years, between customer service and contribution net construction policy. My background is in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved in, well, since I've got there, been involved in the ongoing, I call it ongoing GRA. It started—I think they started preparing back in 2012. I never got there until March of '14. So, at the upcoming GRA last—when we looked last year, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Director of Rates? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but I was involved in a lot of different aspects over the years, between customer service and contribution net construction policy. My background is in mathematics and statistics, so I was in with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved in, well, since I've got there, been involved in the ongoing, I call it ongoing GRA. It started—I think they started preparing back in 2012. I never got there until March of '14. So, at the upcoming GRA last—when we looked last year, and the amount of work required for a GRA, we
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Director of Rates? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but I was involved in a lot of different aspects over the years, between customer service and contribution net construction policy. My background is in mathematics and statistics, so I was in with normalization and I have some familiarity 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved in, well, since I've got there, been involved in the ongoing, I call it ongoing GRA. It started—I think they started preparing back in 2012. I never got there until March of '14. So, at the upcoming GRA last—when we looked last year, and the amount of work required for a GRA, we brought in a project manager, a project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Director of Rates? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but I was involved in a lot of different aspects over the years, between customer service and contribution net construction policy. My background is in mathematics and statistics, so I was in with normalization and I have some familiarity with price elasticity, so a bit of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved in, well, since I've got there, been involved in the ongoing, I call it ongoing GRA. It started—I think they started preparing back in 2012. I never got there until March of '14. So, at the upcoming GRA last—when we looked last year, and the amount of work required for a GRA, we brought in a project manager, a project management person to work on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Director of Rates? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but I was involved in a lot of different aspects over the years, between customer service and contribution net construction policy. My background is in mathematics and statistics, so I was in with normalization and I have some familiarity with price elasticity, so a bit of everything. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved in, well, since I've got there, been involved in the ongoing, I call it ongoing GRA. It started—I think they started preparing back in 2012. I never got there until March of '14. So, at the upcoming GRA last—when we looked last year, and the amount of work required for a GRA, we brought in a project manager, a project management person to work on it. And also, of course with all of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Director of Rates? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but I was involved in a lot of different aspects over the years, between customer service and contribution net construction policy. My background is in mathematics and statistics, so I was in with normalization and I have some familiarity with price elasticity, so a bit of everything. BROWNE, Q.C.: 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved in, well, since I've got there, been involved in the ongoing, I call it ongoing GRA. It started—I think they started preparing back in 2012. I never got there until March of '14. So, at the upcoming GRA last—when we looked last year, and the amount of work required for a GRA, we brought in a project manager, a project management person to work on it. And also, of course with all of the other filings I mentioned earlier, and this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 required from customers based on the savings that were forecast. (1:00 p.m.) BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Mr. Fagan, you're Director of Regulatory Affairs. Now, it seems to me you were working with Newfoundland Power some time ago, and what was your job there? MR. FAGAN: A. My last, my title when I left was Director of Rates. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Director of Rates? MR. FAGAN: A. Yeah, but I was involved in a lot of different aspects over the years, between customer service and contribution net construction policy. My background is in mathematics and statistics, so I was in with normalization and I have some familiarity with price elasticity, so a bit of everything. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Internal audit of Newfoundland Power, every application that was filed with the Board, every RFI that came out the door was reviewed by Internal Audit staff before. So, they were heavily involved in Regulatory. They had two administrative people. We've got two administrative people as well. But at times of a GRA, they would second people to come in for support from different areas. In our case, we've got a project manager. Hydro has been somewhat involved in, well, since I've got there, been involved in the ongoing, I call it ongoing GRA. It started—I think they started preparing back in 2012. I never got there until March of '14. So, at the upcoming GRA last—when we looked last year, and the amount of work required for a GRA, we brought in a project manager, a project management person to work on it. And also, of course with all of the

	Page 217	4	Page 219
	deal, but the number of people involved in	1	outages in rural areas, there's reporting to
2	Newfoundland Power's Regulatory is actually	2	the Board. We've got to answer responses
3	fairly similar to what Hydro has. We've got	3	on—from PB on outages. I think—so, I think
4	a dedicated Regulatory lawyer. Now that	4	that's—848 so far this year, filings with
5	position has been vacant now for six months.	5	the Board, I think. So, yeah, we've got a
6	At Newfoundland Power they've got two	6	new team and they're coming around, and I
7	lawyers not counting the VP who recently	7	think it's a very good team, but there's—but
8	became president. Okay? And now, one of	8	the requirements to meet the filings is
9	them is I think maybe—I wasn't sure if it	9	extreme. Like the amount of time is huge.
10	was a transition.	10	BROWNE, Q.C.:
11	One of the differences between	11	Q. And how many do you have? What's the total
12	Newfoundland Power was very-well, they're	12	number you have in your Regulatory
13	very regulatory and they've been at it a	13	Department and you have some of them
14	long while, but they've also-they've got a	14	distributed elsewhere in the operation there
15	very, very experienced team. When I was	15	who are not in Regulatory, but are working
16	there, so I had, well, 27 years before I	16	for Regulatory?
17	left. Mr. Henderson had something similar.	17	MR. FAGAN:
18	My rates person had like over 30 years. I	18	A. I've got 12 including myself.
19	think our average—and even in the internal	19	BROWNE, Q.C.:
20	audit group that used to review all the	20	Q. Is there anyone else outside that are
21	applications, you were dealing with, you	21	committed to do regulatory work that are in
22	know, 25 to 30 years experience. So, a very	22	Finance or something?
23	experienced team. In our group we've got, I	23	MR. FAGAN:
24	think I may have—I've got a lot of	24	A. We've got one consultant that's been pretty
25	experience. Not a lot at Hydro, but you	25	well dedicated to us. Just one second. I
	Page 218		Page 220
			1 age 220
1	-	1	c
1 2	know, four and a half years, but I don't		have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a
2	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I	2	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant.
2 3	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience	2 3	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in
2 3 4	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective.	2 3 4	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service.
2 3 4 5	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable	2 3 4 5	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's
2 3 4 5 6	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of	2 3 4 5 6	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years,
2 3 4 5 6 7	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far this year we filed 22 applications before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service methodology, incorporating those changes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far this year we filed 22 applications before this Board. We filed, well, we filed daily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service methodology, incorporating those changes then we've had—we've hired this—we've had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far this year we filed 22 applications before this Board. We filed, well, we filed daily reports on the system. So, the status of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service methodology, incorporating those changes then we've had—we've hired this—we've had this regulatory systems consultant. This
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far this year we filed 22 applications before this Board. We filed, well, we filed daily reports on the system. So, the status of the system from a reliability perspective.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service methodology, incorporating those changes then we've had—we've hired this—we've had this regulatory systems consultant. This person has been involved in both regulatory
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far this year we filed 22 applications before this Board. We filed, well, we filed daily reports on the system. So, the status of the system from a reliability perspective. So, there's a daily report to the Board.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service methodology, incorporating those changes then we've had—we've hired this—we've had this regulatory systems consultant. This person has been involved in both regulatory and systems development for near on 40
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far this year we filed 22 applications before this Board. We filed, well, we filed daily reports on the system. So, the status of the system from a reliability perspective. So, there's a daily report to the Board. We've got other reports for various matters.	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service methodology, incorporating those changes then we've had—we've hired this—we've had this regulatory systems consultant. This person has been involved in both regulatory and systems development for near on 40 years, but—so, this person has been involved
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far this year we filed 22 applications before this Board. We filed, well, we filed daily reports on the system. So, the status of the system from a reliability perspective. So, there's a daily report to the Board. We've got other reports for various matters. We filed 60 of those this year. Thirty-six	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service methodology, incorporating those changes then we've had—we've hired this—we've had this regulatory systems consultant. This person has been involved in both regulatory and systems development for near on 40 years, but—so, this person has been involved in modifying our cost of service to
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far this year we filed 22 applications before this Board. We filed, well, we filed daily reports on the system. So, the status of the system from a reliability perspective. So, there's a daily report to the Board. We've got other reports for various matters. We filed 60 of those this year. Thirty-six letters I think we'veso far this year,	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service methodology, incorporating those changes then we've had—we've hired this—we've had this regulatory systems consultant. This person has been involved in both regulatory and systems development for near on 40 years, but—so, this person has been involved in modifying our cost of service to incorporate like linkage of our revenue
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far this year we filed 22 applications before this Board. We filed, well, we filed daily reports on the system. So, the status of the system from a reliability perspective. So, there's a daily report to the Board. We've got other reports for various matters. We filed 60 of those this year. Thirty-six letters I think we'veso far this year, which has been a slow year because most of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service methodology, incorporating those changes then we've had—we've hired this—we've had this regulatory systems consultant. This person has been involved in both regulatory and systems development for near on 40 years, but—so, this person has been involved in modifying our cost of service to incorporate like linkage of our revenue forecast to our cost of service, make
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array} $	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far this year we filed 22 applications before this Board. We filed, well, we filed daily reports on the system. So, the status of the system from a reliability perspective. So, there's a daily report to the Board. We've got other reports for various matters. We filed 60 of those this year. Thirty-six letters I think we'veso far this year, which has been a slow year because most of the RFIs through this proceeding were last	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service methodology, incorporating those changes then we've had—we've hired this—we've had this regulatory systems consultant. This person has been involved in both regulatory and systems development for near on 40 years, but—so, this person has been involved in modifying our cost of service to incorporate like linkage of our revenue forecast to our cost of service, make enhancements to include off-island
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far this year we filed 22 applications before this Board. We filed, well, we filed daily reports on the system. So, the status of the system from a reliability perspective. So, there's a daily report to the Board. We've got other reports for various matters. We filed 60 of those this year. Thirty-six letters I think we'veso far this year, which has been a slow year because most of the RFIs through this proceeding were last year. We've got 471 RFIs. We provide	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service methodology, incorporating those changes then we've had—we've hired this—we've had this regulatory systems consultant. This person has been involved in both regulatory and systems development for near on 40 years, but—so, this person has been involved in modifying our cost of service to incorporate like linkage of our revenue forecast to our cost of service, make enhancements to include off-island purchases, the change that was required for
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array} $	know, four and a half years, but I don't think I've got anybody on staff more than I think four years from a rates experience perspective. So, the size of our group is comparable to Newfoundland Power's, but the years of experience is very limited. I think the average may be less than two years. So, the development of the team is a big part of it now, moving towards Muskrat, so—but we've gotI mean to illustrate, we've gotthe number of applications that we filed, so far this year we filed 22 applications before this Board. We filed, well, we filed daily reports on the system. So, the status of the system from a reliability perspective. So, there's a daily report to the Board. We've got other reports for various matters. We filed 60 of those this year. Thirty-six letters I think we'veso far this year, which has been a slow year because most of the RFIs through this proceeding were last	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	have a note there. Yeah, so we've got one a regulatory kind of systems consultant. We're going through a major transition in our systems with cost service. We have a cost service software that's been in place for, gee, more than 25 years, and it was developed by external consultants that actually testified here back in—they were Fosters, back in 1992. So, that software was being used. It has over a million lines of coding, and so with all the changes and planning for the cost of service methodology, incorporating those changes then we've had—we've hired this—we've had this regulatory systems consultant. This person has been involved in both regulatory and systems development for near on 40 years, but—so, this person has been involved in modifying our cost of service to incorporate like linkage of our revenue forecast to our cost of service, make enhancements to include off-island

1 2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Page 221	1	Page 223
1 2	industrial customers. The-because original	1	MR. FAGAN:
	cost methodology versus the—we call it real	2	A. No. There's one person in Finance called
3	dollars approach. So, having it set up so	3	the manager of Regulatory Finance. Similar
4	you can be flexible and switch back and	4	to Newfoundland Power, there's-this person
5	forth, depending on the Board's decisions.	5	is focused on financial planning from a
6	We've also—I mentioned earlier the marginal	6	regulatory perspective, and Newfoundland
7	cost study. So, we're developing a marginal	7	Power something similar with regard to a
8	cost-we developed marginal cost software and	8	manager of Regulatory Finance.
9	working with Christianson.	9	BROWNE, Q.C.:
10	So, our consultants, our internal	10	Q. And how is overtime in the Regulatory
11	consultants work with them, but also, we're	11	Department? Are you monitoring overtime
12	taking that over, so it can be dealt with on	12	there or during these hearings and is there
13	a stand-alone basis by Hydro going forward.	13	much overtime? You indicate there's a lot
14	See, marginal costs play a big role looking	14	of work on the go.
15	forward on exports, that you want to look at	15	MR. FAGAN:
16	your markets and plan for what the value of	16	A. Well, not all people get paid for overtime.
17	your exports are and so you can come up with	17	BROWNE, Q.C.:
18	your projected savings from exports to the	18	Q. What's that?
19	benefit of customers. So, our marginal	19	MR. FAGAN:
20	costs software and bringing that in house,	20	A. Not all employees are paid for overtime.
21	so this person can involve in there	21	BROWNE, Q.C.:
22	(phonetic), as well as depreciation.	22	Q. Yes.
23	There's been evidence on the business	23	MR. FAGAN:
24	systems. Our business system that we have	24	A. But there's unfortunately, as far as I'm
25		25	
	Page 222		Page 224
1	now can't calculate—like if we were changing	1	concerned, too much overtime work. Just one
2	depreciation rates, it can't tell me what's	2	second, I have some numbers on overtime.
3	your depreciation cost under both sets of	3	Yes, so in 2015—if you can bring up CA-210,
4	rates. You can't do it. You've got to go	4	please? So, about \$51,000 in 2015; 2016 I
5	out on spreadsheets and do the math. So,	5	think it was about 30,000; 2017
6	our regulatory consultant has been involved	6	approximately 110,000. But in 2017, we had
	in setting it up, so you can do the	7	
7		/	our Compliance Application, our Capital
7 8	calculations while the business system is	8	
1 '	calculations while the business system is being implemented.	8 9	our Compliance Application, our Capital
8	5		our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate
8 9	being implemented.	9	our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional
8 9 10	being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.:	9 10	our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in
8 9 10 11	being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, how many people are there? There's 16,	9 10 11	our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in 2017, we had, yeah, 2,038 filings. We
8 9 10 11 12	being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, how many people are there? There's 16, are there?	9 10 11 12	our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in 2017, we had, yeah, 2,038 filings. We answered about 1400 RFIs as well as 30
8 9 10 11 12 13	being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, how many people are there? There's 16, are there? MR. FAGAN:	9 10 11 12 13	our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in 2017, we had, yeah, 2,038 filings. We answered about 1400 RFIs as well as 30 applications in 2017, and 99 reports
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, how many people are there? There's 16, are there? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve.	9 10 11 12 13 14	our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in 2017, we had, yeah, 2,038 filings. We answered about 1400 RFIs as well as 30 applications in 2017, and 99 reports excluding the daily reports. So, the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, how many people are there? There's 16, are there? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve. BROWNE, Q.C.:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in 2017, we had, yeah, 2,038 filings. We answered about 1400 RFIs as well as 30 applications in 2017, and 99 reports excluding the daily reports. So, the requirements of our Regulated Group are
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, how many people are there? There's 16, are there? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. There are 12 you have? MR. FAGAN:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in 2017, we had, yeah, 2,038 filings. We answered about 1400 RFIs as well as 30 applications in 2017, and 99 reports excluding the daily reports. So, the requirements of our Regulated Group are somewhat extreme. I think our filings—I don't know what Newfoundland Power's numbers
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, how many people are there? There's 16, are there? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. There are 12 you have? MR. FAGAN:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in 2017, we had, yeah, 2,038 filings. We answered about 1400 RFIs as well as 30 applications in 2017, and 99 reports excluding the daily reports. So, the requirements of our Regulated Group are somewhat extreme. I think our filings—I
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, how many people are there? There's 16, are there? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. There are 12 you have? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve, plus one consultant. BROWNE, Q.C.:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in 2017, we had, yeah, 2,038 filings. We answered about 1400 RFIs as well as 30 applications in 2017, and 99 reports excluding the daily reports. So, the requirements of our Regulated Group are somewhat extreme. I think our filings—I don't know what Newfoundland Power's numbers are, but I'm sure you could divide by three. BROWNE, Q.C.:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, how many people are there? There's 16, are there? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. There are 12 you have? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve, plus one consultant. BROWNE, Q.C.:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in 2017, we had, yeah, 2,038 filings. We answered about 1400 RFIs as well as 30 applications in 2017, and 99 reports excluding the daily reports. So, the requirements of our Regulated Group are somewhat extreme. I think our filings—I don't know what Newfoundland Power's numbers are, but I'm sure you could divide by three.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, how many people are there? There's 16, are there? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. There are 12 you have? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve, plus one consultant. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And there's one consultant. Is there no one	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in 2017, we had, yeah, 2,038 filings. We answered about 1400 RFIs as well as 30 applications in 2017, and 99 reports excluding the daily reports. So, the requirements of our Regulated Group are somewhat extreme. I think our filings—I don't know what Newfoundland Power's numbers are, but I'm sure you could divide by three. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Your president, Mr. Hanes, when he testified stated that the rate shock would be caused
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, how many people are there? There's 16, are there? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. There are 12 you have? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve, plus one consultant. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And there's one consultant. Is there no one in Finance or are there three or four out there or are distributed somewhere in the 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in 2017, we had, yeah, 2,038 filings. We answered about 1400 RFIs as well as 30 applications in 2017, and 99 reports excluding the daily reports. So, the requirements of our Regulated Group are somewhat extreme. I think our filings—I don't know what Newfoundland Power's numbers are, but I'm sure you could divide by three. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Your president, Mr. Hanes, when he testified stated that the rate shock would be caused if there was a rate increase of ten percent.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 being implemented. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, how many people are there? There's 16, are there? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. There are 12 you have? MR. FAGAN: A. Twelve, plus one consultant. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And there's one consultant. Is there no one in Finance or are there three or four out 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 our Compliance Application, our Capital Budget Application, our General Rate Application and we had over 2,000 additional filings. And I think we actually had in 2017, we had, yeah, 2,038 filings. We answered about 1400 RFIs as well as 30 applications in 2017, and 99 reports excluding the daily reports. So, the requirements of our Regulated Group are somewhat extreme. I think our filings—I don't know what Newfoundland Power's numbers are, but I'm sure you could divide by three. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Your president, Mr. Hanes, when he testified stated that the rate shock would be caused

rugu.	\$10,2018		NL IIYUU 2017 OKA
	Page 225		Page 227
1	MR. FAGAN:	1	consumers are?
2	A. The Board has looked at, considered ten	2	MR. FAGAN:
$\overline{3}$	percent rate shock on occasion in the past.	3	A. Well, Newfoundland Power –
4	BROWNE, Q.C.:	4	BROWNE, Q.C.:
			, .
5	Q. Well, in this particular application now,	5	Q. They can see ten percent coming their way.
6	you've got, according to your evidence	6	That's a possibility. That's an ask. We
7	today, it's at 6.8 percent in the interim	7	don't concede at all that our friends at
8	rates?	8	Newfoundland Power are going to get 1.2
9	MR. FAGAN:	9	percent.
10	A. That was July. That was 2.6, interim 4.2	10	MR. FAGAN:
11	RSP, so for 6.8 in July of 2018, yeah.	11	A. Well, you've got –
12	BROWNE, Q.C.:	12	BROWNE, Q.C.:
13	Q. And now you're looking for what?	13	Q. Could very well get a decrease; we don't
14	MR. FAGAN:	14	know.
15	A. So, 1.2 in '19.	15	MR. FAGAN:
16	BROWNE, Q.C.:	16	A. You've got accumulative increase of a number
17	Q. That's on top of the 6.8 percent?	17	of different changes and none of them are
18	MR. FAGAN:	18	occurring at the same time. Okay. Now,
19	A. Well, it's a different year, but it depends	19	Newfoundland Power I believe is proposing
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
20	on the way you want to look at it, whether	20	their increase to be, I thought it was April
21	it's in a 12-month period.	21	1st, possibly. And our July 1st—ours is
22	BROWNE, Q.C.:	22	proposed for January the 1st. The Board
23	Q. Okay.	23	hasn't finished testing our costs yet.
24	MR. FAGAN:	24	You've also got the debate about the LIL and
25		25	
	Page 226		Page 228
	Page 226	1	Page 228
1	A. Yeah, that's -	1	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of
1 2	A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.:	2	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will
1 2 3	A. Yeah, that's -BROWNE, Q.C.:Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And	2 3	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would
1 2 3 4	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next 	2 3 4	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of
1 2 3	A. Yeah, that's -BROWNE, Q.C.:Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And	2 3	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would
1 2 3 4	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a 	2 3 4	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of
1 2 3 4 5	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – 	2 3 4 5	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking -
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, July to January, it's, you know, what
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\end{array} $	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't moved along very far yet. Now, of course, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, July to January, it's, you know, what is it? Six months by -
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, July to January, it's, you know, what
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't moved along very far yet. Now, of course, and we had settlement agreements on ours 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, July to January, it's, you know, what is it? Six months by - MR. FAGAN:
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array} $	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't moved along very far yet. Now, of course, and we had settlement agreements on ours which reduce costs. So, from my 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, July to January, it's, you know, what is it? Six months by - MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd be talking about eight percent.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't moved along very far yet. Now, of course, and we had settlement agreements on ours which reduce costs. So, from my understanding from reading Newfoundland 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, July to January, it's, you know, what is it? Six months by - MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd be talking about eight percent. BROWNE, Q.C.:
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't moved along very far yet. Now, of course, and we had settlement agreements on ours which reduce costs. So, from my understanding from reading Newfoundland Power's evidence, it's primarily related to 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, July to January, it's, you know, what is it? Six months by - MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd be talking about eight percent. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q any particular measure.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't moved along very far yet. Now, of course, and we had settlement agreements on ours which reduce costs. So, from my understanding from reading Newfoundland Power's evidence, it's primarily related to an increase in rate of return. So, we'll 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Well, July to January, it's, you know, what is it? Six months by - MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd be talking about eight percent. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q any particular measure. MR. FAGAN:
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't moved along very far yet. Now, of course, and we had settlement agreements on ours which reduce costs. So, from my understanding from reading Newfoundland Power's evidence, it's primarily related to an increase in rate of return. So, we'll see how that goes, but – 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking BROWNE, Q.C.: Well, July to January, it's, you know, what is it? Six months by - MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd be talking about eight percent. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q any particular measure. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a material number, I agree. However,
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't moved along very far yet. Now, of course, and we had settlement agreements on ours which reduce costs. So, from my understanding from reading Newfoundland Power's evidence, it's primarily related to an increase in rate of return. So, we'll see how that goes, but – BROWNE, Q.C.: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking BROWNE, Q.C.: Well, July to January, it's, you know, what is it? Six months by - MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd be talking about eight percent. BROWNE, Q.C.: any particular measure. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a material number, I agree. However, customers have already experienced a 6.8 or—
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't moved along very far yet. Now, of course, and we had settlement agreements on ours which reduce costs. So, from my understanding from reading Newfoundland Power's evidence, it's primarily related to an increase in rate of return. So, we'll see how that goes, but – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you will agree with me, you're in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking BROWNE, Q.C.: Well, July to January, it's, you know, what is it? Six months by - MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd be talking about eight percent. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q any particular measure. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a material number, I agree. However, customers have already experienced a 6.8 or— well, now I don't think I'll-I think I'll
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't moved along very far yet. Now, of course, and we had settlement agreements on ours which reduce costs. So, from my understanding from reading Newfoundland Power's evidence, it's primarily related to an increase in rate of return. So, we'll see how that goes, but – BROWNE, Q.C.: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking BROWNE, Q.C.: Well, July to January, it's, you know, what is it? Six months by - MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd be talking about eight percent. BROWNE, Q.C.: any particular measure. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a material number, I agree. However, customers have already experienced a 6.8 or—
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 A. Yeah, that's - BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. So, that gives us eight, eight cents. And we have Newfoundland Power, our friends next door to me here. They're coming in for a rate increase as well of 1.2 percent. So – MR. FAGAN: A. I know it's in the neighbourhood of—yeah, I think that's about right. It's around – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. And by the time you put HST on all of that, you're well over ten percent, aren't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, Newfoundland Power's proceeding hasn't moved along very far yet. Now, of course, and we had settlement agreements on ours which reduce costs. So, from my understanding from reading Newfoundland Power's evidence, it's primarily related to an increase in rate of return. So, we'll see how that goes, but – BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you will agree with me, you're in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 LTA costs. So, there's still a lot of uncertainty about what the rate change will come from this current GRA. The 1.2 would be I guess the max based on the forecast of costs that are before the Board at this time. So, I think if you wanted to do it off accumulative impact of all the proceedings including next July, you'd probably come up with around ten percent over for two—for a full 12 months including both Julys, but I don't think you're talking BROWNE, Q.C.: Well, July to January, it's, you know, what is it? Six months by - MR. FAGAN: A. Yes, you'd be talking about eight percent. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q any particular measure. MR. FAGAN: A. It's a material number, I agree. However, customers have already experienced a 6.8 or— well, now I don't think I'll-I think I'll

114840	t 6, 2018		NL Hydro 2017 GRA
	Page 229		Page 231
1	don't really experience it as much until you	1	studies or not, the model to reflect
2	get into the winter months. So, they feel	2	elasticity.
3	it a lot more during the winter periods, but	3	BROWNE, Q.C.:
4	the rate is in effect and—but, so yes, the	4	Q. Where do they come from, the models? Who—
5	impacts are high, but if you look—I think	5	what's the -
6	the projected rate increase that we	6	MR. FAGAN:
7	mentioned, the 12.4 cents, Table 12.	7	A. It comes from the forecasting—I'm not sure
8	BROWNE, Q.C.:	8	what his title is, but the person that
9	Q. Yes, that's –	9	reports to Mr. Ron LeBlanc in the forecast,
10	MR. FAGAN:	10	so our forecaster.
11	A. Yes, it's 12.4 cents.	11	BROWNE, Q.C.:
12	BROWNE, Q.C.:	12	Q. And are these—do we have paper on those to
13	Q. Yes, that's your ask, if you were to get all	13	show where the models lead to in terms of
14	of those LIL costs and everything else on	14	price and ratepayer reaction?
15		15	MR. FAGAN:
	your wish list, but - MR. FAGAN:	15 16	
16			A. I think in the undertaking you're referring
17	A. But I think if you went back and looked at	17	to it lays out the impacts on gigawatt hour
18	2014, rates were, I believe, 12.03 cents on	18	usage. It doesn't lay out—I don't know if
19	average. So, rates have fluctuated up and	19	it goes—I think that's based on the 18 cents
20	down over the last number of years, but	20	for the forecast. I think it actually—I was
21	there hasn't been a continued growth in	21	looking it over, but also you may have) did
22	rates. So, that would be—you know, this is	22	elasticity scenarios if the price goes up to
23	over a five-year period with a .4 cent per	23	24 cents. So, there's some sensitivity
24	increase in customer rates which is pretty	24	there in that undertaking that lays out the
25	increase in easterner rates which is pretty	25	there in that and taking that hays out the
25		25	
L	D 0 20		D 000
	Page 230		Page 232
1	small.	1	load forecast under different price
2	small. BROWNE, Q.C.:	2	load forecast under different price scenarios.
	small.		load forecast under different price
2	small. BROWNE, Q.C.:	2	load forecast under different price scenarios.
2 3 4	small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up	2 3	load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to
2 3 4 5	small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the	2 3 4 5	load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before
2 3 4 5 6	small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're	2 3 4 5 6	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really,
2 3 4 5 6 7	small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds elasticity into its forecast. So, it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response). BROWNE, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds elasticity into its forecast. So, it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response). BROWNE, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds elasticity into its forecast. So, it's a factor. So, you run your multiple regression models and elasticity is a factor 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response). BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Before you came, did you have that elasticity done?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds elasticity into its forecast. So, it's a factor. So, you run your multiple regression models and elasticity is a factor that is one of the coefficients in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response). BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Before you came, did you have that elasticity done? MR. FAGAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds elasticity into its forecast. So, it's a factor. So, you run your multiple regression models and elasticity is a factor that is one of the coefficients in the model. It's updated every time we run our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response). BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Before you came, did you have that elasticity done? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm answering a couple parts. For the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds elasticity into its forecast. So, it's a factor. So, you run your multiple regression models and elasticity is a factor that is one of the coefficients in the model. It's updated every time we run our models. I remember when I was with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response). BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Before you came, did you have that elasticity done? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm answering a couple parts. For the purposes of this application, well, there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds elasticity into its forecast. So, it's a factor. So, you run your multiple regression models and elasticity is a factor that is one of the coefficients in the model. It's updated every time we run our models. I remember when I was with Newfoundland Power, it used to be around a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response). BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Before you came, did you have that elasticity done? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm answering a couple parts. For the purposes of this application, well, there's no proposal to move towards the 18 cents or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds elasticity into its forecast. So, it's a factor. So, you run your multiple regression models and elasticity is a factor that is one of the coefficients in the model. It's updated every time we run our models. I remember when I was with Newfoundland Power, it used to be around a .25 percent change for each one percent 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response). BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Before you came, did you have that elasticity done? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm answering a couple parts. For the purposes of this application, well, there's no proposal to move towards the 18 cents or the 22.89.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds elasticity into its forecast. So, it's a factor. So, you run your multiple regression models and elasticity is a factor that is one of the coefficients in the model. It's updated every time we run our models. I remember when I was with Newfoundland Power, it used to be around a .25 percent change for each one percent change in customer rates. So, I think it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response). BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Before you came, did you have that elasticity done? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm answering a couple parts. For the purposes of this application, well, there's no proposal to move towards the 18 cents or the 22.89. BROWNE, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds elasticity into its forecast. So, it's a factor. So, you run your multiple regression models and elasticity is a factor that is one of the coefficients in the model. It's updated every time we run our models. I remember when I was with Newfoundland Power, it used to be around a .25 percent change for each one percent change in customer rates. So, I think it's increased now to .3 percent that are being 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response). BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Before you came, did you have that elasticity done? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm answering a couple parts. For the purposes of this application, well, there's no proposal to move towards the 18 cents or the 22.89. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Right now, there's not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds elasticity into its forecast. So, it's a factor. So, you run your multiple regression models and elasticity is a factor that is one of the coefficients in the model. It's updated every time we run our models. I remember when I was with Newfoundland Power, it used to be around a .25 percent change for each one percent change in customer rates. So, I think it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response). BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Before you came, did you have that elasticity done? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm answering a couple parts. For the purposes of this application, well, there's no proposal to move towards the 18 cents or the 22.89. BROWNE, Q.C.:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 small. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Now, in reference to an elasticity study, I asked for an undertaking and you came up with three pages to tell us about your—the way you deal with the elasticity. You're the director? MR. FAGAN: A. Yes. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Do you have elasticity studies or don't you? MR. FAGAN: A. Hydro's long-term forecasting model builds elasticity into its forecast. So, it's a factor. So, you run your multiple regression models and elasticity is a factor that is one of the coefficients in the model. It's updated every time we run our models. I remember when I was with Newfoundland Power, it used to be around a .25 percent change for each one percent change in customer rates. So, I think it's increased now to .3 percent that are being 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 load forecast under different price scenarios. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. But you're the director. Did you ask for—to see the elasticity effect of it before coming to the Board seeking 18 cents really, the first application there? Did you study what the elasticity prospects would be or the reaction of consumers in terms of their drifting away from electric space heating or electric hot water boilers and the like? MR. FAGAN: A. (No audible response). BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Before you came, did you have that elasticity done? MR. FAGAN: A. Well, I'm answering a couple parts. For the purposes of this application, well, there's no proposal to move towards the 18 cents or the 22.89. BROWNE, Q.C.: Q. Right now, there's not.

- sugus	10,2010	IVE Hydro 2017 OKA
1	Page 233	Page 235
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. No, so – BROWNE, Q.C.:	1 MR. FAGAN: 2 A. Thank you.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$		2 A. Thank you. 3
I .	Q. But it certainly wasn't the case. MR. FAGAN:	4 Upon conclusion at 1:20 p.m.
45	A. So, there is elasticity reflected in the	5
	load forecast that's in the current	6
6 7	application, okay? So, the elasticity	7
8	affects that—an elasticity effect that's	8
9	presented in the undertaking, is based on	8
10	historical patterns of rate changes and the	10
10	impact on customers. When you're running	11
11	mathematical models and you're looking at a	
12	scenario that you've never—it's never	13
13	existed before on this system, it's hard to	14
14	take your historical elasticity assumptions	15
15	and assume that they will apply going	16
10	forward. So, there's a huge amount of	17
18	uncertainty on the elasticity of our	18
10	customers in response to increasing rates to	19
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 20 \end{array}$	18 cents or 17 cents, whatever the	20
$\frac{20}{21}$	government would determine. That is a	20
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$	concern. Now, I was reading Mr. Feehan's	22
23	evidence.	23
24	BROWNE, Q.C.:	24
25		25
	Page 234	Page 236
1	Q. Yes, well, we'll get to that. I don't want	_
2	you to lead me into Mr. Feehan's evidence.	CERTIFICATE
3	I'm going to lead myself with that, but	I, Judy Moss, hereby certify that the foregoing is a
4	mercifully I'll do that tomorrow. So, we'll	true and correct transcript in the matter of
5	stop for now if that's okay with the Chair.	Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro 2017 General Rate
6	MR. FAGAN:	Application, heard on the 6th day of August, 2018
7	A. Oh, okay.	before the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities,
8	CHAIR:	120 Torbay Road, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador
9	Q. That's fine.	and was transcribed by me to the best of my ability by
10	BROWNE, Q.C.:	means of a sound apparatus.
11	Q. The air here is quite dense right now.	nouns of a sound apparatus.
12	MR. FAGAN:	Dated at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador this
13	A. It is.	6th day of August, 2018
14	BROWNE, Q.C.:	our aug 01710Buot, 2010
15	Q. I don't know if the questions are dense or –	
16	MR. FAGAN:	Judy Moss
17	A. I thought it was the responses.	
18	BROWNE, Q.C.:	
19	Q. Anyway, okay.	
1		
20	CHAIR:	
21		
	CHAIR: Q. We will adjourn until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning.	
21 22 23	CHAIR: Q. We will adjourn until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. BROWNE, Q.C.:	
21 22	CHAIR: Q. We will adjourn until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning.	

	Actual - 16:19,	Aggregate - 193:2	179:2	12, 217:21, 218:12,
	98:1, 102:21,	3	Amortization - 123	218:13, 224:13
Ability - 61:6,	103:3, 103:5,	Agree - 183:11,	:17, 142:11, 142:17,	Applied - 153:21,
112:2	105:10, 105:17,	226:23, 228:21	150:11, 158:20	179:8, 179:11,
Able - 60:11, 75:2,	105:23, 110:19,	Agreement - 37:21	Amortizations - 15	181:2
77:18, 86:10,	119:10, 119:12,	, 39:20, 41:8,	3:13	Apply - 179:18,
113:19, 119:16,	119:13, 197:11,	41:15, 41:18,	Amortize - 158:19	233:16
121:8, 129:1,	197:12, 200:1	41:20, 43:21,	Amortizing - 32:21	Approach - 7:22,
148:24, 188:3	Add - 42:24,	49:22, 50:23,	Amount - 32:15,	14:22, 15:8, 15:15,
Above - 11:22,	177:18	60:23, 61:2, 75:20,	61:7, 101:7, 116:8,	30:7, 164:5,
205:14	Added - 46:3,	97:22, 97:25,	121:10, 121:17,	164:12, 221:3
Accept - 13:1,	64:16, 145:18	108:19, 108:20,	130:22, 131:16,	Appropriate - 194:
46:13, 180:2	Address - 107:9	109:3, 109:6,	131:19, 133:12,	11
Accepted - 159:7,	Addressed - 108:1	109:13, 109:17,	133:17, 133:18,	Appropriately - 13
161:4	Adequately - 190:1	109:21, 121:4,	136:1, 142:18,	6:13
Accepting - 206:8	5	123:9, 132:16,	150:17, 160:1,	Approval - 168:5
Access - 179:7,	Adjourn - 234:21	142:10, 167:18,	175:10, 216:19,	Approve - 143:20
194:22	Adjusting - 50:23	167:23, 168:6,	219:9, 233:17	Approved - 13:11,
Accordance - 114:	Adjustments - 92:	168:14, 169:11,	Amounts - 57:15	26:22, 144:21
12	6, 141:8, 155:8	169:14, 186:8,	Analysis - 44:5,	Approves - 142:19
According - 207:1	Administration - 4:	188:10, 189:19,	129:2, 172:4	Approximately - 1
8, 225:6	19, 81:24	189:20, 190:23,	Analyst - 5:6, 5:7	46:24, 176:13,
Account - 7:25,	Administrative - 2	191:1, 191:3,	Analysts - 215:12,	224:6
14:21, 15:24,	15:16, 215:17,	196:21	215:14	April - 14:1,
16:23, 16:24,	216:7, 216:8	Agreements - 97:1	Annual - 32:7,	102:12, 118:19,
17:16, 20:11, 20:12,	Adopt - 179:1	5, 111:12, 124:1,	38:21, 88:2	118:24, 227:20
21:24, 29:21, 48:5,	Adopted - 179:5	139:12, 175:21,	Annualized - 155:1	Arbitration - 113:2
49:16, 49:21,	Advance - 91:7,	180:6, 182:4,	8, 155:20	Areas - 216:11,
49:23, 50:9, 50:14,	93:18, 94:16,	182:18, 183:19,	Annually - 32:3,	219:1
50:15, 50:22, 58:7,	152:3, 211:2	185:22, 187:25,	68:5	Aren't - 183:23,
68:14, 68:19, 69:4,	Advertised - 209:2	188:2, 189:8,	Anticipate - 2:2,	211:8, 226:12
94:20, 95:7, 95:18,	2	189:13, 189:18,	2:12, 166:10,	Argue - 112:2,
96:16, 97:2, 99:16,	Advised - 1:21	189:23, 190:5,	167:11	187:9, 202:17
134:18, 136:24,	Affairs - 3:12, 5:19,	190:7, 190:10,	Anticipated - 106:1	Argument - 203:1,
138:13, 142:8,	6:7, 52:4, 178:2,	190:13, 190:20,	8, 126:6, 161:12,	207:16, 210:7
154:13, 154:14,	188:19, 188:21,	191:7, 191:14,	169:15, 173:17	Arise - 48:14
156:9, 156:10,	204:10, 214:6,	191:17, 191:22,	Anymore - 187:4,	Arises - 30:20
158:9, 181:14,	214:25, 215:6	192:14, 194:24,	187:5	Arising - 172:15,
200:4 Accounting - 114:1	Affect - 67:14,	226:16	Anyway - 13:14,	172:16
3, 115:2, 198:7	129:21, 131:19,	Aid - 5:16	28:9, 84:22, 90:20,	Armed - 193:25
Accounts - 10:21,	133:14, 146:14,	Air - 234:11	118:2, 152:15,	Arrangement - 122
11:5, 12:2, 17:2,	146:16	Alberta - 4:21	168:4, 234:19	:11
17:3, 17:8, 19:14,	Affected - 130:25,	Aligned - 8:9	Appears - 12:22,	Arrangements - 18
50:3, 51:3, 68:4,	145:5	Allocation - 141:25	128:5	0:13
200:9	Affects - 148:14,	Allocations - 141:1	Application - 11:8,	Arrives - 40:22
Accrue - 27:23	233:8	5	12:21, 13:10,	Aspect - 6:20,
Accrued - 26:8	Affiliate - 125:3,	Allow - 68:14,	15:23, 16:1, 16:7,	11:16, 14:9, 14:23,
Accumulative - 22	126:23	168:6, 182:22	17:12, 27:5, 53:16,	35:14, 78:19,
7:16, 228:7	Affirmed - 3:15	Allowed - 177:21,	70:7, 70:18, 78:20,	78:20, 111:7,
Accurate - 52:6,	Against - 96:17,	179:21 Allows - 60:23,	95:2, 122:9, 166:14, 168:5,	115:20, 120:25, 187:23, 208:20
65:20, 69:15	140:8, 142:6, 153:25, 155:11,	Allows - 60.23, 124:16, 174:16,	170:14, 166.5, 170:1, 183:22,	Aspects - 11:25,
Achievable - 166:2	162:9	174:17	216:2, 224:7,	214:16
2	Agencies - 185:25	Alone - 221:13	224:8, 224:9,	Assertion - 207:5
Achieve - 49:8	Agency - 120:8,	Amendment - 96:1	225:5, 232:7,	Assess - 170:15
Achieved - 50:11,	120:10, 120:21,	American - 9:21,	232:19, 233:7	Assessed - 128:25
96:18	121:5, 121:9	170:16, 178:20,	Applications - 147:	, 132:13
Activities - 112:20	· ·	, -,		

August 0, 2010				
Assessment - 82:1	112:18, 211:18,	В	217:8	31:19, 32:2, 32:7,
9	212:1, 216:1,		Become - 96:22	32:20, 35:1, 35:13,
Asset - 41:16,	216:4, 217:20	Bachelor - 4:16	Becomes - 158:11,	36:24, 37:10,
98:17, 206:2	Audited - 199:4,	Back - 1:3, 2:21,	159:15	47:15, 94:3, 99:17,
	,	3:21, 4:8, 5:17, 9:2,		
Assets - 15:11,	199:5	10:15, 23:5, 25:7,	Beforehand - 54:1	110:11, 110:17,
18:2, 20:7, 20:20,	Auditing - 200:18	27:4, 29:15, 30:13,	3 Bablad 440-00	110:20, 112:17,
33:6, 39:4, 87:22,	Auditors - 182:7,	30:14, 31:15,	Behind - 112:20,	126:19, 127:4,
96:17, 96:19, 97:8,	183:8, 184:2,	36:25, 37:17, 39:11,	127:10, 197:14,	142:18, 143:19,
97:10, 98:8,	200:10, 200:17	49:6, 69:9, 73:19,	198:20	144:21, 158:18,
106:15, 109:21,	August - 64:22,	88:20, 94:18,	Below - 171:21	159:8, 159:11,
110:2, 110:3,	64:25, 99:2	106:14, 107:6,	Bench - 84:15	161:20, 163:21,
110:16, 117:21,	Author - 12:10	118:16, 124:9,	Benefit - 25:18,	164:7, 168:5,
117:24, 119:3,	Authority - 201:8,	124:18, 124:20,	33:7, 180:24,	169:16, 177:3,
137:12, 180:25,	201:24	128:9, 135:25,	182:14, 182:16,	180:7, 180:8,
181:9, 191:2,	Automatically - 17:	147:11, 160:24,	184:5, 194:14,	182:6, 183:8,
198:24, 205:12,	8	161:9, 164:8,	204:20, 207:11,	184:1, 189:14,
205:13, 205:14,	Availability - 72:17	169:5, 216:16,	209:11, 209:15,	189:18, 189:23,
211:2, 213:18,	, 128:23, 129:14,	220:8, 220:9,	210:4, 210:25,	191:4, 194:8,
220:24	132:1, 132:18		221:19	195:4, 196:13,
Assigned - 8:15,	Available - 13:23,	221:4, 229:17 Backed 44:25	Benefits - 15:8,	197:5, 199:8,
220:24	22:8, 38:9, 38:12,	Backed - 44:25	130:1, 130:4,	199:18, 200:16,
Assistance - 17:14	38:25, 47:4, 53:4,	Background - 34:1	181:24, 189:20,	200:19, 201:23,
Associated - 28:20	61:7, 67:15, 71:24,	1, 52:6, 214:18	189:21, 204:4,	208:18, 216:3,
, 32:13, 41:6,	84:16, 100:11,	Backups - 112:9	204:5, 207:23,	218:14, 218:17,
72:18, 77:5, 95:15,	105:12, 105:19,	Balance - 142:6,	208:4, 208:22,	218:24, 219:2,
97:10, 111:4,	110:11, 110:17,	153:23	208:23	219:5, 225:2,
119:14, 121:9,	110:19, 113:3,	Balancing - 188:13	Bible - 3:25	227:22, 228:5,
124:3, 142:18,	124:23, 129:3,	Ballpark - 45:14,	Big - 7:18, 14:4,	232:6
178:15, 190:18,	130:14, 130:15,	144:12, 144:14,	21:8, 22:16, 26:19,	Board's - 163:20,
205:2	130:23, 131:20,	158:24	28:20, 41:11, 41:16,	184:2, 200:10,
Assumed - 92:20,	133:11, 137:17,	Barred - 193:7	101:16, 208:17,	200:17, 208:14,
102:11, 118:18,	137:18, 168:18,	Barrel - 186:15	209:20, 209:21,	221:5
118:20, 126:17,	168:22, 175:11	Base - 40:12,	216:25, 218:9,	Boilers - 232:11
147:22, 151:6,	Average - 9:19,	41:11, 124:2,	221:14	Borrow - 124:16
156:20, 156:23	18:13, 44:21,	159:21	Biggest - 13:21,	Both - 21:2, 50:24,
Assumption - 40:3	45:22, 46:2,	Based - 17:23,	21:10	101:25, 106:3,
, 63:18, 74:23,	164:23, 165:23,	18:1, 18:3, 19:5,	Bilateral - 187:25,	132:5, 132:7,
75:4, 75:7, 76:19,	171:9, 171:25,	20:5, 36:15, 37:12,	188:9	142:11, 143:13,
77:7, 104:1, 118:16,	173:2, 173:6,	37:23, 41:8, 41:9,	Bill - 111:18,	152:5, 163:8,
134:2, 134:8,	175:13, 186:15,	45:15, 46:7, 50:10,	119:10	163:10, 169:12,
147:20, 150:4,	212:15, 217:19,	50:21, 60:19, 64:2,	Billed - 197:12	189:5, 220:16,
156:22, 157:10	218:8, 229:19	65:6, 67:13, 71:3,	Billings - 153:5	222:3, 228:11
Assumptions - 70:	Averaged - 11:22	71:24, 72:2, 73:21,	Bills - 112:20	Bother - 162:23
16, 74:13, 75:3,	Averaging - 212:23	73:24, 74:7, 74:8,	Bit - 7:15, 7:21,	Bottom - 17:9
75:4, 75:14, 233:15	Avoid - 48:18,	81:22, 92:8, 104:1,	20:16, 22:24, 35:8,	Bought - 80:10,
Assuredness - 184	49:12	117:1, 119:10,	37:6, 59:19, 71:22,	187:12
:7	Avoiding - 48:22,	124:20, 139:19,	90:17, 90:19,	Break - 2:1, 42:15,
Atlantic - 178:16	136:5	140:1, 140:7,	128:12, 141:18,	127:19, 148:21,
Attachment - 87:1	Aware - 54:8,	149:6, 156:11,	187:17, 191:16,	148:24
5, 113:11	69:25, 75:7, 82:11,	159:6, 186:9,	201:6, 214:21	Breakdown - 39:17
Attempt - 179:1	87:3, 89:22, 96:14,	212:7, 212:10,	Block - 11:19,	, 40:10, 46:25,
Attended - 83:13,	96:21, 96:22, 97:8,	214:1, 228:4,	40:12, 124:2,	47:1, 47:2, 56:24,
90:15, 91:1, 91:9,	99:4, 122:22,	231:19, 233:9	149:5, 149:12	193:21
100:7	125:17, 125:18,	Basis - 9:19, 9:22,	Board - 5:10, 5:12,	Breakdowns - 85:1
Attributed - 201:4	133:1, 191:13,	44:7, 150:4,	8:20, 9:6, 9:14,	4
Attuned - 194:10	203:3, 203:12,	161:18, 221:13	15:2, 22:7, 29:24,	Breakout - 62:9,
Audit - 112:7,	203:14	Became - 59:13,	30:12, 30:21,	62:14
,		96:14, 96:21, 97:8,	. ,	
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

7 (1905) 0; 2010		•	1	NE Hydro 2011 Ores
Brief - 192:3	233:24, 234:10,	18:11, 28:25, 31:19,	Capacity - 11:21,	177:10, 182:23,
Brings - 180:4	234:14, 234:18,	31:25, 32:3, 34:13,	11:22, 130:4,	186:12, 186:16,
Broader - 7:3	234:23	35:1, 35:20, 35:24,	168:17, 168:19,	186:18, 187:13,
Broke - 149:4,	Brunswick - 79:18,	36:14, 36:24, 39:8,	170:18, 170:24	187:17, 187:18,
190:16	79:20	43:1, 47:4, 48:3,	Capital - 6:20, 8:6,	187:19, 212:13,
Broken - 190:14	Budget - 6:21, 8:8,	50:3, 56:19, 56:23,	8:8, 40:12, 113:16,	212:24, 213:5,
Brought - 133:19,	100:9, 100:11,	59:10, 60:3, 60:7,	114:23, 115:20,	226:3, 229:7,
191:17, 216:20	113:17, 213:3,	61:24, 62:1, 62:6,	123:12, 124:20,	229:11, 229:18,
Brown - 18:21,	222:24, 224:8	70:19, 72:16,	136:1, 136:4,	231:19, 231:23,
18:23, 19:17	Budgeting - 82:23	72:21, 73:24,	169:25, 210:22,	232:6, 232:20,
Browne - 52:25,	Build - 40:15, 41:3,	76:18, 78:21,	215:19, 224:7	233:20
172:12, 172:14,	125:5, 150:10,	78:23, 79:2, 86:12,	Capitalize - 114:12	Certain - 34:13,
173:3, 173:7,	151:15, 153:19	87:1, 87:14, 88:3,	Capitalized - 97:21	61:10, 74:7, 74:18,
173:12, 173:22,	Building - 151:20	89:9, 90:4, 101:24,	, 114:23, 115:4,	77:3, 79:16, 103:11,
174:7, 174:22,	Builds - 230:13	102:24, 104:23,	205:25	112:2, 116:8, 117:2,
175:14, 177:1,	Built - 15:24, 39:1,	105:1, 105:20,	Capped - 32:7	147:22, 151:7,
177:20, 177:25,	39:8, 40:15, 72:23,	106:12, 107:20,	Captive - 186:23	189:7, 189:11,
178:24, 179:19,	74:24, 77:3, 77:21,	111:6, 111:19,	Captured - 1:23	196:11
180:3, 182:21,	80:22, 82:3, 94:20,	112:8, 121:16,	Careful - 48:14	Certainly - 28:2,
184:9, 185:10,	112:13, 112:22,	122:7, 122:10,	Carved - 131:14	91:10, 110:19,
185:17, 187:8,	125:9, 153:17,	127:19, 128:13,	Case - 7:10, 7:13,	112:11, 117:8,
188:16, 189:6,	184:20	128:24, 132:11,	7:19, 8:17, 8:22,	119:1, 119:4,
189:15, 190:2,	Bullet - 113:11,	132:16, 132:18,	13:15, 13:17, 18:9,	135:22, 161:12,
190:22, 191:6,	113:12	136:16, 138:25,	32:15, 70:1, 98:19,	166:23, 167:16,
191:15, 192:11,	Bump - 41:11	139:2, 145:20,	119:15, 144:17,	175:3, 176:3,
192:16, 192:21,	Business - 4:19,	148:21, 154:16,	145:9, 147:24,	178:20, 181:3,
192:25, 194:25,	221:23, 221:24,	166:1, 167:24,	152:23, 156:8,	182:24, 187:22,
195:12, 195:13,	222:8	168:9, 174:12,	160:18, 164:11,	189:24, 194:10,
195:20, 196:2,	Busy - 13:8, 76:5	174:19, 175:7,	179:12, 202:12,	203:24, 233:3
196:6, 196:10,	Buy - 186:10	175:12, 182:8,	216:11, 233:3	CF - 73:1, 73:6,
197:2, 197:23,	Buying - 78:3,	182:14, 183:19,	Caused - 212:16,	73:7, 73:15
199:1, 199:6, 199:17, 200:13,	176:21	187:2, 188:9, 190:6, 192:3,	224:21 Causing - 61:12	CHAIR - 1:2, 1:10, 1:11, 2:14, 2:18,
202:1, 202:15,	С	192:5, 193:10,	CDM - 141:8	2:24, 3:14, 3:20,
203:2, 203:10,		194:1, 194:2,	Cent - 36:16,	3:24, 4:7, 5:21,
204:9, 205:17,	CA - 62:6, 224:3	196:11, 197:8,	149:11, 177:5,	5:23, 6:1, 127:20,
206:6, 206:15,	Calculate - 163:25,	200:10, 200:25,	229:23	127:24, 128:3,
207:3, 208:7,	164:3, 222:1	201:1, 201:22,	Centre - 86:16,	128:8, 128:11,
209:16, 210:13,	Calculated - 104:1	203:24, 204:2,	86:21, 86:23, 175:4	172:11, 172:16,
211:13, 211:23,	8, 150:3, 159:23	204:15, 204:16,	Centres - 131:4,	191:16, 192:8,
214:4, 214:12,	Calculates - 154:2	206:24, 213:24,	172:19, 172:21,	192:13, 192:19,
214:23, 215:3,	3 Colouistion 104:1	221:4, 221:12,	173:21, 173:23,	192:23, 193:10,
219:10, 219:19,	Calculation - 104:1	221:17, 221:21,	175:18	193:12, 195:11,
222:10, 222:15,	0 Calculations - 54:1	222:7, 224:3, 227:5	Cents - 18:12,	234:5, 234:8,
222:19, 223:9,	6, 59:20, 194:2,	Canada - 178:16,	18:15, 32:17, 35:2,	234:20
223:17, 223:21,	222:8	178:25, 179:2,	36:10, 42:18,	Challenging - 64:9,
224:19, 225:4,	Calendar - 169:18,	179:22	44:23, 45:18,	64:17
225:12, 225:16,	172:6	Can't - 30:22, 70:3,	45:19, 45:23, 46:3,	Chance - 67:18
225:22, 226:2,	Calgary - 4:22	78:20, 114:23,	46:5, 55:2, 71:3,	Change - 38:22,
226:10, 226:22,	Call - 123:20,	115:4, 129:16,	71:6, 134:15,	56:19, 66:12, 82:8,
227:4, 227:12,	139:5, 177:15,	171:9, 174:23,	134:20, 149:14,	88:4, 102:16,
228:13, 228:18,	178:6, 216:14,	179:23, 179:24,	164:25, 173:2,	102:17, 103:5,
229:8, 229:12,	221:2, 230:24	182:16, 182:17,	173:4, 173:24,	151:20, 154:23,
230:2, 230:10,	Called - 163:3,	182:18, 188:11,	174:2, 174:6,	156:3, 157:18,
231:3, 231:11,	223:2	205:13, 213:21,	174:8, 174:20,	158:15, 158:16,
232:3, 232:14,	Calling - 151:7	222:1, 222:2, 222:4 Cap - 32:2	174:21, 175:18, 175:23, 176:13,	158:20, 158:21, 159:25, 160:7,
232:22, 233:2,	Can - 7:11, 14:5,	Cap - 52.2	175.25, 170.15,	133.23, 100.7,

August 0, 2010		I	1	
164:16, 165:19,	Close - 107:1,	Commence - 25:12	Complicating - 18	182:5, 182:15,
165:21, 203:4,	110:7, 120:24,	Comment - 60:4,	4:10	182:17, 189:11,
220:23, 228:2,	125:18, 186:22,	78:20, 78:23,	Comply - 198:6	190:6, 190:8,
230:21, 230:22	211:21, 213:23	86:10, 86:13,	Complying - 202:1	190:17, 191:7,
Changed - 6:15,	Closely - 59:6,	87:10, 88:3, 89:9,	3, 202:18	191:24, 192:18,
7:1, 75:14	137:1	105:9, 105:20,	Component - 29:4,	193:8, 193:16,
Changes - 9:8, 9:9,	Closer - 97:23,	110:7, 111:7,	37:14, 69:5	193:24, 194:5,
71:20, 72:13, 78:4,	127:15	113:19, 128:24,	Components - 40:	194:6, 194:20,
87:12, 103:2,	Co - 73:1, 73:7,	196:15	13, 211:16	195:3
220:12, 220:13,	73:15	Commented - 105:	Compounded - 15	Confidentially - 18
227:17, 233:10	Coding - 220:11	6, 105:7	4:4	2:8
Changing - 38:8,	Coefficients - 230:	Comments - 2:19	Compromise - 182	Confirm - 122:11
38:24, 173:18,	17	Commercial - 194:	:10	Confirmation - 55:
222:1	Colleague - 172:17	13	Compute - 111:17,	4
Charge - 111:19,	Column - 43:25,	Commission - 97:2	140:11	Consider - 122:21,
123:21, 145:5,	44:2	4, 208:24, 211:19	Computed - 139:2	205:24
146:7, 148:19,	Columns - 155:2	Commissioned - 2	5, 142:21	Considerable - 18
148:20, 149:13,	Combination - 21:	07:8, 207:9	Concede - 227:7	3:1
	2			
149:16, 149:18,		Commissioning -	Concentration - 4:	Consideration - 11
198:9	Combine - 43:20	105:8, 105:11,	17 C omposite 51:0	7:14, 164:9
Charged - 108:6,	Come - 14:3,	105:17, 105:23,	Concept - 51:3,	Considered - 9:14,
114:20, 118:2,	28:21, 40:2, 43:9,	105:24, 109:4,	95:19, 95:21,	35:16, 116:21,
119:2, 123:12,	43:11, 44:24, 58:16,	114:6, 115:15,	105:7, 131:3,	119:4, 150:12,
184:22, 184:23	59:20, 61:11, 63:24,	206:4	167:24, 205:5	164:13, 205:23,
Charges - 8:15,	132:20, 169:5,	Committed - 212:2	Conceptually - 16:	206:2, 225:2
95:15, 96:15, 97:9,	169:15, 177:12,	0, 219:21	13, 16:15	Consistent - 126:2
97:10, 97:11, 123:6,	186:8, 187:15,	Committee - 52:2,	Concern - 18:19,	5, 164:5, 179:7,
125:2	189:1, 189:13,	52:5	21:8, 21:10, 21:12,	198:10, 201:12
Charging - 108:14,	189:18, 189:23,	Commonly - 51:11	22:16, 33:10,	Constantly - 136:1
117:23, 119:17,	189:24, 195:4,	Company - 184:12,	67:25, 129:13,	4
187:16, 188:24,	197:11, 200:16,	185:11, 190:24,	131:16, 138:10,	Construction - 5:1
204:12	200:22, 210:1,	197:25, 198:5	193:25, 204:6,	6, 207:12, 209:23,
Cheap - 71:14,	210:6, 216:10,	Comparable - 218:	204:11, 233:22	214:18
71:16	221:17, 222:23,	5	Concerned - 100:2	Consultant - 84:6,
Cheaper - 176:23	228:3, 228:9, 231:4	Comparative - 85:	3, 151:21, 224:1	84:14, 85:20,
Check - 112:18,	Comes - 28:12,	18	Concerning - 171:	85:21, 100:19,
119:5, 122:13,	43:15, 58:25, 72:4,	Comparators - 84:	8	219:24, 220:2,
206:17	117:12, 163:20,	10, 100:19	Concerns - 18:6,	220:15, 222:6,
Checking - 118:5	170:22, 185:3,	Compared - 11:14,	101:17, 131:19,	222:18, 222:20
Christianson - 221	186:25, 195:15,	26:5, 134:21,	194:5, 194:6	Consultants - 220:
:9			-	
	196:7, 197:7, 231:7	134:23, 213:4	Conclude - 13:16	7, 221:10, 221:11
Churchill - 62:10,	Comfort - 37:5	Comparison - 85:1	Concluded - 98:5	Consumer - 32:8,
65:22 CIAC 170:6	Comfortable - 184:	7 Complete 100:11	Conclusion - 156:2	150:25, 156:5
CIAC - 170:6	14 Comforting 2006	Complete - 100:11,	2, 166:18, 235:4	Consumers - 156:
Circling - 29:14	Comforting - 28:6	114:21, 145:1,	Condenser - 45:13	24, 180:13, 183:4,
Circulated - 1:18,	Coming - 2:11,	212:1	Conditions - 196:2	207:5, 227:1, 232:9
1:20, 1:22, 128:4	9:11, 13:12, 18:10,	Completed - 168:1	3	Context - 85:17
Circumstances - 1	19:25, 34:6, 42:16,	5, 191:5	Conferences - 5:1	Contingencies - 1
02:16	45:20, 62:13,	Completion - 108:	5	29:13
City - 4:22	69:23, 75:8, 79:19,	21	Confidence - 183:7	Continue - 137:12
Claimed - 142:6	126:19, 127:3,	Complex - 10:25	Confidential - 61:4	Continued - 149:1
Clarification - 192:	146:13, 174:5,	Complexity - 110:5	, 180:7, 185:22,	0, 229:21
9, 193:11	174:13, 174:25,	Compliance - 8:20,	191:3	Contract - 25:11,
Class - 139:7	183:18, 183:21,	27:19, 51:15,	Confidentiality - 2:	25:15, 62:23,
Clause - 41:1	189:8, 202:7,	51:17, 67:14,	7, 70:7, 70:18,	73:22, 74:1, 74:8,
Clearer - 141:19	219:6, 226:5,	152:8, 163:16,	78:19, 121:20,	76:22, 76:24, 77:3,
Cloak - 193:8	227:5, 232:6	164:6, 172:7, 224:7	121:23, 175:21,	81:13, 81:22, 97:7,

				,
97:14, 110:1,	Covered - 109:21,	134:19, 135:5,	69:7, 122:7,	68:4, 68:14, 68:19,
124:10, 124:15,	110:13, 120:3	138:14, 139:18,	123:16, 161:2,	69:4, 94:20, 95:7,
174:18, 187:13	Coxworthy - 32:10	150:22, 153:4,	186:21, 187:2,	95:18, 96:16, 97:1,
,	CRA - 152:9		217:1, 230:6	
Contracts - 11:11,		153:22, 157:7,		99:16, 134:18,
80:22, 98:3,	Create - 33:10,	160:24, 161:9,	Dealing - 14:7,	136:24, 138:13,
106:15, 107:8,	33:13	164:24, 168:8,	18:17, 68:5, 68:9,	142:7, 156:9,
108:1, 110:3,	Created - 209:20	169:5, 171:4,	121:2, 131:12,	156:10, 181:14,
112:13, 112:23,	Creates - 33:4	171:10, 172:5,	134:4, 134:16,	200:4, 200:9
123:5, 123:7,	Creating - 15:11	175:24, 176:2,	136:2, 153:2,	Deferred - 16:9,
123:11, 123:19,	Credentials - 4:12	180:23, 181:5,	184:11, 188:18,	32:18, 142:19,
169:4, 174:15	Credit - 67:15,	181:7, 181:16,	213:9, 217:21	143:7, 144:22,
Contribute - 26:20	67:19, 135:4,	181:21, 181:22,	Deals - 109:18	145:2, 145:7,
Contributed - 27:3,	135:11, 139:4,	181:24, 182:9,	Dealt - 11:7, 67:18,	155:16, 156:11,
212:17, 215:20	139:21, 142:4,	182:13, 183:17,	109:14, 221:12	158:14, 159:9,
Contributing - 27:1	142:6, 153:21,	183:23, 184:4,	Debate - 106:3,	162:10
2	153:23, 154:2,	188:9, 188:12,	106:4, 114:5, 201:8,	Deficiencies/
Contribution - 5:16	156:23, 160:10,	196:24, 200:10,	202:23, 203:6,	Credit - 135:2
, 214:17	162:8	203:22, 204:1,	204:25, 213:15,	Deficiency - 32:13,
Control - 86:16	Crypto - 169:19,	204:4, 204:5,	227:24	135:23, 139:6,
Conversion - 154:	169:24	205:9, 205:11,	Debated - 191:25	142:12, 147:13,
13	Cryptocurrency -	206:1, 207:17,	Debates - 213:17	151:16, 152:14,
Converted - 44:2	131:5	207:20, 207:23,	Debt - 40:18,	164:9, 183:10
Conveyor - 196:12	Cumulative - 32:15	207:25, 208:3,	124:13, 124:17,	Deficit - 42:18,
Copies - 1:22	Currency - 169:19,	208:25, 209:11,	124:25, 126:1,	141:15, 142:1
Core - 175:9	169:24	209:17, 210:2,	126:15, 126:21	Define - 103:14
Corporation - 114:	Current - 3:9, 4:12,	210:14, 210:20,	December - 62:18,	Defined - 96:13,
		210:23, 211:5,		123:10
11, 114:19, 169:3, 189:22	5:18, 17:3, 18:10,		62:20, 134:5, 137:17	Definition - 50:22
	19:9, 19:13, 19:16,	211:6, 211:8, 211:9,		
Couldn't - 32:16,	33:7, 45:8, 45:11,	211:10, 211:12,	Decide - 56:19	Definitions - 68:14, 105:24
77:13, 87:10,	75:16, 134:14,	213:19, 213:24,	Decision - 29:25,	
105:11, 105:18,	153:25, 207:22,	214:1, 221:1,	169:9	Delay - 129:13,
158:24	207:25, 208:25,	221:19, 228:22,	Decisions - 221:5	129:22, 137:8,
Council - 110:13,	211:8, 211:10,	233:11, 233:19	Decline - 41:19	137:17, 146:13,
202:19, 203:5	228:3, 233:6	Customers' - 157:	Declined - 103:20	147:23, 148:9,
Counsel - 1:18,	Currently - 76:12,	8	Decrease - 21:19,	206:14, 206:16,
6:23, 190:3, 191:8,	143:23, 153:21,	Customer's - 207:	31:4, 32:24, 47:19,	208:8, 209:19,
191:20, 192:3,	172:21, 173:25,	22	48:19, 86:11, 160:4,	210:2, 210:3,
194:21, 199:18	189:4	Cut - 226:24	165:19, 165:22,	210:25, 211:4,
Counted - 86:17	Customer - 5:5,		165:24, 227:13	211:7, 211:10,
Counting - 86:12,	9:17, 9:23, 46:3,	D	Decreases - 172:1	211:12, 213:13
217:7	49:20, 139:7,	Daily - 218:14,	Decreasing - 29:18	Delayed - 14:5,
Couple - 17:18,	150:16, 169:23,	218:17, 224:14	Dedicated - 217:4,	25:14, 67:7,
54:23, 82:17,	176:6, 183:13,	Data - 131:3,	219:25	129:25, 130:10,
83:13, 91:10,	205:7, 213:20,	172:18, 172:20,	Deduct - 96:17	134:3, 137:13,
100:21, 129:7,	214:17, 229:24,	172.10, 172.20, 173:23,	Deemed - 180:6	150:8
137:7, 150:13,	230:22	175:3, 175:18	Defer - 32:13	Delays - 208:9,
164:20, 166:9,	Customers - 8:14,	Date - 25:11, 55:19,	Deferral - 7:25,	210:5, 210:8,
215:17, 232:18	11:24, 18:14, 19:7,		10:21, 11:4, 12:2,	210:15, 210:18,
Course - 25:5,	19:8, 19:13, 19:16,	55:20, 65:19, 91:6,	14:21, 15:24,	212:16, 213:10
28:10, 40:15,	20:2, 20:6, 30:14,	92:19, 118:20,	16:23, 16:24, 17:2,	Delivery - 78:12,
147:19, 158:18,	31:3, 32:9, 32:22,	129:9, 167:8,	17:3, 17:8, 17:16,	78:14, 78:18,
169:25, 170:5,	33:3, 33:5, 33:7,	206:19	19:14, 20:11, 20:12,	78:21, 78:23,
182:22, 202:2,	33:9, 33:12, 33:13,	Day - 2:13, 54:24,	21:24, 29:21,	120:6, 120:10
213:17, 216:22,	34:25, 36:2, 46:18,	194:15, 200:12	32:15, 48:5, 49:16,	Demands - 175:6
226:15	49:6, 49:8, 49:13,	Days - 54:23, 138:6	49:21, 49:23, 50:3,	Demonstrate - 180
Cover - 126:15,	50:14, 67:15, 68:9,	Deal - 7:23, 8:1,	50:9, 50:13, 50:15,	:16, 194:3
163:19, 163:21	133:10, 133:15,	9:22, 14:6, 14:18,	50:22, 51:3, 58:7,	Demonstrating - 1
		15:2, 29:19, 47:19,	, 0 110, 0017,	

August 0, 2010				
82:1	Differences - 110:6	Division - 198:13	201:9, 201:16,	43:19, 45:1, 50:20,
Denominator - 159	, 217:11	Document - 82:9,	201:20, 204:2,	124:16, 124:21,
:24	Different - 10:23,	104:17, 118:21,	204:17, 207:21,	142:20, 153:24,
.24 Dense - 234:11,			211:14, 211:24,	174:17, 184:11
234:15	38:19, 39:19,	118:22, 139:3		-
	40:13, 57:7, 66:17,	Documentation - 2	218:1, 224:17,	Effects - 171:7,
Department - 5:2,	66:21, 109:3,	2:1	227:7, 227:13,	171:8
52:4, 70:12,	120:12, 127:3,	Doesn't - 19:7,	228:11, 228:23,	Efforts - 208:6
214:25, 215:6,	127:5, 128:5,	31:24, 62:14,	229:1, 230:11,	Eight - 103:23,
219:13, 223:11	150:14, 170:18,	118:11, 150:16,	231:18, 234:1,	187:18, 226:3,
Dependent - 51:10,	177:16, 194:6,	207:4, 231:18	234:15	228:17
166:17	200:2, 201:7,	Dollar - 135:11,	Door - 216:3, 226:5	Elasticity - 171:7,
Depreciated - 41:1	203:1, 214:16,	139:21, 144:4,	Double - 86:12,	171:10, 214:21,
7	215:8, 216:10,	159:25	86:17, 153:7, 213:7	230:3, 230:6,
Depreciation - 221	225:19, 227:17,	Dollars - 14:16,	Drafts - 107:21	230:11, 230:14,
:22, 222:2, 222:3	232:1	26:3, 26:7, 26:23,	Drifting - 232:10	230:16, 231:2,
Describe - 167:14	Difficult - 52:25,	27:22, 28:20,	Drive - 208:1	231:22, 232:5,
Deserves - 202:4	152:10, 170:15,	30:20, 32:19, 33:3,	Driven - 173:21	232:8, 232:16,
Design - 149:13,	205:5	49:5, 51:8, 67:10,	Drives - 41:12	233:5, 233:7,
152:6, 171:2,	Diploma - 4:18	96:5, 96:9, 96:13,	Driving - 9:18	233:8, 233:15,
171:13, 171:16,	Direction - 202:12,	126:12, 134:17,	Dropped - 86:9,	233:18
171:17	202:14	136:3, 143:24,	87:1	Electric - 4:22,
Desirable - 164:1,	Directly - 8:21,	144:1, 144:11,	Duties - 7:1	232:10, 232:11
182:11	55:4, 195:16,	146:22, 147:10,		Electricity - 173:24
Despite - 60:12	204:12	147:14, 176:12,	E	, 175:15, 175:18
Detailed - 56:1,	Director - 3:12,	180:20, 196:25,	Each - 37:14,	Elimination - 10:25
85:14, 212:9	5:4, 5:19, 6:7,	197:1, 221:3	37:22, 178:17,	Elsewhere - 132:3,
Determine - 7:22,	178:2, 188:19,	Dome - 209:20,	193:19, 198:13,	179:11, 219:14
39:24, 56:16,	188:21, 204:10,	209:21, 209:22	230:21	Embedded - 45:22
83:20, 110:23,	214:5, 214:10,	Domestic - 37:8,	Earlier - 1:19,	Emera - 184:12,
119:16, 180:11,	214:13, 215:13,	46:2	21:16, 42:14, 53:1,	184:25, 185:3,
201:21, 233:21	230:7, 232:4	Don't - 13:1, 24:5,	56:24, 61:19,	185:5, 185:7,
Determined - 88:1	Disallowed - 165:1	27:22, 29:20,	66:23, 67:13,	185:11
0 Determining 0.40	5 Discontinued 44	35:19, 35:24,	74:12, 100:15,	Emerging - 9:13
Determining - 8:10	Discontinued - 14	38:18, 48:7, 48:10,	102:6, 124:4,	Eminent - 106:23
, 170:23	9:25	48:13, 48:21,	126:4, 129:22,	Employees - 86:12
Developed - 48:8,	Discussions - 10:2	48:23, 49:4, 50:4,	130:24, 133:12,	, 86:16, 223:20
88:7, 220:7, 221:8	, 10:6, 20:16,	61:12, 63:21,	146:18, 159:5,	Energy - 9:22,
Developing - 179:1	20:17, 51:20,	73:24, 77:12,	193:18, 212:6,	10:16, 17:4, 25:17,
0, 221:7	51:21, 64:2, 97:6,	89:12, 94:13,	216:23, 221:6	29:2, 49:22, 58:4,
Development - 7:2	119:21, 133:6,	100:7, 101:20,	Earliest - 98:25	60:11, 60:23, 61:7,
3, 218:9, 220:17	203:17	105:24, 107:20,	Early - 9:6, 40:19,	61:8, 61:11, 62:10,
Didn't - 12:9, 18:6,	Displace - 175:16	109:1, 111:2, 111:6,	41:5, 41:11, 41:14,	64:21, 65:22, 66:1,
32:8, 50:12, 84:4,	Dispute - 111:11,	111:25, 112:25,	99:16, 101:3,	69:3, 69:4, 70:22,
85:24, 86:4, 95:6,	111:15, 111:25,	113:6, 121:12,	101:8, 124:5,	71:24, 72:15,
95:14, 96:9, 96:13,	113:3 Distant 405:40	121:13, 124:12,	124:10	77:21, 78:1, 80:9,
96:15, 97:11,	Distant - 195:10	130:17, 136:7,	Earned - 40:23	81:7, 120:6,
100:10, 100:16,	Distracted - 162:5	138:10, 138:17,	East - 169:20	130:23, 131:17,
102:13, 105:10,	Distributed - 219:1	138:24, 162:22,	Easy - 180:16,	137:16, 137:18,
111:17, 147:4,	4, 222:22	166:22, 167:22,	182:2	142:7, 149:13,
147:7, 156:21,	Distribution - 66:1	172:9, 172:24,	Economic - 25:9	161:25, 168:17,
157:14, 181:18,	6 Divide 142:10	174:12, 177:11,	Effect - 129:19,	168:18, 168:20,
203:11, 208:3,	Divide - 143:10,	178:11, 178:14,	140:8, 141:4,	168:22, 170:14,
213:7, 215:21	145:17, 193:24,	180:22, 183:13,	141:11, 157:11,	170:17, 171:20,
Difference - 14:17,	224:18 Divided 145:00	185:2, 185:3,	162:13, 162:15,	171:21, 172:19,
59:15, 59:16,	Divided - 145:23,	186:10, 186:19,	228:24, 229:4,	172:20, 173:25,
88:14, 117:12,	145:25, 155:22,	187:2, 187:3,	232:5, 233:8	178:25, 180:5
143:9	159:21	191:20, 192:3,	Effectively - 6:16,	Engaged - 85:5,
1			- ,	

August 0, 2010				
137:1	187:14	121:12, 134:9,	15:6, 15:7, 15:9,	144:18
Engineer - 215:18,	Everything - 195:1	173:6	16:2, 16:4, 16:25,	Feehan's - 171:6,
215:20	5, 214:22, 229:14	Expectation - 89:1	21:12, 22:14,	-
Engineering - 6:22	Evidence - 4:1,	2, 130:18	36:16, 44:15,	233:22, 234:2
		-		Feel - 229:2
, 8:8, 105:8, 106:3,	12:4, 12:6, 12:11,	Expected - 15:25,	82:16, 92:22,	Fees - 80:22, 81:6,
194:1 England 70:10	12:15, 12:17, 23:1,	16:8, 16:19, 16:21,	100:18, 106:14,	81:7, 81:11, 108:5,
England - 79:10,	23:18, 25:7, 26:16,	25:23, 26:4, 58:9,	111:23, 116:24,	120:8, 120:10,
80:11, 177:4,	31:14, 31:18,	58:11, 60:13, 69:1,	135:6, 135:8,	120:11, 120:19,
177:13, 188:1	33:15, 34:19,	128:15, 139:19,	144:9, 163:22,	121:10, 121:17,
Enhancements - 2	35:14, 35:25, 36:1,	211:5	195:17, 196:14	124:17
20:22	37:10, 42:13,	Expecting - 139:19	Fairly - 106:23,	Feverishly - 129:9
Ensure - 116:1,	43:16, 47:2, 47:17,	Expedite - 209:23	134:7, 177:18,	Fifty - 86:7
119:8, 136:12,	52:3, 54:11, 55:18,	Expenditures - 19	201:3, 202:7, 217:3	Figure - 31:18,
197:16, 201:3,	59:11, 60:1, 69:12,	9:22, 199:24	Fairness - 16:10,	36:16, 45:14,
201:10, 213:20	86:7, 95:6, 95:18,	Expense - 123:24,	17:13, 20:19,	57:14, 71:23, 86:7,
Ensures - 150:15	96:25, 99:15,	126:21	201:2, 208:19,	86:8, 88:18, 100:5,
Entail - 113:20,	100:15, 103:23,	Expenses - 114:19,	208:20	104:11, 141:7,
120:22	105:13, 105:17,	198:11 Expansive 177:2	Fall - 11:2, 25:13,	143:21, 146:14,
Enter - 97:7, 121:3, 182:17, 196:21	120:2, 128:13, 128:17, 142:5,	Expensive - 177:2, 177:12	62:24, 99:13, 135:21, 152:1,	158:11 Figured - 160:21
'	142:21, 150:20,	Experience - 4:11,	166:24	Figures - 40:8,
Entered - 77:1, 180:5	151:5, 154:22,	217:22, 217:25,	Falls - 7:18, 7:20,	57:24, 70:11, 82:20,
	163:2, 164:4,		8:24, 9:1, 9:9, 9:18,	
Entering - 183:19	166:16, 171:6,	218:3, 218:7, 229:1		83:8, 83:9, 85:22, 91:20, 92:3, 93:1,
Entire - 87:22, 135:3, 204:13	175:8, 176:8,	Experienced - 217: 15, 217:23, 228:22	11:11, 15:11, 18:2, 31:20, 32:14, 33:7,	95:23, 95:24,
Entities - 121:2	177:3, 179:23,	Experiencing - 186	36:3, 37:19, 37:21,	100:4, 115:6, 115:8,
Entitle - 108:16	179:25, 180:18,	:14	39:2, 39:18, 40:17,	115:12, 148:25,
Entitled - 202:21	188:18, 221:23,	Expert - 177:24,	41:7, 41:19, 42:25,	193:22, 195:14
Entity - 98:15,	225:6, 226:19,	184:21, 197:18	43:19, 43:20,	File - 2:12, 9:2,
98:21, 108:13	233:23, 234:2	Experts - 179:20	43:22, 44:6, 44:9,	76:1, 95:6, 168:9,
Envisaged - 212:3	Evolved - 35:10	Expiration - 154:2	62:10, 65:23, 68:11,	170:6, 170:24,
Equity - 15:10,	Examine - 180:10	Expire - 150:9	89:4, 89:7, 89:20,	198:12, 198:14,
19:24, 33:10,	Example - 8:14,	Expiring - 160:1	105:13, 105:19,	198:16, 200:7
208:17, 208:20	113:25, 124:14,	Explain - 60:11,	109:20, 113:15,	Filed - 1:15, 2:2,
Equivalent - 92:5	133:24, 168:1,	141:18	113:18, 113:25,	8:19, 9:15, 9:24,
Erected - 209:24	209:4	Explicit - 162:12,	114:10, 114:19,	9:25, 10:17, 11:6,
Escalation - 40:24	Except - 42:23	162:13	123:19, 124:3,	12:5, 22:1, 54:18,
Essentially - 210:3	Exception - 2:4,	Export - 169:7	150:5, 151:22,	54:22, 54:23,
Estimate - 46:17,	179:22	Exports - 169:10,	152:11, 168:15,	54:24, 58:12, 82:9,
82:19, 87:20, 88:7,	Excess - 26:20,	169:12, 169:13,	168:16, 168:23,	94:18, 95:2, 95:16,
92:24, 93:15,	27:3, 34:5, 62:10,	189:21, 221:15,	169:3, 169:13,	95:17, 99:15,
98:25, 99:19,	65:22, 65:25,	221:17, 221:18	189:22, 207:7,	99:16, 170:8,
100:3, 102:12,	139:7, 140:12,	Exposed - 200:9	208:10, 211:11,	171:1, 171:13,
212:12, 212:15	142:4, 142:11,	Extent - 85:15,	211:12, 211:15,	189:10, 191:18,
Estimated - 45:3,	143:8, 143:18,	117:13	216:24	191:23, 216:2,
88:3, 145:23, 156:4	143:25, 144:5,	External - 220:7	Familiarity - 214:2	218:12, 218:13,
Estimates - 46:13,	155:10, 158:16,	Extra - 26:6, 27:4,	0	218:14, 218:19
55:24, 85:19, 86:4,	161:22, 162:9,	27:6, 27:10, 33:2,	Far - 27:25, 53:8,	Filing - 2:2, 12:17,
102:17, 140:23,	164:3, 168:20,	130:15, 145:18,	82:9, 175:4, 176:3,	23:14, 27:19, 28:4,
171:1, 196:18,	169:2	145:22, 173:17,	186:16, 197:8,	51:15, 51:17, 58:6,
212:10, 212:11	Excluded - 53:2,	178:20, 205:4	218:12, 218:20,	67:14, 93:6, 94:18,
Evaluation - 38:6	53:3	Extreme - 219:9,	219:4, 223:24,	94:20, 94:21, 99:7,
Eventually - 65:11,	Excluding - 145:10	224:16	226:15	152:9, 163:17,
114:20, 204:16	, 207:16, 224:14		Fault - 208:8	164:6, 166:10,
Everybody - 1:3,	Existed - 233:14	F	February - 9:8	166:16, 166:21,
127:9, 186:5	Expect - 74:9,	Factored - 175:19	Fee - 81:14, 81:15,	167:1, 167:2,
Everyone - 187:10,	89:7, 108:11, 109:5,	Fair - 7:21, 12:24,	120:21, 121:5,	167:5, 169:25,
				-

August 0, 2010		1	1	
171:4, 172:7	Focused - 6:19,	47:6, 47:14, 47:18,	Funds - 124:12,	93:13, 102:13,
Filings - 10:3,	223:5	51:9, 51:22,	185:7	102:15, 112:16,
216:23, 219:4,	Follow - 208:16	102:20, 103:2,	Further - 55:10,	124:19, 125:4,
219:8, 224:10,	Followed - 22:12,	136:15, 160:12,	88:8, 99:9, 132:23,	126:22, 136:7,
224:11, 224:16	179:10	161:1, 171:22,	172:10, 178:21,	138:5, 140:11,
Final - 13:12, 200:8	Following - 113:17	172:17, 187:15,	184:10	143:10, 144:3,
Finance - 4:21,	Follows - 208:18	191:10, 191:18,	Future - 12:24,	145:24, 147:24,
219:22, 222:21,	Footnote - 120:4	191:21, 193:3,	15:17, 17:14, 20:1,	151:25, 154:18,
223:2, 223:3, 223:8	Footnotes - 158:5	221:13, 221:15,	20:18, 20:22,	162:7, 166:24,
Financer - 124:21	Forces - 188:4	233:17	22:20, 33:3, 33:8,	169:9, 172:1,
Financial - 134:14,	Forecast - 8:2,	Forwards - 68:15	33:13, 48:22, 49:7,	174:12, 174:19,
198:8, 198:12,	13:18, 13:25, 14:2,	Fosters - 220:9	49:12, 49:13,	176:22, 177:9,
198:13, 198:15,	18:7, 18:10, 25:12,	Found - 84:17	50:14, 55:12,	177:17, 178:21,
198:18, 223:5	25:19, 26:3, 26:21,	Four - 4:23, 32:19,	67:21, 115:23,	179:13, 182:14,
Financing - 123:9,	27:2, 27:6, 27:18,	126:7, 186:12,	117:22, 126:22,	182:18, 186:21,
126:1	27:21, 28:9, 30:5,	215:11, 218:1,	134:18, 161:18,	187:2, 188:3,
Find - 43:2, 44:11,	42:16, 52:24,	218:3, 222:21	205:11, 206:1,	188:4, 189:4,
52:24, 52:25, 84:6	61:19, 66:5, 66:6,	Framework - 192:1	208:1, 208:2,	195:8, 202:20,
Fine - 121:22,	66:13, 72:2, 72:3,	7	208:3, 210:20,	205:8, 208:4,
122:7, 152:25,	72:14, 72:18, 74:5,	Frequent - 68:8	210:23, 211:8	209:2, 209:3,
234:9	74:23, 75:2, 75:7,	Frequently - 136:1	210.23, 211.0	203.2, 203.3, 223:16, 227:8,
Firm - 25:11, 29:2,	76:10, 77:4, 77:8,	9	G	227:13, 229:2,
60:23, 77:5, 77:8,	102:24, 128:16,	Friday - 1:15,		229:13, 234:1
174:16	139:24, 140:7,	75:21, 76:1	Game - 44:15	Gets - 14:5, 41:10,
Firmed - 102:20	168:19, 173:18,	Friends - 226:4,	Gas - 170:21	156:2, 185:5
Firming - 29:2	176:9, 180:19,	227:7	Gave - 188:17	Gigawatt - 58:21,
First - 15:5, 18:23,	197:10, 199:22,	Front - 1:17	GE - 129:8	59:15, 62:15,
18:24, 43:25, 44:2,	199:24, 206:12,	Fruition - 189:2	Geez - 14:15	70:23, 133:13,
93:8, 93:15,	213:25, 214:2,	Fuel - 11:1, 14:10,	General - 11:8,	134:1, 134:13,
124:14, 133:25,	220:21, 228:4,	28:10, 28:13,	13:10, 38:21,	173:17, 176:10,
140:2, 149:12,	230:14, 231:9,	28:15, 44:25,	53:16, 166:14,	231:17
153:5, 204:25,	231:20, 232:1,	128:20, 134:14,	172:23, 183:22,	Give - 1:14, 7:11,
232:7	233:6	147:20, 147:22,	197:6, 224:8	11:19, 30:13, 33:11,
Five - 31:3, 75:7,	Forecasted - 50:8	147:23, 148:10,	Generally - 68:4,	49:5, 53:15, 53:17,
103:24, 186:12,	Forecaster - 231:1	148:13, 149:6,	153:3, 192:24 Generating - 151:2	77:13, 85:20,
229:23	0	176:16, 176:17,	3	85:24, 94:7, 101:5,
Fixed - 45:2,	Forecasting - 26:2	176:19, 180:19,	Generation - 37:19	122:10, 158:24,
150:17	3, 61:22, 230:13,	181:17, 209:5		171:22, 173:15,
Flag - 62:7	231:7	Full - 3:4, 56:19,	, 39:3, 75:10, 89:4, 89:8, 89:20,	179:23, 179:24,
Flat - 35:7, 183:21	Forecasts - 51:10,	68:11, 113:18,	105:12, 105:19,	184:7, 188:11,
Flavour - 20:17,	82:4, 82:8, 110:18,	126:7, 140:7,	114:1, 170:20,	195:5, 204:17,
93:14, 154:18	121:9, 199:23	144:22, 150:15,	211:1, 211:3	207:24, 213:19
Flexibility - 32:2	Forensic - 211:18,	188:11, 204:19,	Generational - 15:	Given - 2:4, 4:1,
Flexible - 221:4	212:1	207:6, 207:10,	10, 15:13, 19:24	18:7, 22:22, 92:24,
Floor - 27:23	Foresee - 165:15	207:20, 210:4,	Get - 7:17, 10:2,	104:12, 199:10,
Flow - 19:16,	Forget - 101:4	228:10	13:15, 14:22, 15:9,	200:16, 206:19
79:10, 79:18,	Formal - 22:5,	Fully - 9:5, 32:16,	15:12, 15:16,	Gives - 171:19,
161:8, 161:23	112:22	97:21, 116:11,	17:15, 19:6, 25:25,	226:3
Flowing - 79:17,	Formatting - 128:6	117:13, 179:17,	29:1, 29:13, 30:4,	Glynn - 1:4, 1:5,
121:1, 153:25,	Forth - 221:5	185:4	30:20, 32:8, 32:16,	57:3, 105:2, 122:4,
160:22, 211:4,	Forward - 9:1,	Funded - 113:15	36:3, 36:14, 40:21,	122:14, 166:6
216:24	9:10, 9:16, 9:24,	Funding - 39:19,	43:17, 43:19,	Go - 17:7, 17:8,
Flows - 178:19	10:14, 11:5, 11:13,	41:8, 41:15, 41:18,	43:21, 44:21,	17:21, 18:4, 18:8,
Fluctuated - 229:1	13:20, 14:21,	43:21, 97:22,	44:22, 46:4, 48:21,	23:1, 23:5, 27:25,
9 Focus 7:4 26:10	15:25, 17:12,	97:24, 108:20,	49:4, 50:12, 62:16,	30:14, 36:25,
Focus - 7:4, 36:10,	21:23, 22:11, 27:13,	109:6, 109:13,	65:11, 79:12, 84:4,	37:17, 39:11, 42:13,
37:9, 127:7	29:17, 34:1, 34:25,	109:17, 124:22	84:14, 87:18,	43:18, 50:13,

7 (ugust 0; 2010			1	
56:18, 56:19, 59:8, 59:10, 69:9, 73:18, 88:20, 90:19, 112:17, 120:1, 133:25, 144:1, 146:24, 147:24, 148:2, 148:13, 152:13, 154:22, 165:3, 168:7, 176:7, 178:21, 180:17, 182:3, 187:3, 188:14, 194:14, 195:1, 195:21, 198:17, 200:4, 200:20, 202:5, 207:11, 208:14, 211:24, 222:4, 223:14 Going - 1:24, 7:16, 8:4, 8:11, 9:1, 9:16, 9:24, 10:14, 11:5, 11:13, 13:19, 13:20, 13:23, 14:19, 17:11, 17:24, 17:25, 20:2, 20:18, 21:23, 22:11, 22:12, 22:19, 27:12, 29:17, 29:18, 34:25, 47:6, 47:14, 47:15, 47:18, 47:19, 51:22, 53:18, 54:6, 57:7, 64:9, 68:15, 95:15, 96:14, 97:9, 97:12, 97:20, 99:5, 102:19, 102:20, 103:2, 106:19, 108:5, 108:13, 117:3, 117:21, 117:22, 118:18, 136:21, 136:23, 144:7, 152:22, 155:11, 158:13, 161:4, 161:5, 166:23, 169:7, 170:21, 171:12, 175:5, 178:23, 181:5, 183:23, 184:6, 184:25, 195:7, 196:6	207:18, 208:25, 209:23, 210:21, 220:3, 221:13, 227:8, 233:16, 234:3 Gone - 107:6, 130:18, 184:16, 193:5 Good - 1:3, 1:10, 1:12, 2:24, 3:2, 3:21, 3:23, 6:1, 6:4, 50:5, 101:5, 102:23, 148:9, 166:12, 171:19, 180:24, 199:11, 209:15, 213:20, 213:24, 219:7 Goodness - 211:22 Got - 5:1, 6:23, 10:23, 12:4, 13:10, 15:6, 15:14, 16:9, 18:15, 23:17, 24:7, 25:18, 25:21, 25:24, 26:2, 26:6, 27:2, 29:13, 30:18, 31:15, 32:20, 34:12, 34:14, 39:15, 41:10, 41:13, 41:15, 45:12, 48:24, 49:2, 49:4, 49:6, 50:6, 50:7, 51:8, 59:8, 61:13, 62:22, 72:8, 75:20, 79:4, 79:10, 79:11, 92:13, 93:17, 94:15, 95:23, 99:6, 101:9, 103:13, 108:19, 110:18, 119:11, 124:8, 131:11, 132:15, 134:1, 146:21, 147:23, 148:9, 151:11, 151:14, 155:10, 155:16, 155:22, 160:3, 162:4, 168:16, 168:20, 169:19, 169:22, 169:24, 170:6, 170:24	188:12, 198:12, 198:15, 198:19, 199:22, 200:7, 204:24, 205:16, 209:8, 215:10, 216:8, 216:11, 216:13, 216:16, 217:3, 217:6, 217:14, 217:23, 217:24, 218:2, 218:11, 218:18, 218:23, 219:2, 219:5, 219:18, 219:24, 220:1, 222:4, 225:6, 227:21, 227:16, 227:24 Government - 18:1 6, 46:11, 54:14, 54:18, 55:5, 169:6, 169:9, 202:11, 202:13, 203:4, 203:17, 212:18, 233:21 Government's - 55 :11, 212:20 GRA - 5:11, 13:13, 18:11, 34:6, 42:16, 95:18, 140:20, 154:5, 155:9, 157:10, 159:8, 163:4, 166:9, 167:12, 183:18, 208:14, 213:10, 216:9, 216:15, 216:17, 216:19, 228:3 Gradually - 161:3 Gradually - 161:	55:10, 64:17, 66:11, 67:12, 77:5, 84:20, 92:9, 95:22, 100:18, 106:3, 116:21, 135:2, 139:4, 139:20, 144:17, 158:22, 161:25, 179:24, 181:1, 188:22, 198:5, 200:3, 200:18, 202:16, 204:14, 205:6, 228:4 Guestimating - 99: 24 Guru - 19:21 \boxed{H} Hadn't - 16:17, 36:23, 68:17 Haif - 4:24, 50:12, 134:8, 218:1 Hand - 3:25, 83:7 Handle - 163:8, 163:10 Handling - 131:5 Hands - 31:21, 31:24 Hanes - 224:20 Hang - 28:3 Hard - 30:14, 186:11, 233:14 Hasn't - 66:11, 66:12, 205:22, 226:14, 227:23, 229:21 Hat - 28:3 Haven't - 25:21, 26:8, 53:20, 56:8, 104:14, 104:20, 107:3, 107:6, 107:21, 137:24, 159:19, 160:20, 193:1 Haynes - 52:1, 103:10, 105:6, 169:17, 175:8 Head - 152:22,	191:18, 193:4, 199:8, 199:12, 200:21, 212:2, 216:24, 216:25 Hearings - 10:20, 223:12 Heating - 232:10 Heavily - 215:24, 216:5 Heavily - 215:24, 216:5 Help - 4:3, 60:8 Helps - 57:22 Henderson - 215:1 5, 217:17 Here's - 34:14, 187:16 High - 37:11, 46:7, 56:17, 83:21, 88:6, 93:2, 99:19, 152:21, 176:14, 176:17, 176:22, 181:2, 203:25, 204:1, 204:2, 204:5, 229:5 Higher - 20:3, 25:20, 126:6, 143:21, 152:17, 152:19, 153:20, 158:11, 159:23, 177:10, 188:5 Hired - 220:14 Historical - 233:10, 233:15 Hold - 4:18, 24:3 Holyrood - 10:15, 11:1, 13:24, 14:6, 42:24, 45:1, 45:11, 45:13, 48:24, 133:20, 149:6, 154:12, 156:8, 175:17, 1
117:3, 117:21, 117:22, 118:18, 136:21, 136:23, 144:7, 152:22, 155:11, 158:13, 161:4, 161:5, 166:23, 169:7, 170:21, 171:12, 175:5, 178:23, 181:5, 183:23,	108:19, 110:18, 119:11, 124:8, 131:11, 132:15, 134:1, 146:21, 147:23, 148:9, 151:11, 151:14, 155:10, 155:16, 155:22, 160:3, 162:4, 168:16, 168:20, 169:19,	Gradually - 161:3 Graduated - 4:15, 4:20 Grant - 164:12 Greater - 174:2 Grid - 9:21, 170:16 Group - 4:22, 6:22, 6:23, 6:25, 7:4, 52:19, 58:25, 59:5, 59:25, 117:8, 207:2,	Haven't - 25:21, 26:8, 53:20, 56:8, 104:14, 104:20, 107:3, 107:6, 107:21, 137:24, 159:19, 160:20, 193:1 Haynes - 52:1, 103:10, 105:6, 169:17, 175:8	42:24, 45:1, 45:11, 45:13, 48:24, 133:20, 149:6, 154:12, 156:8, 175:17, 176:16, 176:17, 176:19, 181:15, 181:17, 182:24, 185:13, 186:3, 187:3 Home - 4:24, 193:5

173:2, 173:4, 176:13, 186:7,	188:20, 188:24, 189:22, 190:24,	110:16, 115:21, 117:7, 117:19,	Incorporate - 177: 7, 220:20	Indirectly - 195:16, 204:13
186:13, 186:17,	195:4, 196:19,	118:21, 119:1,	Incorporating - 22	Industrial - 8:14,
186:18, 212:14,	197:3, 197:11,	120:24, 121:25,	0:13	11:18, 11:24, 32:9,
231:17	198:14, 201:12,	122:25, 123:3,	Increase - 18:17,	135:4, 157:7,
Hours - 58:21,	202:12, 202:18,	125:17, 125:18,	22:13, 29:19, 31:2,	157:8, 171:3,
62:15, 70:23,	203:4, 203:16,	129:20, 130:18,	32:6, 32:12, 34:1,	172:5, 172:24,
133:13, 134:13,	204:11, 204:12,	133:1, 137:3,	34:5, 35:1, 41:20,	181:16, 181:20,
173:18, 176:10	205:7, 206:3,	137:15, 138:4,	48:22, 59:13,	221:1
House - 221:20	206:7, 206:25,	151:7, 152:21,	59:18, 60:12, 61:6,	Industrials - 165:2
HST - 226:11	215:9, 216:12,	154:24, 159:15,	66:7, 133:20,	0
Hub - 177:3	217:3, 217:25,	162:7, 177:24,	136:6, 140:20,	Infamous - 7:24
Huge - 136:1,	221:13	179:11, 179:15,	144:4, 147:8,	Influences - 72:15
181:5, 219:9,		184:21, 185:21,	150:21, 151:14,	Informed - 87:4,
	Hydrophology - 13			
233:17	5:24	186:11, 186:21,	152:17, 152:19,	101:20, 136:20,
Hundred - 103:24	Hydro's - 17:9,	190:10, 190:19,	153:3, 153:7,	179:15
Hutchens - 82:16,	21:18, 41:24, 42:9,	191:13, 195:6,	153:19, 154:3,	Initial - 15:23, 16:1,
84:19, 85:5, 85:15,	42:19, 43:11, 83:7,	196:23, 197:15,	158:14, 165:10,	17:12, 24:12,
86:15, 87:17, 90:6,	128:20, 159:7,	197:18, 202:7,	165:18, 165:21,	40:16, 40:23, 58:5,
97:16, 111:10,	168:21, 186:3,	203:9, 203:14,	181:7, 183:16,	60:18, 86:8, 94:18,
148:22, 192:14,	196:21, 199:5,	211:21, 213:22,	183:20, 210:18,	136:20, 205:10,
192:15	201:10, 205:10,	223:24, 224:18,	212:17, 212:19,	207:5
HVDC - 84:16	230:13	231:7, 232:18,	213:8, 224:22,	Initially - 15:3,
Hydro - 1:7, 1:15,		234:3	226:6, 226:20,	65:8, 95:22
2:5, 3:10, 5:7, 6:17,		Imbedded - 11:23,	227:16, 227:20,	Initiatives - 7:6
6:18, 7:7, 10:20,		171:21, 171:25	229:6, 229:24	Input - 100:16
10:22, 13:9, 20:15,	IC - 36:18, 38:11,	Imbedding - 28:1	Increased - 24:25,	Inquiring - 94:7
22:1, 22:14, 29:18,	42:11, 212:21	Impact - 33:8,	25:16, 59:18,	Inquiry - 211:19,
	ICC - 87:14, 89:23	•		211:22
33:25, 41:2, 41:21,	I'd - 12:9, 13:1,	44:24, 45:17, 66:8,	171:12, 210:19,	
43:5, 45:10, 47:18,	14:24, 117:7,	67:9, 136:11,	210:20, 230:23	Intend - 193:16,
49:15, 49:19,	121:19, 151:21	139:11, 148:5,	Increases - 32:5,	211:24
49:20, 51:4, 51:6,	Identifying - 9:13	155:9, 175:5,	32:7, 58:2, 161:22,	Intends - 2:5
53:2, 56:2, 68:9,	I'II - 14:22, 44:13,	175:6, 228:7,	171:24, 181:15,	Inter - 15:10,
102:21, 107:10,	77:24, 90:14,	233:11	181:20, 181:23,	15:13, 19:24
108:14, 108:16,	134:25, 139:4,	Impacts - 34:25,	183:14, 213:4	Interconnected - 1
110:15, 110:22,	173:15, 182:22,	36:2, 187:6, 229:5,	Increasing - 233:1	30:5, 135:5,
112:1, 112:8,	195:10, 201:21,	231:17	9	173:19, 173:20
114:20, 115:23,	228:23, 234:4	Implementation - 6	Incremental - 45:1	Interconnection -
116:20, 118:2,		8:11, 102:1, 102:11,	7, 205:1	9:20
123:13, 123:14,	Illustrate - 50:3,	151:6, 151:7,	Incur - 19:15,	Interest - 182:12,
123:21, 123:22,	218:11	151:11	114:11, 201:13	188:8, 191:25
124:12, 124:16,	Illustrative - 32:10,	Implemented - 222	Incurred - 19:12,	Interesting - 180:4
124:18, 124:19,	54:12	:9	25:10, 102:22,	Interests - 194:13
124:21, 124:23,	I'm - 10:19, 17:14,	Implementing - 15	115:13, 116:10,	Intergenerational -
125:4, 125:6,	20:16, 32:10, 54:2,	2:10	117:21, 119:15,	33:9, 208:17,
126:18, 126:19,	54:8, 56:14, 56:20,	Import - 167:24	119:17, 120:7,	208:20
126:21, 127:11,	57:7, 62:4, 62:15,	Import - 107.24 Important - 9:15,	185:1, 197:17,	Interim - 26:22,
1 <i>i i</i>	65:2, 65:25, 69:14,			
129:1, 130:12,	77:17, 82:11, 85:2,	189:16, 192:7	198:20, 198:23,	27:5, 109:2, 140:5,
132:12, 136:11,	85:4, 91:9, 91:15,	Importing - 173:24,	200:25, 201:11,	140:14, 140:19,
136:13, 136:16,	92:19, 93:5, 93:13,	174:1, 174:8	205:4, 205:15	141:12, 141:14,
136:21, 142:5,	97:19, 97:25, 99:6,	Imprimatur - 195:5	Indeed - 171:8	161:10, 166:25,
144:17, 150:20,	99:24, 103:15,	Improve - 7:7	Indicated - 35:13,	225:7, 225:10
168:21, 169:10,	104:14, 104:20,	Improved - 11:20	105:10, 169:6,	Internal - 40:1,
169:14, 169:25,	105:22, 106:4,	Inaccurate - 52:8	169:17, 212:10	40:3, 40:16, 40:20,
173:19, 178:3,	106:23, 107:20,	Inadequate - 124:2	Indicating - 117:19	200:15, 216:1,
179:1, 180:8,	107:22, 108:10,	2	Indication - 102:15	216:4, 217:19,
183:8, 184:23,	108:18, 110:7,	Inclining - 11:19	, 164:22	221:10
	100.10, 110.7,			

Interpretation - 11 1:6, 114:21, 115:19, 126:23 Introduce - 2:22 Investment - 38:5, 53:14, 185:5, 185:6 Investments - 124: 9, 136:1 Invoice - 112:16, 197:4, 197:5, 198:1, 198:3, 199:7, 199:9, 199:25, 201:4 Invoices - 110:9, 110:10, 110:15, 112:9, 112:17, 206:8 Invoicing - 108:14, 108:16 Involve - 221:21 Involvement - 110: 24 IOC - 110:13 Island - 7:25, 8:14, 17:6, 19:25, 20:12, 21:24, 23:7, 23:13, 24:25, 25:8, 25:13, 25:16, 25:19, 27:21, 28:19, 29:20, 30:5, 44:3, 48:4, 48:13, 57:9, 59:17, 68:6, 68:10, 68:19, 69:13, 69:22, 70:21, 72:20, 72:22, 72:24, 73:8, 73:20, 76:10, 94:19, 95:7, 120:7, 130:3, 135:4, 135:5, 136:23, 140:10, 157:7, 157:8, 165:20, 168:18, 170:20, 170:22, 171:3, 172:5, 174:15, 175:23, 176:9, 176:23, 176:9, 176:23, 176:9, 176:23, 176:9, 176:23, 178:22, 180:21, 180:24, 181:12, 181:20, 181:25, 185:15, 186:7, 186:25, 193:21, 200:6, 208:23, 209:12, 209:13, 220:22 Isn't - 35:25, 72:16, 117:7, 130:3,	Isolated - 135:25, 154:13, 156:9, 181:9 Issue - 1:6, 15:10, 19:24, 22:11, 22:13, 29:13, 29:17, 31:20, 106:1, 121:22, 131:15, 169:20, 176:25, 193:19, 194:12 Issues - 2:20, 8:16, 9:13, 30:6 Item - 73:16, 101:22, 112:18 Items - 100:21, 100:23, 101:16, 127:16 It'II - 209:25, 212:19 I've - 1:21, 5:11, 5:14, 6:17, 6:22, 11:9, 11:11, 187:5, 203:17, 216:13, 217:24, 218:2, 219:18 Issues - 150:22, 151:6, 153:14, 156:20, 157:10, 157:18, 227:22, 228:14 Job - 4:25, 5:1, 214:8 Jobs - 189:1 John - 18:21 Joined - 5:7, 203:15 Judgment - 210:9, 210:16 July - 12:6, 13:11, 55:20, 59:11, 60:19, 64:22, 64:25, 92:8, 94:19, 128:14, 135:20, 140:3, 140:15, 151:11, 151:21, 152:13, 153:15, 153:20, 154:3, 156:21, 156:23, 157:1, 157:15, 157:21, 158:25, 159:17, 159:20, 159:25, 183:16, 183:17, 225:40, 225:41	228:14 Julys - 228:11 Jump - 71:22 Juncture - 199:19, 202:21 June - 37:12, 38:4, 56:7, 87:17, 166:22 Jurisdiction - 79:1 2, 79:17, 178:18, 179:12 Jurisdictions - 68: 1, 84:7, 121:3, 132:2, 177:17 Justify - 200:24 K Keeps - 27:23 Kevin - 3:7, 4:6 Key - 12:22, 29:4 Kilowatt - 18:13, 42:18, 44:23, 45:18, 45:19, 55:2, 71:4, 71:6, 148:23, 149:11, 149:15, 164:25, 173:2, 173:4, 176:13, 186:7, 186:13, 186:7, 186:13, 186:7, 186:13, 186:7, 186:13, 186:7, 186:13, 186:7, 186:13, 186:7, 186:13, 186:7, 186:13, 186:7, 186:13, 186:7, 186:13, 187:13, 212:14 Knowledge - 224:2 4 Knows - 186:5, 187:14, 211:25 L Lab - 10:1, 169:23 Labour - 101:17, 101:21 Labrador - 1:7, 11:17, 11:18, 11:20, 11:25, 25:13, 39:3, 66:1, 66:5, 66:6, 66:7, 98:12, 130:23, 131:1, 169:20, 172:21, 173:19, 173:20, 174:25, 175:23, 176:23, 181:8, 186:25 Language - 123:18 Large - 22:13, 29:19, 101:7, 131:10 Largely - 12:13	Largest - 11:2 Late - 62:12, 64:22, 67:2, 135:21 Latecomer - 203:1 5 Later - 12:5, 14:23, 25:16, 32:11, 58:9, 60:13, $61:20$, 99:11, 99:13, 114:25, 125:4, 169:17, 207:22 Lawyer - 217:4 Lawyers - 98:23, 110:6, 121:20, 199:13, 201:21, 202:17, 217:7 Laying - 37:11 Lays - 32:12, 231:17, 231:24 LCP/ Transitions - 113:1 6 Lead - 215:11, 231:13, 234:2, 234:3 Leave - 121:19, 201:21 Leblanc - 122:17, 231:9 Left - 45:11, 156:16, 156:19, 214:10, 217:17 Legal - 106:1, 106:4, 111:5, 112:5, 197:20, 201:8, 203:1, 203:6, 207:16 Legislation - 31:22, 47:24, 202:10, 207:18, 207:19 Legislative - 169:8 Legitimate - 211:11 4 Let's - 14:25, 29:8, 30:19, 31:14, 84:2, 88:8, 113:25, 116:9, 133:25, 137:7, 154:22, 159:10, 160:3, 195:21 Letters - 218:20 Level - 37:11, 46:8, 56:17, 83:21, 186:9, 133:25, 137:7, 154:22, 159:10, 160:3, 195:21 Letters - 218:20 Level - 37:11, 46:8, 56:17, 83:21, 88:6, 93:2, 99:19, 181:3, 203:25 Likelihood - 129:3 LIL - 13:22, 13:25,	25:22, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 30:21, 60:25, 62:13, 63:18, 66:13, 67:7, 69:23, 72:17, 82:13, 88:23, 89:1, 89:8, 98:14, 98:20, 105:11, 105:18, 106:16, 108:15, 109:14, 109:18, 109:20, 110:3, 111:4, 113:14, 115:13, 116:10, 117:12, 118:16, 118:25, 123:6, 123:25, 128:23, 129:3, 129:14, 130:2, 130:14, 131:17, 135:20, 136:13, 136:15, 138:24, 143:20, 145:10, 146:13, 147:23, 159:10, 165:14, 173:25, 174:5, 174:10, 174:24, 176:4, 176:20, 184:12, 185:2, 185:23, 195:21, 195:22, 198:24, 204:13, 205:20, 210:5, 211:15, 227:24, 229:14 Limit - 182:13 Limited - 31:21, 47:23, 65:6, 175:12, 201:15, 218:7 Limiting - 48:3 Limits - 61:14 Line - 17:9, 23:23, 61:12, 61:13, 65:16, 73:16, 105:11, 105:18, 186:24, 187:7 Lines - 14:9, 15:22, 20:19, 25:8, 120:2, 187:7, 220:11 Link - 24:23, 25:14, 69:11, 69:15, 74:14, 75:9, 79:19, 98:15, 132:3, 132:21, 168:1, 175:16, 176:21, 176:23, 184:13, 185:24,
220:22		29:19, 101:7,	203:25	176:3. 176:18.
	159:20, 159:25,			
	225:10, 225:11,			
163:25		Larger - 31:11	18:11, 20:6, 21:17,	186:2, 186:25
103.25	227:21, 228:8,	Larger - ST. 11	18:11, 20:0, 21:17,	100:2, 100:25
	۲۲.۲۱, ۲۲۵.۵,			
	,,		1	
		1	1	I

Linkage - 220:20 List - 229:15 Load - 66:2, 66:7, 72:3, 72:14, 72:18, 130:25, 169:21, 171:12, 171:24, 173:18, 175:4, 232:1, 233:6 Loaded - 67:2 Loan - 125:3, 126:23 Long - 6:12, 53:9, 129:24, 182:6, 217:14, 230:13 Longer - 25:11, 32:22, 130:10 Look - 22:21, 29:16, 31:24, 34:15, 37:18, 43:5, 47:15, 84:4, 84:6, 100:19, 117:17, 120:4, 121:16, 127:3, 134:25, 159:17, 171:9, 171:11, 181:13, 184:3, 186:20, 203:24, 207:15, 208:13, 208:19, 209:3, 221:15, 225:20, 229:5 Looked - 14:20, 18:20, 18:24, 18:20, 18:24, 18:25, 35:6, 40:14, 62:11, 71:20, 83:21, 89:18, 90:20, 113:23, 116:1, 117:5, 127:15, 127:16, 199:10, 216:18, 225:2, 229:17 Looking - 8:13, 8:16, 8:18, 8:25, 9:9, 9:10, 10:13, 10:15, 12:23, 13:18, 13:22,	165:16, 170:16, 170:19, 171:5, 171:10, 171:22, 181:12, 186:19, 190:5, 198:1, 213:3, 221:14, 225:13, 231:21, 233:12 Lose - 134:8 Losing - 147:20, 147:21 Losses - 80:11, 80:15, 81:16, 177:14 Lost - 134:2 Lot - 10:3, 10:6, 14:6, 16:20, 55:17, 68:3, 84:17, 88:10, 109:5, 127:11, 168:17, 180:23, 183:14, 195:3, 202:3, 202:5, 203:21, 214:15, 217:24, 217:25, 223:13, 228:1, 229:3 Low - 88:11 Lower - 14:4, 23:9, 27:12, 57:15, 57:24, 154:1, 159:21, 207:25 Lowest - 180:12, 183:5 LTA - 18:12, 20:6, 21:17, 30:22, 37:19, 82:13, 98:13, 102:3, 106:16, 109:21, 110:2, 111:4, 113:14, 115:14, 123:6, 143:20, 145:10, 159:10, 165:14, 185:2, 198:24, 228:1	167:15, 218:25, 220:3 Make - 10:23, 35:8, 37:6, 47:4, 112:19, 117:11, 118:11, 119:1, 123:8, 136:14, 158:13, 169:14, 182:25, 220:21 Making - 8:8 Manage - 35:1, 208:22 Management - 101 :2, 101:3, 101:7, 216:21, 216:25 Manager - 5:8, 6:21, 8:7, 75:10, 215:15, 216:12, 216:20, 223:3, 223:8 Many - 170:20, 215:4, 219:11, 222:11 March - 5:8, 12:5, 57:12, 58:12, 84:22, 85:19, 91:5, 100:5, 216:17 Margin - 10:15, 10:16 Marginal - 9:3, 9:21, 9:23, 11:21, 45:8, 170:7, 170:8, 170:13, 170:14, 170:18, 170:23, 170:25, 171:11, 171:15, 171:19, 171:20, 171:24, 172:2, 186:3, 186:5, 186:22, 187:4, 221:6, 221:7, 221:8, 221:14, 221:19 Maritime - 24:23, 69:11, 69:13, 69:15, 74:14, 75:8, 79:19,	Markets - 221:16 Marking - 84:15 Marshall - 38:20, 198:18 Matching - 19:12 Material - 128:19, 156:17, 175:10, 183:15, 207:25, 213:4, 228:21 Materially - 9:19, 38:8, 38:19, 38:24, 66:8, 66:21, 146:16, 174:20, 184:6 Math - 16:17, 46:8, 104:15, 137:24, 151:8, 151:12, 152:22, 157:11, 164:2, 165:23, 166:1, 209:7, 212:7, 212:9, 222:5 Mathematical - 23 3:12 Mathematics - 4:1 7, 214:19 Matters - 1:4, 189:11, 189:24, 190:11, 191:25, 195:7, 202:8, 218:18 Max - 228:4 Maximum - 61:16, 169:10, 180:22 Means - 116:19 Mechanism - 152:7 Meet - 53:13, 110:23, 172:23, 204:3, 204:7, 219:8 Meeting - 19:4, 204:14, 204, 204:14, 204	Memory - 215:5 Mercifully - 234:4 Message - 196:12, 196:13 Met - 103:16, 103:19, 205:22 Methodology - 5:1 4, 8:25, 9:4, 9:5, 166:17, 220:13, 221:2 Mile - 209:21 Million - 14:16, 26:2, 26:5, 26:7, 26:23, 27:22, 30:20, 32:19, 33:2, 39:4, 49:5, 49:6, 49:7, 50:7, 50:8, 50:13, 51:8, 58:18, 67:9, 87:20, 88:2, 88:7, 88:19, 103:23, 116:3, 116:4, 117:4, 126:12, 134:16, 135:11, 135:15, 136:3, 138:1, 138:3, 139:21, 140:11, 143:8, 143:23, 143:25, 144:1, 144:4, 144:11, 144:23, 145:12, 145:17, 145:22, 146:10, 146:12, 146:22, 147:4, 147:10, 147:14, 148:23, 155:12, 156:3, 176:12, 180:20, 195:23, 196:25, 197:1, 206:11, 206:13, 207:6, 208:2, 209:4, 220:11 Minimal - 41:5, 41:13
18:20, 18:24, 18:25, 35:6, 40:14, 62:11, 71:20, 83:21, 89:18, 90:20, 113:23, 116:1, 117:5, 127:15, 127:16, 199:10, 216:18, 225:2, 229:17 Looking - 8:13,	Lowest - 180:12, 183:5 LTA - 18:12, 20:6, 21:17, 30:22, 37:19, 82:13, 98:13, 102:3, 106:16, 109:21, 110:2, 111:4, 113:14, 115:14, 123:6, 143:20,	45:8, 170:7, 170:8, 170:13, 170:14, 170:18, 170:23, 170:25, 171:11, 171:15, 171:19, 171:20, 171:24, 172:2, 186:3, 186:5, 186:22, 187:4, 221:6, 221:7, 221:8,	218:18 Max - 228:4 Maximum - 61:16, 169:10, 180:22 Means - 116:19 Meantime - 185:7 Measure - 228:19 Mechanics - 138:1 7 Mechanism - 152:7	145:12, 145:17, 145:22, 146:10, 146:12, 146:22, 147:4, 147:10, 147:14, 148:23, 155:12, 156:3, 176:12, 180:20, 195:23, 196:25, 197:1, 206:11, 206:13, 207:6,
9:9, 9:10, 10:13, 10:15, 12:23,	165:14, 185:2,	Maritime - 24:23, 69:11, 69:13, 69:15,	110:23, 172:23, 204:3, 204:7, 219:8	220:11 Minimal - 41:5,

August 6, 2018	
19.000000000000000000000000000000000000	I_{2} I_{3} I_{4} I_{77} I_{2} I_{3} $I_$

August 0, 2010				
Occurred - 208:5 Occurring - 176:15 , 227:18 Occurs - 29:8 October - 25:23, 60:20, 62:11, 62:12, 63:15, 63:16, 63:19, 64:8, 64:14, 64:16, 99:18, 99:20, 99:22, 100:3, 104:2, 129:10, 131:18, 134:4, 206:14, 206:17, 206:19, 206:20, 207:1 Offer - 193:10 Office - 59:21, 107:6 Officially - 59:1 Offset - 28:19, 138:6 One - 1:6, 7:15, 7:17, 7:18, 8:12, 9:15, 11:7, 12:22, 16:10, 17:7, 17:19, 23:25, 24:12, 24:21, 25:18, 26:19, 26:20, 33:16, 36:6, 40:4, 41:13, 41:17, 44:19, 56:11, 56:20, 59:14, 60:18, 69:13, 73:18, 75:21, 79:17, 85:5, 90:14, 97:23, 98:22, 101:22, 103:15, 104:24, 105:22, 106:12, 109:9, 109:11, 110:7, 112:22, 122:20, 123:24, 124:25, 126:6, 126:11, 127:15, 128:1, 128:21, 138:1, 138:3, 138:4, 138:13, 147:11, 158:4, 163:3, 163:8, 165:18, 166:18, 170:13, 174:15, 179:12, 184:13, 186:24, 187:7,	217:11, 219:24, 219:25, 220:1, 222:18, 222:20, 223:2, 224:1, 230:17, 230:21 Ones - 17:5, 68:21, 162:13 One's - 201:6 Ongoing - 131:11, 206:5, 216:14 OPCO - 98:14 OPCO - 98:14 OPCO - 98:14 Open - 175:9, 179:7, 192:2, 195:8 Opening - 4:25 Operated - 164:10 Operates - 179:17 Operating - 82:13, 98:15, 98:21, 114:18, 115:13, 115:14, 115:20, 118:1, 184:23, 195:25, 196:20, 198:22, 215:21 Operation - 107:17, 219:14 Operational - 113: 12, 113:19, 116:2, 117:2, 117:15, 117:20, 118:3, 119:22, 204:14 Operations - 215:2 3 Operations' - 113:11 6 Opinion - 48:17, 48:21 Opportune - 168:2 Opposite - 187:9 Optimization - 169 :12 Option - 16:1, 32:21, 48:7, 150:10 Options - 22:8, 34:12, 161:2, 187:1 Order - 8:20, 21:18, 43:1, 43:18, 44:24, 110:13, 161:21, 163:20, 163:21, 164:7, 169:9, 194:19, 202:18, 203:5, 208:14 Ordered - 194:9	Original - 23:14, 58:6, 95:17, 95:18, 221:1 Originally - 95:2, 206:12 Otherwise - 194:3 Ours - 226:16, 227:21 Outage - 218:24 Outages - 218:25, 219:1, 219:3 Outcome - 133:10, 194:18 Outlines - 84:21 Outrageous - 187: 17 Outset - 12:20 Outstanding - 8:16 Outstrip - 135:3, 135:11, 137:21, 146:19, 147:8 Outstripping - 137: 10 Overnight - 192:4 Overrun - 150:16 Overruns - 213:11 Overstated - 133:1 4 Overtime - 223:10, 223:11, 223:13, 223:16, 223:20, 224:1, 224:2 Overture - 203:3 Overview - 7:11 Own - 37:4, 69:5, 198:11, 201:13 Owned - 169:2 Owner - 98:17 Owners - 98:8, 169:11 P Package - 15:18, 21:4, 47:16, 182:20 Packaged - 10:22 Paid - 183:4, 185:9, 210:19, 223:16, 223:20 Pancaking - 177:1 5, 177:18, 177:21, 179:3, 179:21 Panel - 206:18	Particularly - 123:2 0 Parties - 30:7, 110:12, 182:7, 194:21 Partners - 98:20, 98:21 Parts - 232:18 Party - 51:21, 88:13, 119:20, 187:15, 191:8 Pass - 200:19 Passed - 33:5, 117:3 Passing - 31:22 Past - 10:20, 161:17, 183:16, 195:9, 195:10, 225:3 Patterns - 233:10 Pay - 20:2, 79:11, 79:16, 79:20, 79:24, 102:21, 103:3, 103:5, 108:21, 124:13, 124:17, 124:18, 124:24, 150:16, 177:13, 178:11, 178:14, 178:18, 179:14, 186:12, 196:22, 197:19, 205:13, 207:19, 205:13, 207:19, 207:20, 207:21, 209:1, 209:13, 210:3 Paying - 103:17, 103:18, 116:20, 137:13, 137:19, 138:1, 138:2, 175:25, 177:16, 178:12, 182:23, 185:12, 187:14, 204:19, 205:20, 207:5, 207:9, 209:6, 210:24, 211:7 Payment - 111:3 Payments - 108:8 Pays - 41:2, 124:12, 124:23 PB - 219:3 Peak - 175:11 Paonla - 8:6	192:1, 200:22, 215:9, 215:11, 215:16, 215:17, 215:21, 216:7, 216:8, 216:10, 217:1, 222:11, 223:16 Percent - 18:8, 18:17, 31:2, 31:4, 32:6, 32:12, 33:1, 40:4, 41:1, 75:8, 124:7, 140:20, 141:5, 144:3, 144:12, 146:23, 150:22, 150:25, 153:2, 153:4, 153:6, 154:3, 154:7, 156:4, 156:5, 157:21, 158:10, 159:3, 159:13, 165:10, 165:18, 165:19, 165:21, 165:22, 165:24, 181:20, 181:23, 183:17, 183:20, 213:8, 224:22, 225:3, 225:7, 225:17, 226:6, 226:12, 226:24, 227:5, 227:9, 228:9, 228:17, 230:21, 230:23 Percentage - 159:2 4 Perfect - 19:12 Performance - 7:8 Perhaps - 127:18, 193:10 Period - 18:18, 32:22, 65:10, 115:15, 128:24, 129:4, 146:3, 149:23, 158:17, 158:20, 206:4, 208:24, 225:21, 229:23 Person - 59:5, 76:9, 216:21, 217:18, 220:16, 220:18, 221:21,
163:3, 163:8, 165:18, 166:18, 170:13, 174:15, 179:12, 184:13,	161:21, 163:20, 163:21, 164:7, 169:9, 194:19, 202:18, 203:5,	223:20 Pancaking - 177:1 5, 177:18, 177:21, 179:3, 179:21	Payments - 108:8 Pays - 41:2, 124:12, 124:23 PB - 219:3	Periods - 229:3 Person - 59:5, 76:9, 216:21, 217:18, 220:16,

15:18, 17:13, 19:20, 22:19, 31:20, 32:8, 33:10, 34:15, 42:23, 46:7, 46:10, 46:12, 46:13, 49:20, 51:15, 51:17, 78:2, 86:24, 102:23, 105:9, 107:25, 115:2, 123:10, 123:15, 130:1, 130:9, 133:3, 136:22, 136:25, 149:14, 159:20, 205:7, 209:1, 209:10, 211:1, 212:5, 218:4, 218:16, 223:6 Phase - 98:4, 109:4, 114:24, 206:5 Picked - 141:16 Picture - 168:14 Piece - 15:13, 27:11, 31:11, 57:7, 158:15 Pieces - 12:7, 39:17, 40:14 Piace - 24:13, 62:24, 63:4, 64:14, 168:7, 174:19, 181:17, 183:25, 202:11, 220:6 Places - 10:23 Plan - 17:4, 29:22, 47:18, 51:22, 53:20, 53:24, 54:2, 55:11, 55:12, 72:9, 72:13, 150:6, 162:8, 167:6,	Ponding - 167:18, 167:23, 168:3, 168:6, 189:19 Pool - 188:1 Pooled - 178:10 Portion - 11:3, 13:21, 41:16, 74:18, 124:15 Position - 3:9, 5:18, 6:6, 6:13, 55:9, 152:10, 153:24, 188:17, 217:5 Positions - 5:3, 161:1 Positive - 115:21 Possibility - 137:2 0, 227:6 Post - 125:9, 189:5 Potential - 34:24, 36:2, 125:25 Potentially - 22:12 Power - 4:25, 5:1, 5:3, 10:12, 37:21, 41:20, 42:1, 42:3, 42:17, 45:23, 59:16, 65:15, 77:5, 79:9, 109:20, 113:18, 116:22, 120:5, 121:1, 121:6, 123:23, 126:20, 132:16, 132:19, 132:21, 132:24, 133:11, 134:19, 140:24, 141:16, 144:2, 145:11, 146:25, 147:13, 152:6, 154:24, 155:7, 165:20, 167:25, 171:3, 171:45	5:17, 10:13, 45:24, 139:18, 149:5, 150:21, 153:3, 217:2, 218:6, 224:17, 226:14, 226:19 PPA - 39:18, 41:7, 43:20, 123:19, 124:24, 168:23 PPA/TFA - 113:18 Practical - 138:18 Practical - 138:18 Practice - 149:10 Pre - 97:24, 109:4, 115:15, 189:5, 206:3, 208:24 Predefined - 168:2 2 Preliminary - 1:4, 1:6, 140:23 Preparation - 7:13, 8:24, 12:7 Prepare - 38:6 Prepared - 3:15, 58:23, 59:20, 60:3, 118:21, 118:23, 123:8, 130:13 Preparing - 11:12, 96:25, 168:4, 216:16 Present - 6:6, 20:5, 35:20, 35:25, 84:23, 128:7, 167:6, 199:8, 199:19 Presentation - 212 :3 Presented - 8:13, 22:6, 32:4, 37:10, 27:22, 48:4, 48:5	5:11, 194:8 Price - 11:20, 18:10, 50:24, 78:4, 79:16, 134:14, 147:20, 147:22, 149:6, 171:15, 176:22, 177:4, 188:1, 188:3, 188:6, 188:12, 214:21, 231:14, 231:22, 232:1 Prices - 171:11 Pricing - 77:3, 132:1, 194:4 Principle - 14:23, 209:10 Principles - 18:22, 19:1, 19:22, 179:8, 179:9, 179:18, 208:16, 208:18 Prior - 92:22, 113:13, 117:21, 118:4, 118:12, 118:4, 118:12, 118:13, 119:3, 135:14, 164:7, 176:3, 185:23 Probabilistic - 129:1 Problem - 33:12, 35:24, 61:13, 180:4 Proceedings - 131: 11, 194:12, 228:8 Processes - 167:1 2, 167:15, 167:16 Producing - 185:1 3, 208:9 Production - 72:8, 72:13, 133:20, 206:18, 206:24 Profile - 4:11 Profile - 4:11 Profile - 4:11 Profile - 4:11 Profile - 4:11	Projection - 18:10, 156:20 Projections - 53:8, 56:3, 65:19, 65:20, 125:6 Projects - 150:21 Project's - 185:4 Proper - 30:7, 123:17, 171:23, 184:21 Proportion - 127:1 4 Proposal - 11:18, 11:24, 18:23, 18:24, 19:4, 22:2, 22:5, 35:12, 48:10, 156:7, 169:22, 205:10, 210:24, 232:20 Proposals - 7:5, 8:12, 8:18, 48:8, 140:21, 159:7, 172:4 Propose - 160:21 Proposed - 11:19, 84:22, 95:7, 136:23, 145:3, 163:16, 179:6, 197:3, 227:22 Proposing - 142:5, 160:16, 190:21, 194:17, 227:19 Prorated - 116:14 Proration - 104:6, 116:25, 117:1 Prospects - 232:8 Protected - 51:4 Protecting - 194:1 3, 198:2 Protections - 94:8
218:16.223:6	0. 227:6	Preliminary - 1:4.	19:1. 19:22. 179:8.	205:10.210:24.
· · ·		, <u>,</u> ,		
	,			-
		-	· · · · ·	
		• •		
				-
			Probabilistic - 129:	
			-	
			-	
171:17, 206:24,	171:3, 171:15,	37:22, 48:4, 48:5,	Progress - 53:18	Provide - 1:24,
221:16	171:18, 171:23,	84:24, 91:1, 95:19,	Project - 15:11,	52:9, 55:10, 56:23,
Planned - 136:15	173:8, 174:23,	95:21, 95:24,	37:15, 40:17, 41:6,	102:25, 104:23,
Planning - 88:9,	175:20, 175:22,	103:20, 103:21,	41:13, 43:23,	105:1, 119:11,
166:13, 196:21,	177:12, 178:22,	126:24, 127:1,	46:16, 87:16,	121:16, 124:8,
220:12, 223:5	179:13, 183:3,	164:11, 171:16,	87:22, 97:21,	166:1, 168:7,
Plans - 53:9,	184:24, 186:1,	172:2, 175:8,	108:22, 114:20,	169:6, 184:1,
191:20	188:1, 190:18,	183:9, 196:24,	114:24, 168:15,	196:23, 218:23
Plants - 151:23	190:19, 190:23,	230:24, 233:9	207:8, 210:22,	Provided - 5:12,
Play - 61:11, 83:24,	198:23, 208:9,	Presenting - 197:4,	216:11, 216:20,	18:1, 37:24, 40:7,
132:20, 140:1,	214:7, 215:4,	199:11	216:25	46:14, 46:25, 53:5,
140:3, 151:25,	216:1, 217:6,	President - 129:6,	Projected - 37:8,	53:12, 54:13,
170:23, 185:3,	217:12, 223:4,	217:8, 224:20	52:14, 58:17,	54:14, 55:18, 56:2,
186:25, 221:14	223:7, 226:4,	Previous - 4:11,	70:20, 139:6,	66:23, 77:18,
Played - 84:2	227:3, 227:8,	8:17, 8:20, 164:10,	180:20, 212:12,	84:12, 91:6,
Policy - 5:6, 5:16,	227:19, 230:20	194:19	212:15, 212:23,	107:10, 107:18,
214:18	Power's - 5:13,	Previously - 5:10,	221:18, 229:6	191:4, 193:22,

7 (0905) 0, 2010				
196:19, 197:15,	138:23, 140:10,	17:19, 22:2, 22:6,	47:20, 48:15,	210:2
197:21, 206:25,	147:21, 174:16,	29:21, 31:20,	48:25, 49:5, 51:11,	Reassured - 102:1
207:1, 212:8	176:2, 176:9,	32:18, 33:17,	52:15, 52:24, 53:8,	9
Provides - 25:15,	176:11, 180:21,	33:24, 34:3, 34:7,	124:23, 129:20,	Recalculate - 50:1
142:10, 150:15,	180:25, 181:13,	34:11, 34:14, 34:15,	133:14, 140:1,	
168:16, 204:4	181:25, 182:3,	34:20, 35:9, 35:12,	140:3, 140:5,	Recalculated - 164
Providing - 2:11,	185:16, 190:18,	35:15, 36:1, 36:3,	140:8, 140:14,	
52:4, 93:17,	190:19, 193:21,	37:8, 40:1, 40:3,	141:12, 141:14,	Recalculating - 50:
107:10, 176:19,	200:6, 208:24,	40:16, 40:20, 41:1,	150:19, 151:25,	20
197:7, 197:13,	209:6, 209:8,	41:3, 41:5, 41:10,	152:11, 153:25,	Recalculation - 14
197:18, 206:3,	209:12, 209:14,	41:11, 41:22, 45:22,	154:1, 157:9,	5:9
212:20	220:23	47:16, 48:6, 48:18,	160:7, 160:13,	Recapture - 24:7,
Province - 188:25,	Purchasing - 175:2	48:22, 52:2, 53:15,	161:2, 161:5,	24:9, 24:24, 25:17,
202:5	0, 176:5, 183:3,	53:16, 53:19,	161:10, 162:2,	28:21, 29:3, 58:4,
Provision - 72:22,	185:11	54:12, 55:2, 58:6,	163:22, 166:24,	59:16, 60:11, 60:24,
185:2, 205:2	Purely - 42:1, 42:3	64:24, 68:11, 124:8,	175:25, 183:21,	61:8, 62:10, 65:22,
Prudent - 74:19	Purely - 42.1, 42.3 Pushed - 153:8	136:22, 140:19,	184:6, 205:9,	65:25, 69:23,
PUB - 93:4	Putting - 20:21,	149:5, 149:13,	207:25, 208:12,	70:22, 72:15, 73:1,
Public - 53:6, 53:10, 180:7,	51:9, 160:12	151:14, 151:20, 152:6, 152:17,	213:2, 214:11, 214:13, 215:10,	73:6, 73:7, 73:15, 130:22, 131:16,
180:11, 183:2,	Q	152:19, 152:21,	215:13, 215:10,	130.22, 131.16, 131:20, 133:11,
183:9, 184:15,		154:8, 154:20,	215:15, 215:14,	133:18, 174:18,
191:24, 193:6,	Q1 - 113:13, 118:13	154:23, 156:8,	218:3, 222:2,	174:19, 174:23,
195:6, 198:2,	Q2 - 113:14, 118:13	157:18, 160:4,	222:4, 225:8,	174.19, 174.23, 175:10, 176:24
199:12, 200:21,	Quantify - 205:6	161:13, 161:21,	229:18, 229:19,	Recaptured - 174:
201:19, 202:2,	Quarterly - 68:2,	166:14, 169:7,	229:22, 229:24,	6
202:3, 212:2	198:15, 198:18	171:2, 171:13,	230:22, 233:19	Receive - 80:9,
Publicly - 192:1	Quebec - 53:2,	171:16, 171:17,	Reaction - 231:14,	131:10
Published - 38:14,	132:17, 132:24,	172:20, 172:23,	232:9	Received - 53:11,
188:1, 188:6,	133:6, 187:12	172:24, 176:5,	Readiness - 113:1	107:3
212:11	Questioned - 19:1	176:12, 176:14,	3, 113:20, 116:2,	Receiving - 116:22
Pull - 24:11, 128:13	7, 100:8, 101:24,	181:7, 181:15,	117:2, 117:15,	Recent - 22:1,
Punted - 117:22	124:2, 129:6, 192:6	183:14, 183:22,	117:20, 118:4,	38:2, 51:20, 51:21,
Purchase - 20:12,	Questioning - 100:	224:8, 224:21,	119:22, 130:9	66:5, 69:13, 82:19,
30:5, 37:21, 41:20,	16, 101:5, 111:18	224:22, 225:3,	Ready - 7:17,	89:23, 100:3
42:1, 42:3, 74:5,	Quicker - 102:24	226:6, 226:20,	210:6, 211:2	Recently - 6:15,
109:20, 120:6,	Quickly - 177:19	228:2, 228:24,	Realistic - 46:9,	217:7
121:1, 123:23,	Quote - 35:20	229:4, 229:6,	46:17, 197:24	Recognize - 183:2
126:20, 136:24,	Quotes - 85:24 Quoting - 50:5	233:10	Reason - 25:5,	5
185:22, 190:23	wuoung - 00.0	Ratepayer - 194:15	46:15, 60:12, 88:4,	Recognized - 114:
Purchases - 7:25,	R	, 231:14	118:24, 119:1	18
17:6, 20:1, 21:24,		Ratepayers - 188:2	Reasonable - 30:8,	Recommen-
23:7, 23:13, 24:23,	Raise - 2:20	5, 202:6, 204:19,	32:23, 56:18, 76:11,	dations - 9:12
24:25, 25:9, 25:10,	Raised - 194:7,	208:8, 209:18	83:22, 84:5, 89:19,	RECONVENED - 1
25:12, 25:16,	204:21	Rates - 4:21, 5:2,	99:25, 111:21,	27:23
25:19, 27:21,	Ramp - 65:10	5:4, 5:6, 5:8, 6:19,	112:3, 164:14,	Recover - 19:11,
28:19, 29:21,	Ran - 140:24	8:17, 9:16, 9:18,	184:4, 184:5,	49:7, 114:25,
48:13, 57:9, 58:20,	Range - 29:9,	9:24, 11:17, 12:1,	202:25, 203:23,	117:23, 123:9,
59:17, 66:16, 68:6,	104:3, 144:11,	12:15, 13:11, 13:12,	212:14, 213:17,	126:1, 136:6,
68:10, 68:19,	144:19, 177:5,	14:3, 17:20, 17:21,	213:22	152:14 December 145:
69:14, 69:22,	226:24	17:22, 18:4, 18:7,	Reasonableness -	Recoverable - 145:
70:21, 72:2, 72:20,	Rate - 5:17, 7:5,	18:8, 20:3, 21:9,	83:10, 100:17	2, 145:4, 159:12
72:23, 72:24, 73:8,	7:10, 8:12, 8:17,	21:19, 22:12,	Reasonably - 8:9,	Recovered - 19:7,
73:21, 73:22, 74:1,	8:21, 10:12, 10:13, 11:8, 11:18, 11:23,	26:22, 27:5, 27:12,	201:3 Reasoning 118:1	19:8, 37:20, 113:17,
74:10, 74:14, 76:10, 120:5,	12:23, 13:10,	29:14, 29:18, 30:13, 35:1, 35:7,	Reasoning - 118:1 5	125:4, 126:18, 138:13, 149:22,
130:4, 132:1,	13:15, 13:17, 17:3,	35:17, 46:18,	Reasons - 209:19,	210:22
100.7, 102.1,		0.17, 40.10,	1.0030113 - 203.13,	

August 0, 2010		1	1	
Becovering 10:18	224.15	219:1	170.16 195.9	140:12, 142:12,
Recovering - 40:18 Recovers - 171:18	, 224:15 Regulation - 5:9,	Reports - 172:3,	179:16, 185:8, 188:14, 193:15,	143:8, 164:3
	6:20			Reverse - 194:1
Recovery - 10:18,	6.20 Regulatory - 3:12,	198:13, 198:15,	193:17, 193:22,	Reviewed - 9:6,
32:14, 32:21, 33:3,		218:15, 218:18,	194:9, 194:19,	,
40:12, 41:21, 45:2,	5:5, 5:19, 6:7, 6:21,	224:13, 224:14,	195:25, 196:17,	89:18, 90:7,
49:12, 49:13,	6:23, 7:4, 7:6, 7:7,	231:9	197:21, 201:16,	164:13, 197:16,
50:14, 67:21,	8:2, 8:7, 14:22,	Representative - 2	203:18	216:4
115:23, 124:3,	18:22, 19:1, 19:21,	02:6	Response - 1:20,	Reviewing - 29:25,
134:18, 143:20,	19:22, 22:18,	Represented - 101	23:8, 70:9, 93:7,	38:18, 83:9, 184:2
144:22, 145:8,	29:16, 52:4,	:23, 194:21	93:18, 99:7,	Reviews - 56:14,
150:15, 155:16,	102:23, 127:1,	Request - 101:9,	101:12, 232:13,	56:16
156:11, 163:4,	127:12, 167:12,	180:8	233:19	Revise - 49:22
206:1, 208:2	167:15, 167:16,	Requested - 179:1	Responses - 1:16,	Revised - 23:9,
Rectify - 1:25	169:18, 178:2,	Requesting - 197:1	2:7, 219:2, 234:17	24:18, 69:3
Redo - 72:8	188:19, 188:21,	3 Dominanta 101/0	Responsibilities -	Revising - 68:13
Reduce - 133:17,	189:25, 204:7,	Requests - 131:6,	7:12	Revision - 24:11,
133:18, 133:22,	204:10, 208:15,	131:10 Damin 00:11	Responsible - 215:	71:21
160:13, 226:17	209:10, 214:5,	Require - 28:11	19 Restrictions 160:	RFI - 94:3, 99:6,
Reduced - 138:23,	214:24, 215:6,	Required - 9:10,	Restrictions - 169:	177:11, 216:3
146:7, 163:23,	215:22, 215:24,	103:12, 124:7,	21 D aard t 1540	Rfis - 194:7,
206:13	216:6, 217:2,	151:14, 178:11,	Result - 154:2,	218:22, 218:23,
Reduces - 201:9,	217:4, 217:13,	198:6, 200:19,	183:18, 204:18,	224:12
204:6	219:12, 219:15,	205:22, 207:19,	208:5, 209:25,	Rider - 22:3, 22:6,
Reducing - 18:7,	219:16, 219:21,	207:20, 214:1,	213:13	29:22, 33:18,
21:9, 161:24	220:2, 220:15,	216:19, 220:23	Resulting - 211:3	33:25, 34:7, 34:11,
Reduction - 29:14,	220:16, 222:6,	Requirement - 11:	Results - 134:15,	34:16, 34:20,
145:3, 161:13,	222:23, 223:3,	3, 27:11, 37:16,	195:9	35:10, 35:13,
161:21, 162:2	223:6, 223:8,	37:22, 39:1, 39:18,	Retail - 181:21,	35:15, 36:1, 36:4,
Referenced - 128:2	223:10	39:25, 40:14,	181:23	47:16, 48:6, 54:12,
2 References - 128:2	Relation - 194:7, 194:23	41:12, 43:22, 44:3, 44:5, 44:7, 45:17,	Return - 40:1, 40:3, 40:16, 40:19,	149:22, 150:9,
2	Relative - 165:24,	56:3, 108:20, 111:2,	40:21, 40:23, 41:4,	150:17, 153:21, 154:8, 154:20,
Referred - 189:19,	168:18, 172:1,	125:5, 126:5,	41:5, 41:10, 41:14,	163:3, 163:4
192:15, 193:18	176:15, 176:19	126:18, 139:12,	41:16, 124:5,	Riders - 162:20
Reflect - 72:14,	Release - 198:18	141:20, 141:24,	124:9, 124:11,	Risk - 14:4, 25:20,
76:22, 141:25,	Releasing - 190:17	153:9, 155:2,	124:20, 184:19,	67:6, 128:16,
206:25, 231:1	Reliability - 61:10,	155:6, 169:4,	185:6, 226:20	132:12
Reflected - 26:4,	107:24, 130:1,	170:19	Revenue - 27:11,	Road - 27:25, 28:5,
48:24, 49:2, 80:15,	218:16	Requirements - 13	32:13, 37:16,	130:19, 166:19
83:16, 121:6,	Reliable - 205:23	:24, 130:25, 219:8,	37:22, 39:1, 39:17,	Role - 4:13, 6:16,
131:25, 141:25,	Remainder - 2:1,	224:15	39:25, 40:14,	83:11, 221:14
210:21, 233:5	2:3, 159:6	Requires - 184:15	41:12, 43:22, 44:3,	Roles - 6:18
Reflecting - 9:1,	Remove - 143:24	Reservation - 133:	44:4, 44:7, 45:17,	Roll - 161:9
140:9, 181:15	Removes - 201:17	7	56:3, 125:5, 126:5,	Ron - 231:9
Reflective - 197:17	Reopens - 175:9	Residential - 18:14	126:17, 135:2,	Rough - 102:12
Reflects - 206:25	Repayments - 126:	, 164:24	139:4, 139:5,	Round - 181:11
Refund - 153:25,	22	Resolution - 111:1	139:6, 139:12,	RSP - 141:4,
161:9, 161:13	Report - 9:2, 9:7,	1, 111:16, 112:1,	139:24, 140:7,	153:21, 153:22,
Refunds - 161:17	9:15, 9:24, 9:25,	113:4	141:20, 141:24,	155:8, 156:22,
Refusal - 197:19	10:17, 10:18, 11:6,	Respect - 2:6,	142:12, 143:25,	157:9, 157:11,
Refuse - 201:8,	170:25, 171:2,	2:13, 7:18, 10:4,	144:5, 147:12,	160:1, 164:10,
201:16	171:13, 198:9,	10:18, 11:10, 13:9,	151:15, 152:14,	225:11
Regional - 178:7,	198:11, 215:22,	36:19, 76:24,	153:9, 155:2,	RSP's - 164:4
178:8, 178:17	215:23, 218:17	89:19, 97:1, 101:1,	155:6, 155:11,	RTO - 178:14,
Regression - 230:1	Reported - 215:16	107:14, 110:15,	159:21, 161:22,	179:14
6	Reporting - 198:7,	153:8, 171:2,	164:8, 220:20	Rtos - 178:7
Regulated - 123:14	198:12, 198:16,	174:13, 174:14,	Revenues - 26:21,	Rules - 30:21
		I	1	

August 0, 2010		•	1	
Ruling - 184:3	100:5, 101:1,	125:14	150:4, 151:23,	Signal - 11:20,
Run - 135:20,	101:2, 127:18,	Seeking - 189:10,	152:5, 166:16,	171:20, 171:23
	161:24			
159:19, 230:15,		190:8, 191:23,	167:4, 169:23,	Signed - 106:19,
230:18	Sayings - 130:7	232:6	172:23, 176:4,	194:20
Running - 233:11	Scale - 56:19	Seen - 56:8, 93:8,	176:20, 185:4,	Significant - 121:1
Rural - 11:17,	Scenario - 15:25,	104:10, 104:17,	185:24, 185:25,	0, 134:24
42:18, 141:15,	16:9, 16:19, 16:21,	104:20, 107:21,	197:18, 205:3,	Similar - 102:5,
142:1, 173:19,	26:4, 33:16, 33:24,	193:1, 195:9,	205:24, 206:2,	215:10, 215:12,
219:1	34:12, 58:8, 58:9,	200:12	206:3, 212:23,	217:3, 217:17,
	58:11, 128:15,	Sell - 168:2, 186:1,	214:17, 220:4,	223:3, 223:7
S	135:25, 147:25,	186:19	220:5, 220:12,	Single - 163:8
	181:10, 181:14,	Selling - 172:19,	220:19, 220:21	Sister - 184:11
Sacrifice - 188:10	211:6, 233:13	172:20, 173:23,	Services - 107:9,	Sitting - 13:17,
Safe - 134:7	Scenarios - 33:17,	174:3, 175:17,	107:13, 107:14,	31:13
Sales - 44:4	231:22, 232:2	175:22	107:18, 107:24	Situation - 126:1
Sanction - 213:1				
Saskatchewan - 5	Schedule - 65:7,	Senior - 215:18,	Servicing - 63:24	Six - 140:2, 153:5,
3:3	154:25, 155:1,	215:20	Serving - 45:23,	153:6, 155:1,
Sat - 160:20	168:22	Sensitivity - 231:2	46:2	187:19, 217:5,
Savings - 14:3,	Schedules - 154:2	3	Set - 14:25, 15:16,	218:19, 228:15
14:11, 14:25, 15:12,	3	Separate - 14:24,	16:24, 20:1, 30:12,	Sixty - 103:24
15:16, 17:6, 18:1,	Science - 4:16	68:25, 73:16,	30:13, 49:5, 49:16,	Size - 218:5
18:2, 19:25, 25:21,	Scotia - 79:24	73:18, 110:1,	51:11, 94:22, 96:16,	Slide - 90:5, 93:19
	Scroll - 62:8,	131:11, 131:15,	124:6, 127:4,	Slidedeck - 84:20,
25:22, 25:25, 26:3,	90:17, 90:19	149:4, 162:20	149:5, 154:1, 221:3	90:8, 90:24, 91:4,
26:6, 26:7, 26:8,	Scrutinizing - 188:	September - 63:23,	Sets - 222:3	91:20, 92:23,
26:15, 27:6, 27:10,	20	64:22, 64:25, 83:1,	Setting - 31:20,	94:13, 94:16
27:22, 28:1, 28:8,	Scrutiny - 181:2,	83:3, 95:8, 96:1,	35:16, 222:7	Slideshow - 197:7
28:13, 28:15,	183:1, 183:9,	99:2, 99:17,	Settled - 54:3,	Slightly - 69:7,
28:19, 29:5, 30:6,	184:1, 184:15,	100:13, 150:1,	142:13	109:3, 152:21,
30:13, 30:21, 33:4,			Settlement - 49:21,	
33:11, 42:24, 48:13,	193:2, 199:13,	150:5, 151:23,		159:23, 215:8
48:23, 50:7, 50:8,	200:21, 211:17,	199:23	50:23, 75:20,	Slow - 218:21
50:12, 51:9, 58:17,	212:2	Serve - 42:17,	139:11, 142:10,	Small - 230:1
96:18, 120:3,	Season - 130:3	46:18, 164:24	226:16	Smoothing - 34:3,
128:20, 129:21,	Second - 40:4,	Service - 5:5, 8:25,	Seven - 187:19	34:14
133:22, 134:21,	47:8, 50:2, 153:6,	9:4, 9:5, 12:16,	Shall - 4:2	Snowclearing - 12
134:23, 136:5,	165:18, 173:11,	13:25, 19:2, 19:3,	Share - 185:5	2:20, 122:25
136:7, 137:10,	173:15, 216:9,	19:4, 19:6, 19:10,	Shared - 184:12,	Software - 63:21,
	219:25, 224:2	19:19, 19:23,	184:13	63:22, 220:5,
137:22, 138:5,	Secret - 186:4	23:15, 41:9, 42:15,	Sharing - 169:14,	220:10, 221:8,
140:10, 145:23,	See - 17:12, 21:19,	43:4, 43:15, 44:8,	189:21	221:20
147:5, 147:7,	22:19, 23:20,	45:15, 45:21, 46:1,	Shock - 224:21,	Somewhat - 6:17,
160:12, 160:17,	31:14, 34:13, 50:6,	57:12, 60:14,	225:3	27:24, 31:21,
168:7, 169:5,	52:23, 57:14, 69:3,	60:19, 61:20,	Shorter - 158:17	41:22, 47:23,
172:1, 176:15,	73:1, 84:4, 84:6,	62:12, 66:22,	Shouldn't - 117:11	182:13, 203:14,
176:17, 176:19,	84:7, 84:14, 91:20,	69:10, 92:9, 92:19,	Show - 125:1,	204:6, 205:5,
180:19, 181:5,				210:25, 213:13,
181:19, 182:9,	95:1, 118:5, 137:9,	103:6, 103:11,	176:10, 212:22,	
182:18, 196:23,	137:12, 143:3,	103:12, 104:2,	231:13	216:12, 224:16
200:5, 203:21,	160:7, 171:12,	105:6, 116:10,	Showing - 34:10,	Sooner - 166:20
205:8, 205:11,	171:15, 178:5,	116:21, 117:13,	53:7, 143:7, 162:15	Source - 190:19
205:14, 208:4,	182:9, 185:2,	117:22, 117:24,	Shown - 54:11,	Sources - 174:9,
209:5, 211:3,	187:19, 189:1,	118:1, 118:4,	93:10, 147:12	175:20
211:11, 213:14,	195:1, 202:7,	118:12, 118:18,	Shows - 26:2,	Space - 232:10
213:16, 213:19,	211:25, 215:21,	118:20, 118:25,	31:15, 104:18,	Specialist - 5:5,
	221:14, 226:21,	119:4, 130:2,	139:11, 180:18	5:6
214:1, 221:18	227:5, 232:5	131:17, 138:24,	Side - 186:2	Specific - 192:20,
Saw - 54:18, 85:14,	Seeing - 92:22,	140:9, 144:2,	Sides - 187:23	202:19
86:6, 89:23, 90:15,	93:18, 94:16,	145:10, 146:22,	Sign - 189:25	Speculating - 108:
93:17, 94:13,	, ,	,,		

				,
84:17, 97:20, 97:21, 100:2, 101:6, 103:11, 110:5, 116:8, 116:25, 121:5, 122:1, 124:4, 124:10, 124:15, 124:22, 128:14, 128:18, 129:22, 130:1, 130:21, 131:24, 136:1, 136:18, 137:18, 146:12, 150:10, 150:13, 153:20, 156:16, 161:4, 167:14, 167:15, 168:13, 168:17, 169:3, 174:25, 175:10, 177:2, 177:21, 178:16, 179:21, 180:20, 181:1, 181:3, 181:4, 181:5, 182:9, 182:24, 183:9, 183:14, 183:25, 184:19, 185:7, 187:22, 188:13, 191:1, 195:3, 195:5, 201:7, 202:4, 203:16, 211:9, 213:14, 218:17, 218:25, 219:1, 201:7, 221:23, 222:11, 222:20, 223:2, 223:4, 223:13, 223:24, 223:13, 223:24, 223:14, 218:17, 150:5, 175:21, 180:5, 180:10, 180:13, 181:19, 182:4, 183:19, 184:3, 187:24, 180:5, 180:10, 180:13, 181:19, 182:4, 183:19, 184:3, 187:24, 182:4, 183:19, 184:3, 187:24, 184:3, 187:24, 184:3, 187:24, 184:3, 187:24, 184:3, 187:24, 1	194:12, 195:7, 196:18, 197:25, 198:22, 200:23, 202:8, 205:8, 210:15, 223:12, 231:12 They'd - 198:17 They'll - 15:1, 53:17, 200:4 They're - 6:25, 8:4, 10:22, 15:1, 17:25, 21:17, 38:19, 40:18, 46:15, 56:13, 64:7, 65:8, 65:15, 75:3, 81:2, 82:22, 98:4, 98:14, 98:17, 98:19, 98:20, 100:9, 106:16, 106:18, 115:8, 117:23, 117:24, 117:25, 119:16, 155:18, 155:20, 161:3, 162:12, 172:24, 178:11, 178:13, 182:15, 183:23, 184:3, 184:25, 186:7, 186:9, 186:19, 186:20, 187:16, 189:9, 180:10, 190:4, 190:7, 190:13, 190:21, 191:10, 191:23, 192:4, 193:1, 193:3, 199:24, 200:3, 202:23, 202:25, 204:1, 204:20, 205:18, 205:19, 207:10, 217:12, 219:6, 226:5 They've - 38:18, 56:2, 103:13, 104:11, 116:13, 123:10, 180:11, 186:23, 198:12, 200:24, 217:6, 217:13, 217:14 Third - 113:12, 126:11, 138:3 Thirty - 218:19 Thoruton - 164:13 Thousan - 103:24 Three - 2:4, 2:7, 25:25, 29:5, 33:24, 25:25, 29:5, 33:2	134:5, 137:25, 173:4, 193:17, 215:12, 215:13, 222:21, 224:18, 230:5 Threw - 64:18 Throwing - 38:22 Thus - 176:3 Ticket - 101:16 Tied - 28:25, 118:19, 170:15 Ties - 31:24 Time - 9:4, 10:25, 13:16, 13:25, 14:8, 14:10, 18:3, 18:18, 19:9, 20:15, 27:19, 30:6, 32:19, 40:20, 41:3, 41:17, 41:21, 54:22, 65:10, 78:8, 93:8, 97:6, 102:10, 117:1, 118:20, 152:8, 157:9, 164:14, 166:14, 166:21, 167:4, 167:25, 177:8, 177:17, 207:7, 214:7, 215:5, 219:9, 226:11, 227:18, 228:6, 230:18 Timeframe - 106:1 8 Timeframes - 34:1 3 Timeline - 99:7 Timelines - 118:22, 119:14 Times - 137:5, 155:22, 168:2, 174:24, 175:11, 216:9 Timelines - 118:22, 119:14 Times - 137:5, 155:22, 168:2, 174:24, 175:11, 216:9 Timeline - 99:7 Timelines - 118:22, 174:24, 175:11, 216:9 Timelines - 118:22, 174:24, 175:11, 217:14 Timelines - 118:25, 217:14, 100:21, 134:13 Timelines - 117:14	Topic - 85:8, 85:10 Total - 23:7, 24:25, 43:23, 44:3, 46:16, 59:9, 59:10, 120:5, 149:2, 149:4, 155:22, 204:19, 219:11 Touch - 139:3 Touched - 57:8, 128:17, 164:23, 212:6 Towards - 11:2, 64:8, 132:24, 161:3, 161:5, 218:10, 232:20 Transactions - 190 :14, 198:8 Transition - 7:20, 217:10, 220:3 Transmission - 39: 3, 39:19, 41:7, 41:15, 41:18, 43:21, 79:4, 81:16, 97:15, 97:22, 97:24, 98:13, 108:19, 108:21, 109:6, 109:13, 109:17, 111:12, 129:7, 137:4, 177:8, 177:14, 177:24, 178:6, 178:7, 178:9, 178:12, 178:15, 178:17, 178:18, 179:6, 181:8, 188:7, 191:2, 201:13, 201:14, 211:2 Transparency - 18 2:11, 188:4, 188:10, 188:14, 194:11, 202:4, 204:8 Transparent - 192: 2, 195:8 Transpired - 194:1 8 Treat - 123:15 Treated - 114:18, 115:23 Tries - 41:22 Triggering - 103:6 Trip - 61:13 Truthfully - 68:1 Turbines - 170:21 Tambi - 4:2, 4:3 Truthfully - 68:1 Turbines - 170:21	Turning - 106:14, 143:4 Twelve - 222:14, 222:18 Twice - 89:8 Two - 8:11, 17:5, 33:16, 41:1, 63:4, 86:8, 106:24, 110:3, 124:7, 134:3, 142:20, 162:12, 162:20, 187:7, 187:22, 216:7, 216:8, 217:6, 218:8, 228:10 Types - 78:23, 80:20, 80:23, 81:11, 81:20, 107:9, 107:13, 107:14, 120:9, 201:11 Ultimate - 21:18 Uncertainties - 12 8:21 Uncertainties - 12 8:21 Uncertainty - 7:24, 13:5, 14:18, 15:19, 16:18, 16:20, 68:3, 128:19, 130:22, 131:4, 131:24, 228:2, 233:18 Understated - 143: 19 Understood - 52:3, 72:21, 87:21, 109:14, 111:10 Undertaken - 211:1 8 Undertaking - 90:5, 113:10, 122:5, 122:10, 166:2, 230:4, 231:16, 231:24, 233:9 Undertakings - 1:1 5, 1:16, 2:1, 2:8, 190:11, 191:22, 193:18, 193:20, 194:20 Underway - 106:22 Unfair - 181:4 Unfortunately - 55:
			-	
190:4, 191:21,				Uniortunately - 55
	63.8 63.10 101.1	1 100 - 17225	I IUrn - 5777 70004	
1 101.26 102.6	63:8, 63:10, 101:1, 103:24, 113:11	Top - 172:25,	Turn - 57:7, 70:19,	14, 223:24
191:25, 193:6,	63:8, 63:10, 101:1, 103:24, 113:11,	10p - 172:25, 225:17	139:2, 143:2	14, 223:24
191:25, 193:6,				

				,
:5	221:16	103:17, 122:13,	What's - 13:18,	3:15, 192:4, 192:5,
Unit - 18:13, 44:8,	Variability - 11:4,	171:4, 172:3,	15:9, 24:1, 29:22,	193:6, 201:1
44:21, 164:24,	11:13	195:1, 199:23,	50:11, 70:17, 89:19,	Witnesses - 191:2
165:23, 212:15	Variance - 11:1,	212:8, 226:20,	96:7, 103:21, 113:9,	1, 193:4
,				
United - 177:21,	17:5, 142:7	234:1, 234:4	133:11, 142:20,	Won't - 63:14,
178:5, 179:8	Vegetation - 101:2,	Wellness - 86:21,	145:3, 145:4,	63:22, 82:23,
University - 4:16	101:3, 101:6, 101:7	86:23	159:12, 183:8,	103:17, 137:13,
Unless - 183:1,	Vermont - 187:12	We're - 1:24, 2:10,	184:16, 189:16,	160:7, 191:3,
205:13	Versus - 8:11, 9:23,	2:21, 17:23, 27:18,	192:1, 197:3,	199:25
Unsure - 8:3	10:14, 10:16,	27:19, 60:13,	200:18, 205:1,	Wording - 35:19,
Upcoming - 216:17	18:14, 20:20,	60:19, 68:6, 88:18,	219:11, 222:2,	69:6
Update - 1:14,	103:21, 105:23,	114:5, 119:2, 134:4,	223:18, 231:5	Work - 4:11, 10:24,
27:20, 37:12, 38:8,	117:1, 126:7, 127:9,	135:10, 136:4,	Whichever - 202:1	50:16, 97:2, 142:8,
38:12, 38:14,	132:19, 145:4,	137:1, 166:15,	2, 226:24	169:11, 188:22,
53:17, 56:10,	187:7, 188:14,	167:1, 168:4,	Whole - 4:2, 47:16,	216:19, 216:21,
87:16, 100:9,	221:2	168:8, 176:4,	49:16, 49:19	219:21, 221:11,
107:4, 121:17,	Vice - 129:6	176:18, 179:22,	Wholesale - 151:1,	223:14, 224:1
135:14, 170:7,	View - 19:15,	184:22, 189:7,	151:3, 156:4	Worked - 4:21, 8:6,
171:4	26:12, 27:18, 38:7,	190:20, 199:11,	Who's - 61:2,	95:17, 167:23,
Updated - 30:4,	90:10, 102:22,	201:15, 204:16,	198:2, 205:20	215:24
104:10, 127:25,	103:12, 202:3,	205:3, 213:5,	Will - 2:15, 2:17,	Working - 13:7,
128:3, 136:14,	210:18	213:9, 220:3,	11:7, 11:10, 32:10,	34:18, 64:7, 129:8,
157:9, 170:24,	Viewed - 19:23,	221:7, 221:11	33:8, 63:12, 63:15,	137:2, 166:13,
199:23, 230:18	117:25, 163:3	Weren't - 14:8,	77:7, 107:8, 107:9,	166:15, 184:8,
Updates - 26:19,	Visiting - 4:24	126:24, 181:8	107:10, 107:18,	214:7, 214:24,
		West - 169:23	110:10, 110:22,	
53:15, 89:23,	Volume - 50:24			219:15, 221:9
102:25, 106:24,	VP - 215:23, 217:7	We've - 18:15,	110:24, 112:1,	Works - 56:10,
137:3, 137:4		25:18, 26:2, 26:6,	112:7, 112:22,	56:21, 59:5,
Updating - 153:22	W	27:2, 30:18, 31:15,	113:15, 117:14,	106:17, 110:2
Ups - 68:2	Wait - 10:8	45:12, 47:22, 48:4,	117:15, 123:12,	Worse - 147:24,
Urgency - 64:13	Wasn't - 15:22,	48:5, 48:8, 50:6,	124:18, 129:24,	148:11, 148:13
Usage - 106:15,	53:4, 58:5, 59:16,	50:7, 57:8, 68:4,	143:3, 143:23,	Worth - 38:22
231:18		73:15, 75:20,	161:6, 169:15,	Wouldn't - 17:7,
Usages - 175:16	84:12, 87:3, 87:8,	92:13, 108:19,	169:25, 182:25,	27:25, 28:2, 49:15,
Used - 38:24, 66:1,	91:15, 99:4,	110:18, 120:3,	183:5, 185:13,	66:8, 89:3, 95:22,
122:20, 123:18,	100:25, 117:8,	130:18, 134:1,	188:25, 189:13,	96:4, 96:5, 100:12,
174:23, 217:20,	120:19, 127:11,	146:21, 147:12,	189:23, 194:17,	119:20, 121:12,
220:10, 230:20	217:9, 233:3	147:22, 149:4,	195:6, 197:11,	122:25, 123:22,
Using - 14:9,	Water - 232:11	149:10, 151:6,	197:16, 197:21,	125:15, 125:19,
20:20, 96:17,	Ways - 150:14,	151:9, 153:24,	200:15, 200:16,	125:21, 126:20,
· · · ·	207:15			
96:18, 144:17,	Weather - 5:13	161:1, 164:2,	200:17, 200:19,	148:5, 149:3,
173:8, 181:8,	We'd - 7:22, 31:1,	166:12, 169:19,	200:22, 200:24,	153:14, 158:22,
200:17, 205:3	50:11, 119:1,	169:21, 169:24,	213:16, 226:23,	159:2, 159:25,
Utilities - 52:15,	166:19, 186:14,	170:6, 170:24,	228:2, 233:16,	161:12, 164:16,
52:24, 53:6, 180:8	187:17, 213:3,	172:2, 173:16,	234:21	167:14, 176:21,
Utility - 19:10	213:5, 213:7	176:20, 179:5,	Williams - 26:15,	179:14, 195:19,
	Week - 2:3, 64:3,	186:4, 193:22,	62:4, 74:13, 167:17	203:8, 207:11,
V		195:9, 199:10,	Williams' - 58:25,	210:6
Vecent 017.5	64:7, 106:21,	199:22, 200:7,	59:21, 70:12, 207:2	Write - 12:9
Vacant - 217:5	106:24, 170:1,	215:10, 216:8,	Willing - 209:13	Written - 2:12,
Vacation - 106:21	170:3	216:11, 217:3,	Window - 25:24	2:15, 2:17, 194:17
Valuation - 53:14	Weekend - 22:25	217:23, 218:10,	Winter - 130:2,	,,
Value - 168:3,	Weeks - 63:24,	218:11, 218:18,	130:9, 130:13,	Y
169:7, 169:10,	106:25, 129:7	218:20, 218:23,	174:25, 175:6,	
170:17, 180:12,	Welcome - 1:3,	219:2, 219:5,	229:2, 229:3	Year - 7:23, 8:9,
180:22, 180:23,	3:21			8:10, 8:12, 13:19,
182:24, 204:1,	We'll - 1:25, 7:16,	219:24, 220:1,	Wish - 229:15	14:17, 19:9, 19:13,
213:21, 213:25,	10:2, 44:21,	220:14, 221:6	Witness - 2:22,	19:17, 25:10,
L	1		1	1

August 0, 2010				
25.17 20.11 20.6	07.04 00.00			
25:17, 28:11, 29:6, 31:10, 31:11, 31:25,	87:24, 89:22, 106:6, 111:18,			
41:1, 45:8, 45:11,	125:14, 125:25,			
61:21, 66:13, 67:2,	134:15, 134:24,			
74:9, 84:22,	136:2, 137:25,			
100:13, 102:1,	139:18, 147:20,			
115:5, 117:16,	147:21, 153:2,			
124:7, 124:14,	160:3, 160:8,			
126:7, 136:2,	166:23, 167:5,			
139:6, 141:20,	168:3, 170:15,			
141:24, 142:1,	170:16, 170:19,			
150:13, 153:9,	171:12, 173:23,			
153:23, 155:2,	173:24, 174:1,			
155:10, 164:7,	174:2, 174:8,			
164:10, 165:9,	175:12, 175:15,			
166:21, 169:17,	175:17, 175:19,			
183:15, 187:13,	175:22, 177:16,			
202:24, 216:18,	179:23, 182:22,			
218:13, 218:19,	182:23, 184:11,			
218:20, 218:21,	185:11, 185:12,			
218:23, 219:4,	185:15, 186:17,			
225:19, 229:23	187:14, 187:23,			
Years - 4:24, 8:11,	187:24, 188:18,			
40:17, 40:20,	191:11, 191:14,			
40:23, 41:6, 41:12,	192:24, 195:14,			
41:14, 101:3,	196:1, 196:11,			
101:8, 101:25,	196:12, 197:6,			
124:5, 124:10,	198:1, 202:19,			
124:22, 187:12,	202:20, 206:16,			
214:16, 217:16,	211:21, 214:5,			
217:18, 217:22,	225:13, 226:12,			
218:1, 218:3, 218:6, 218:8,	226:23, 228:11, 230:6, 231:16,			
220:6, 220:18,				
229:20	232:4, 233:11, 233:12			
You'd - 32:18,	You've - 10:23,			
42:23, 57:13,	23:17, 25:23,			
64:18, 68:25, 69:5,	25:24, 29:13,			
103:4, 127:4,	34:12, 34:14,			
138:2, 140:11,	41:10, 41:13,			
144:3, 146:10,	41:15, 48:24, 49:4,			
146:21, 147:7,	54:11, 61:13, 62:22,			
147:10, 149:12,	72:8, 79:4, 79:10,			
152:14, 152:17,	79:11, 93:9, 105:5,			
162:20, 162:22,	128:16, 132:15,			
190:16, 208:21,	147:23, 148:9,			
228:8, 228:17	151:14, 155:10,			
You'll - 23:6,	155:16, 168:16,			
188:19	168:20, 177:14,			
You're - 6:7, 8:3,	179:13, 182:3,			
8:13, 13:16, 14:7,	182:10, 186:23,			
17:21, 18:16,	186:24, 187:1,			
19:18, 28:4, 31:13,	187:6, 188:5,			
47:19, 50:20, 51:9,	205:16, 222:4,			
55:9, 64:19, 64:21,	225:6, 227:11,			
65:6, 67:8, 73:4,	227:16, 227:24,			
78:3, 79:9, 79:18,	233:13			
L	1	I	1	